

**The Governor's**  
**Economic**  
**and**  
**Demographic**  
**Report**

**2007-2008**

**Kansas Division of the Budget**

**January 2008**

## **Acknowledgements**

*The Governor's Economic and Demographic Report 2007-2008* was prepared under the general direction of Duane A. Goossen, Director of the Budget.

This report was written by Dr. John Wong, Professor of Public Administration, Hugo Wall School of Urban and Public Affairs, Wichita State University and Dr. Rebecca Krahl, Senior Budget Analyst, Division of the Budget.

Publication coordination and editing were provided by Elaine Frisbie, Deputy Director; Dr. Rebecca Krahl, Senior Budget Analyst; and Shelly Dechand, Accountant.

Readers of *The Governor's Economic and Demographic Report* can access this information on the Kansas Division of the Budget's website at <http://budget.ks.gov>.

---

# Table of Contents

## Chapter 1

### The U.S. Economy

2007 U.S. Economic Review .....	1
2008 U.S. Economic Outlook .....	6

## Chapter 2

### Kansas Employment & Income

Overview .....	12
Kansas Employment Review .....	13
Comparative Employment in the Plains Region .....	22
Kansas Personal Income Review .....	26
Kansas Personal Income Estimates .....	27
Comparative Personal Income .....	27

## Chapter 3

### Local & Regional Employment & Income

Major Labor Market Employment .....	30
Kansas & Adjacent States Metro Areas' Employment Trends .....	41
Regional Labor Market Employment .....	43
County Personal Income .....	44
The Four Urban Counties .....	46

## Chapter 4

### Kansas Demographics

Overview .....	50
U.S. Census Bureau's Methodology of Estimating State Populations .....	51
The Decennial Census .....	52
Poverty .....	52
School District Populations .....	53
Health Insurance Coverage .....	54
Demographics .....	54
Kansas Population Projections .....	57

## Appendices

A Kansas Personal Income, 2005-2006 .....	59
B State Personal Income & Growth Rates, 2004-2006 .....	62
C State Disposable Income & Growth Rates, 2004-2006 .....	64
D Kansas County Personal Income, 2005 .....	66
E Kansas County Personal Income, 2006 .....	69
F Kansas Certified Population, Certified to the Secretary of State on July 1, 2007 .....	72
G Resident Population for U.S., Regions, States, & Kansas Counties, 2002-2006 .....	118
H Poverty Thresholds in 2006, by Size of Family & Number of Related Children under 18 Years .....	123
I Kansas School District Populations, 2004 .....	124
J Health Insurance Coverage Status for the U.S. & Kansas, 1989-2006 .....	132
K Kansas Resident Population, 2000 through 2006 .....	133
L Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008-2019 .....	137

## Table of Tables

---

1-1	Major U.S. Economic Trends, 2007 & 2008 .....	1
1-2	U.S. GDP Composition & Growth, 2006-2007 .....	4
1-3	U.S. Personal Consumption Expenditure Growth Percent Change, Seasonally Adjusted, 2006-2007 .....	4
1-4	U.S. Personal Income Growth, 2006-2007 .....	5
1-5	U.S. Consumer Prices Percent Change, Seasonally Adjusted, 2006-2007 .....	6
1-6	U.S. GDP Composition & Growth, 2007-2008 .....	8
1-7	U.S. Personal Consumption Expenditure Growth Percent Change, Seasonally Adjusted, 2007-2008 .....	8
1-8	U.S. Personal Income Growth, 2007-2008 .....	10
1-9	U.S. Consumer Prices Percent Change, Seasonally Adjusted, 2007-2008 .....	11
2-1	Major Kansas Economic Trends .....	12
2-2	Kansas Employment, by Place of Residence, October 2006-October 2007 .....	13
2-3	Kansas Employment, by Place of Work, October 2006-October 2007 .....	14
2-4	Plains Region Employment Growth Rates, 2005-2006 .....	23
2-5	Plains Region Employment Growth Rates, October 2006-October 2007 .....	24
2-6	Kansas Personal Income, 2006 Actual, 2007 Estimate, & 2008 Forecast .....	27
2-7	Per Capita Personal Income, 1995-2006 .....	29
3-1	Wichita Metropolitan Area Employment .....	31
3-2	Topeka Metropolitan Area Employment .....	34
3-3	Lawrence Metropolitan Area Employment .....	36
3-4	Kansas City, KS Metropolitan Area Employment .....	38
3-5	Metropolitan Area Employment Growth Rates, October 2006-October 2007 .....	42
3-6	County Employment, October 2006-October 2007 .....	44

## Table of Figures

1-1	U.S. Civilian Labor Force Growth.....	2
1-2	U.S. Employment Growth.....	2
1-3	U.S. Unemployment Rate .....	2
1-4	Consumer Price Index (CPI-U).....	3
1-5	U.S. Gross Domestic Product Growth .....	3
1-6	U.S. Real GDP Growth.....	3
1-7	U.S. Personal Income Growth .....	5
1-8	U.S. Economic Indicators .....	6
2-1	Kansas Civilian Labor Force Growth .....	13
2-2	Kansas Employment Growth by Place of Residence.....	14
2-3	Kansas Unemployment Rate.....	14
2-4	Employment by Place of Work.....	14
2-5	Natural Resources Employment .....	15
2-6	Construction Employment .....	16
2-7	Manufacturing Employment .....	16
2-8	Durable Goods Employment .....	16
2-9	Transportation Equipment Employment.....	16
2-10	Nondurable Goods Employment.....	17
2-11	Food Manufacturing Employment.....	17
2-12	Trade, Transportation, & Utilities Employment .....	18
2-13	Utilities Employment.....	18
2-14	Transportation & Warehousing Employment.....	18
2-15	Wholesale Trade Employment.....	19
2-16	Retail Trade Employment.....	19
2-17	Information Employment.....	19
2-18	Financial Activities Employment .....	20
2-19	Professional & Business Services Employment .....	20
2-20	Education & Health Services Employment .....	21
2-21	Leisure & Hospitality Services Employment.....	21
2-22	Other Services Employment .....	22
2-23	Government Employment.....	22
2-24	Farm Employment .....	22
3-1	Percent Change in County Personal Income, 2005-2006 .....	48
3-2	Per Capita Personal Income, 2006 .....	48
3-3	Total Personal Income, by Region, 2005 & 2006.....	49
3-4	Percent Change in Total Income, 2005 & 2006, & 2006 per Capita Income, by Region.....	49



### 2007 U.S. Economic Review

November marked the sixth anniversary of the beginning of the current economic expansion. The U.S. economy continued to expand at a moderate rate during 2007, even though it was slowed somewhat because of the expanding sub-prime mortgage crisis and ongoing high energy costs. The continuing high energy prices had a negative effect on the purchasing power of households and raised business costs. The increases in energy-related materials and shipping costs also significantly affected profit margins for manufacturers and retailers. On a positive note, the U.S. probably is less vulnerable to this recent energy shock than it was to the shocks of the 1970s and early 1980s because energy represents a smaller share of household purchases now than it did in the 70s and 80s. In addition, energy's proportion of business input costs is lower now than it was in those earlier periods. Another positive point is that despite the substantial rise in oil prices, core consumer prices rose at only a moderate pace.

Earlier in the year, with the U.S. economy expanding and unemployment declining, the Federal Reserve continued to increase the federal funds rate in an attempt to circumvent potential inflationary pressures. These rate increases were motivated in part by rising crude oil prices, which surged to over \$100 per barrel during mid-summer before retreating to the \$80 per barrel range toward the end of the year. Conditions contributing to higher energy prices include overseas production uncertainties in the Middle East and elsewhere, as well as strong demand from China and India. However, the expanding scope of the sub-prime mortgage crisis caused the Federal Reserve to change course toward the end of the year.

In addition, the sub-prime mortgage crisis has played a significant role in the recent slowing of overall economic activity too. This crisis is likely to have an ongoing influence on economic growth in the future as well. The drastic downturn in the housing market follows a housing boom that persisted for most of the

first half of this decade. Between 2000 and late 2005, the rate of construction of single-family homes rose more than 40.0 percent, and sales of both new and existing homes increased by a similar amount. In addition to the sharp reduction in starts of new single-family houses, inventories of both new and existing homes for sale have increased markedly this year. The sub-prime mortgage crisis notwithstanding, the recent downturn in the housing market was an unavoidable consequence of the robust growth during the first half of the decade. The National Association of Realtors expects that existing home sales will increase 0.4 percent in 2008. However, housing starts are expected to fall 15.7 percent, while new single-family sales are expected to decline 12.9 percent.

In 2007, motor vehicle sales also experienced an ongoing slow down that was the result of weaker demand and an accompanying buildup in stocks of unsold cars and trucks. Energy prices contributed to these developments. In short, consumers responded to higher gasoline prices by reducing their demand for less fuel-efficient vehicles such as sport-utility vehicles (SUVs) and trucks. The decline in sales of these vehicles caused inventories to accumulate, resulting in auto-makers significantly reducing production.

Although there have been "drags" on the economy, overall the economic trends appear to be positive. As shown in Table 1-1, which presents major U.S. economic trends for 2007 and the forecast for 2008,

Table 1-1

<b>Major U.S. Economic Trends 2007 &amp; 2008</b>		
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
GDP Growth (\$ Constant)	2.1 %	2.3 %
Personal Income Growth (\$ Current)	6.1	4.9
Consumer Price Index Increase (CPI-U)	2.8	2.5
Unemployment Rate (Monthly Average)	4.6	4.8

real GDP growth is expected to increase from 2.1 percent in 2007 to 2.3 percent in 2008, while personal income growth is expected to slow from 6.1 percent to

4.9 percent. The Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) has shown stable yearly increases for the last several years. The inflation rate is expected to slow from 2.8 in 2007 to 2.5 percent in 2008. The only rather large increase occurred in 2005 when it jumped by 5.3 percent. This was the highest rate of increase since 1992 when the CPI-U increased by 4.2 percent. However, the national unemployment rate is expected to increase slightly from 4.6 percent in 2007 to 4.8 percent in 2008.

Employment data are important when analyzing the economy. The initial datum derived is the civilian labor force, which is based on a sample survey of households. Once the civilian labor force is determined, then employment, unemployment, and the unemployment rate are derived. The civilian labor force includes those who are at least 16 years old and either employed or looking for employment. Military personnel, retirees, children, and those not actively seeking work are not included. In 2007, the labor force grew 1.1 percent, compared to a 1.4 percent growth rate in 2006. This is the slowest growth rate since 2004 when the labor force increased only 0.6 percent. Figure 1-1 shows the U.S. civilian labor force growth rate from 1971 through 2007.

Figure 1-1




The level of employment is the number of individuals in the civilian labor force who are employed. In 2007, employment in the U.S. increased by 1.3 percent. This compares to a 1.9 percent increase in 2006 and a 1.8 percent increase in 2005. Again this is the slowest rate of increase since 2004 when employment increased by only 1.1 percent. Figure 1-2 presents the U.S. employment growth rate from 1971 through 2007.

Figure 1-2



The unemployment rate is expressed as a percentage of the number of people unemployed to the total number of people in the labor force. In 2007, the unemployment rate stood at 4.6 percent, down significantly from the 6.1 percent rate in 2006. In 1992, the unemployment rate was 7.5 percent. Since that time, the unemployment rate in the U.S. steadily declined every year through 2000. At that time, the unemployment rate was 4.0 percent. Then in 2001, the unemployment rate increased to 4.7 percent. Subsequently, the unemployment rate soared to 5.8 percent in 2002, which was the largest increase since 1991. In 2003, the unemployment increased further to 6.0 percent, it then dropped back to 5.1 percent in 2005. Figure 1-3 shows the U.S. unemployment rate from 1971 through 2007.

Figure 1-3




Inflation is another important economic indicator. In general, inflation is the increase in the price of a given "market basket" of goods. Inflation can be measured



in several ways. However, the most commonly used measurement is the Consumer Price Index. This index was first constructed during World War I as a basis for adjusting shipbuilders' wages, which were under government control during the war. In this report, the CPI-U is used because it is reflective of the typical urban consumer's purchases and is the most commonly used measurement. In 2007, the rate of inflation, as measured by the CPI-U, increased by 2.8 percent compared to a 3.2 percent increase in 2006. This was the lowest rate of increase since 2004 when the CPI increased 2.7 percent. Figure 1-4 shows the CPI-U from 1971 through 2007.


Figure 1-4



## Gross Domestic Product

Gross domestic product, or GDP, is the value of final goods and services produced within the country during a given year. GDP is measured both in real terms and in nominal terms. Nominal GDP is the dollar value of


Figure 1-5



the final goods and services, while real GDP is the value of the final goods and services as adjusted for price changes (inflation) that occurred over the course of that year. U.S. nominal GDP increased at a 4.6 percent rate in 2007 compared to a 6.1 percent rate in 2006 and a 6.4 percent rate in 2005. This is the slowest rate of nominal GDP growth since 2002 when it grew at only a 3.4 percent pace. Figure 1-5 presents U.S. gross domestic product growth from 1971 through 2007.

Real GDP grew by 2.1 percent in 2007, compared to a 2.9 percent increase in 2006 and a 3.1 percent increase in 2005. Again, this is the slowest rate of growth since 2002 when real GDP grew at only a 1.6 percent rate. Figure 1-6 presents the annualized quarterly growth rate for the U.S. real GDP from the first quarter of 1999 through the fourth quarter of 2007.

Figure 1-6



There are five major categories of GDP: consumption, investment, exports, imports (exports and imports constitute international trade), and government spending. Real GDP for 2007 is shown in Table 1-2 on the following page.

**Consumption.** The largest component of GDP is consumption, or consumer spending. Changes in consumer spending are affected by a variety of factors, including personal income, the savings rate, debt accumulation, discretionary income, and consumers' confidence in the economy. Consumer discretionary income was significantly affected by the continuing high cost of gasoline. Although prices have fallen recently, prices during the late summer and early fall were higher than a year ago.

In 2007, real (adjusted for inflation) consumption increased by 2.9 percent, compared to a 3.1 percent

Table 1-2

<b>U.S. GDP Composition &amp; Growth, 2006-2007</b> (Dollars in Billions)			
	Real	Percent Change	
	2007*	2005-2006	2006-2007
Gross Domestic Prod.	11,560.3	2.9 %	2.1 %
Consumption	8,275.6	3.1	2.9
Durables	1,234.2	3.8	4.5
Nondurables	2,393.7	3.7	2.4
Services	4,673.1	2.7	2.8
Investment	1,834.4	2.7	(4.2)
Fixed	1,823.3	2.4	(2.7)
Nonresidential	1,368.3	6.6	4.7
Equipment	1,064.8	5.9	1.4
Structures	302.3	8.4	12.5
Residential	474.7	(4.6)	(16.6)
Chng. in Invent.	11.1	21.0	(72.5)
Exports	1,406.0	8.4	7.8
Imports	1,975.4	5.9	2.4
Government	2,020.0	1.8	1.9
Federal	754.0	2.2	1.6
Nat. Defense	504.7	1.9	2.7
Nondefense	249.3	2.8	(0.6)
State & Local	1,265.6	1.6	2.1

\*Estimated

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, and Moody's Economy.com

increase last year. The overall increase in real consumer spending was led by a 4.5 percent increase in purchases of durable goods, or those with an expected useful life of more than one year. This compares to a 3.8 percent increase last year. Nondurables are goods with an expected useful life of one year or less. Expenditures on nondurable goods increased by 2.4 percent in 2007 compared to a 3.7 percent increase in 2006. Table 1-3 presents U.S. real personal consumption expenditure growth for 2006 and 2007.

Overall, expenditures on services grew by 2.8 percent, slightly higher than the 2.7 percent growth rate in 2006. Retail sales and food services increased by 4.1 percent, while retail sales and food less autos increased 4.6 percent. The highest increases were for expenditures for food services and drinking places at 6.0 percent, food and beverage stores at 5.8 percent, and clothing and accessories at 5.7 percent. General merchandise expenditures increased 4.9 percent, while expenditures for building materials, equipment, and supplies decreased 1.4 percent, following a 9.6 percent increase last year following the aftermath of the Gulf coast storms in 2005.

Table 1-3

<b>U.S. Personal Consumption Expenditure Growth</b> <b>Percent Change, Seasonally Adjusted, 2006-2007</b>		
	Expenditures	
	2006	2007
Personal Consumption	3.1 %	2.9 %
Durables	3.8	4.5
Nondurables	3.7	2.4
Services	2.7	2.8
Retail Sales & Food Services	6.2	4.1
Ret. Sales & Food Less Autos	7.3	4.6
Bldg. Mat., Equip., & Supplies	9.6	(1.4)
General Merchandise	5.2	4.9
Food & Beverage Stores	4.9	5.8
Clothing & Accessories	6.6	5.7
Food Serv. & Drinking Places	8.1	6.0

Source: Moody's Economy.com

**Business Investment.** Capital investment has continued to expand at a reasonable rate. Spending on nonresidential construction has been particularly strong, reflecting higher outlays for new office and commercial buildings, as well as a rapid increase in expenditures on drilling and mining structures. Outlays for equipment and software have slowed somewhat, though backlogs for "on order" capital goods, such as industrial machinery and other types of heavy equipment, remain high. Moreover, financial conditions continue to be favorable for investment spending because profitability is high, the cost of capital is relatively low, and significant cash reserves remain on firms' books.

In real terms, overall investment fell by 4.2 percent in 2007 following a 2.7 percent increase in 2006. Specifically, fixed investment decreased 2.7 percent. Nonresidential investment increased 4.7 percent, while residential investment plummeted 16.6 percent and inventories dropped 72.5 percent.


**International Trade.** In 2007, real imports increased by 2.4 percent following a 5.9 percent increase in 2006. During that same period, real exports increased by 7.8 percent in 2007 following a 8.4 percent increase in 2006. The result was a trade deficit of \$569.4 billion in 2007.

**Government Expenditures.** Real government spending increased by 1.9 percent in 2007 compared to a 1.8 percent increase in 2006. Specifically, federal

government expenditures increased 1.6 percent in 2007, compared to a 2.2 percent increase experienced in 2006. Within the federal government category, national defense expenditures increased 2.7 percent in 2007 compared to a 1.9 percent increase in 2006, and non-defense expenditures decreased 0.6 percent in 2007 compared to a 2.8 percent increase in 2006. At the state and local government levels, expenditures increased at a 2.1 percent rate in 2007 compared to a 1.6 percent increase in 2006.

**Personal Income.** Personal income is the dollar value of income available to households for consumption expenditures. Total personal income is derived by summing salaries and wages, other labor income, proprietors' income, rental income, personal dividend income, personal interest income, and transfer payments. From this sum, personal contributions for social insurance and personal taxes are deducted. In 2007, personal income increased by 4.0 percent, which is slightly higher than the 3.8 percent growth rate experienced in 2006. Figure 1-7 presents U.S. personal income growth from 1971 through 2007.

Figure 1-7



Rental income rose 15.3 percent in 2007, compared to a 27.2 percent surge in 2006, while dividend income rose 13.4 percent compared to a 16.3 percent surge in 2006. Interest income in 2007 increased 5.1 percent, following a 8.0 percent increase in 2006.

Disposable personal income is personal income less personal taxes. Disposable income increased 3.6 percent in 2007 compared to a 3.1 percent increase in 2006. Median household income increased 3.1 percent in 2007 compared to a 4.0 percent increase in 2006. Table 1-4 presents U.S. personal income composition and growth from 2006 to 2007.

Table 1-4

	U.S. Personal Income Growth, 2006-2007		
	<i>(Dollars in Billions of Chain Weighted 2000 Dollars)</i>		
	2007*	Percent Change	
2005-2006		2006-2007	
Median Household Income	49,712 %	4.0 %	3.1 %
Personal Income	10,000.0	3.8	4.0
Disposable Income	8,700.0	3.1	3.6
Dividends	789.6	16.3	13.4
Interest	1,155.8	8.0	5.1
Rent	62.9	27.2	15.3
Personal Saving Rate (%)	0.9	(0.1)	0.5
* Estimated			

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, and Moody's Economy.com

**Personal Savings.** Personal savings is derived by subtracting personal outlays from disposable personal income. The personal savings rate is personal savings expressed as a percentage of disposable personal income. In 2007, the personal savings rate was 0.9 percent, compared to a decrease of 0.1 percent rate in 2006.

**Inflation & Prices.** Inflation can be measured using several methods. Two specific methods are the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) and the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) Price Deflator. In general, inflation has been less than 4.0 percent since 1992. In 2007, inflation, as measured by the CPI-U, remained relatively modest. The inflation rate for 2007 was 2.8 percent, while inflation in 2006 was 3.2 percent. More specifically, the cost of food less energy increased 2.3 percent in 2007 compared to 2.5 percent in 2006. The cost of shelter increased 3.7 percent in 2007 compared to 3.4 percent in 2006, and the cost of food generally increased 3.8 percent in 2007 compared to a 2.3 percent increase in 2006. The cost of food away from home specifically increased 3.5 percent in 2007 compared to 3.1 percent in 2006. Finally, the cost of medical care increased 4.5 percent in 2007 compared to a 4.0 percent increase in 2006. During that same time frame, inflation, as measured by the GDP Price Index, stood at 2.5 percent in 2007 compared to a 3.2 percent increase in 2006. Table 1-5, on the following page, presents the seasonally adjusted percent change for U.S. consumer prices for 2006 and 2007.

Increases in the cost of energy and food continue to be the primary concerns. According to the U.S. Bureau

Table 1-5

U.S. Consumer Prices Percent Change, Seasonally Adjusted, 2006-2007		
	Prices	
	2006	2007
GDP Chain Price Deflator	3.2 %	2.5 %
Consumer Price Index	3.2	2.8
Less Food & Energy	2.5	2.3
Shelter	3.4	3.7
Food	2.3	3.8
Food Away from Home	3.1	3.5
Medical Care	4.0	4.5

Source: Moody's Economy.com

of Labor Statistics (BLS), the agency responsible for compiling the Consumer Price Index, during the first ten months of 2007, the CPI-U rose at a 3.6 percent seasonally adjusted annual rate (SAAR). This compares with an increase of 2.5 percent for all of 2006. The index for energy, which increased 2.9 percent in 2006, advanced at a 12.3 percent SAAR in the first ten months of 2007. Petroleum-based energy costs increased at a 20.6 percent annual rate and charges for energy services rose at a 2.7 percent annual rate. According to the BLS, the food index has increased at a 5.5 percent rate thus far in 2007, following a 2.1 percent rise for all of 2006. Excluding food and energy, the CPI-U advanced at a 2.3 percent SAAR in the first ten months of 2007 after increasing 2.6 percent in 2006.

**Productivity.** One major factor contributing to holding inflation in check in recent years has been strong productivity growth. However, productivity growth has slowed significantly since 2002. Productivity growth, as measured by output per hour, increased only 1.2 percent in 2007, compared to a 1.0 percent growth rate in 2006. This compares to 4.1 percent increase in 2002 and 3.7 percent increase in 2003. This is not cause for alarm, but it does bear watching.


## 2008 U.S. Economic Outlook

By most measures, the economy has been performing satisfactorily. However, persistently high oil prices combined with concerns over the so-called sub-prime mortgage crisis have raised questions about the

strength and the durability of the economic expansion. These concerns are tempered because consumer spending growth is likely to be sustained through 2008 even if holiday retail sales are mediocre. In addition, the economy now appears to be on course for a sustained period of expansion at a moderate pace. For 2008, the economy appears likely to expand at a moderate rate that is close to or slightly below the economy's long-run sustainable rate. Core inflation is expected to slow gradually from its recent level, reflecting the reduced impetus from high prices for energy and food, and contained inflation expectations. In addition, plunging residential construction is likely to be a drag on economic growth into 2008.

Four commonly cited predictors of future economic performance are the Composite Index of Leading Economic Indicators, the Consumer Confidence Index, the Index of Consumer Sentiment, and the Index of Consumer Expectations. Figure 1-8 presents these indices for 2007.

Figure 1-8



The Composite Index of Leading Economic Indicators and the Consumer Confidence Index are compiled by the Conference Board, which is a private, not-for-profit organization that conducts business and economic research and forecasting. The Composite Index of Leading Economic Indicators is a composite index of ten leading economic indicators that reach cyclical turning points before the actual turning point occurs in the economy as a whole. Each series included in the Composite Index of Leading Indicators is selected because of its performance on six important characteristics, including economic significance, statistical adequacy, timing consistency at business

cycle peaks and troughs, conformity to business expansions and contractions, smoothness, and prompt availability.

The Conference Board also compiles the Consumer Confidence Index. This index is based on the Consumer Confidence Survey, which is based on a representative sample of 5,000 U.S. households.

The Index of Consumer Sentiment and the Index of Consumer Expectations are compiled by the Survey Research Center at the University of Michigan. These indices focus on how consumers view prospects for their own financial situation, how they view prospects for the general economy over the near term, and their view of prospects for the economy over the long term.

The underlying economy appears to be on reasonably stable ground. While the overall inflation rate during 2007 was higher than expected, the difference was almost entirely a result of higher energy and food prices. Excluding food and energy, the core CPI increased 2.3 percent during 2007. Inflation forecasts for the CPI and the GDP price index show overall inflation resuming a lower trend in 2008.

With the exception of July, the Consumer Expectations, Consumer Sentiment, and Consumer Confidence Indices all drifted downward during the course of the year. The Consumer Expectations Index fell from a high of 87.6 in January to a low of 63.2 in December. The Consumer Sentiment Index fell from a high of 96.9 in January to a low of 74.5 in December. The index of Consumer Confidence fell from a high of 112.5 in February to a low of 90.5 in November. These drops raised concerns that consumer spending might not be as robust as previously anticipated during the holiday season, despite its upbeat start. In a recent survey of more than 500 consumers, Accenture, a management consulting firm, found that 45 percent of respondents said they would make fewer trips to the mall because of higher gas prices. Nevertheless, reports show that at the beginning of the holiday season, discounters and electronic retailers fared well. In keeping with this trend, the Index of Leading Economic Indicators has remained relatively flat during the course of the year. Thus, no major changes, positive or negative, appear to be imminent on the economic horizon.

Real GDP is expected to grow at a 2.3 percent rate in 2008. Total non-farm employment growth is expected

to decrease from the current 1.9 percent rate to 1.3 percent in 2008. Productivity, as measured by output per hour, is also expected to grow from 1.2 percent to 1.9 percent. Growth in business investment spending by firms was down 4.2 percent in 2007 and is expected to rebound somewhat to 1.8 percent in 2008.

The unemployment rate is expected to increase slightly from 4.6 percent in 2007 to 4.8 percent in 2008. Nominal personal income also is forecasted to increase in 4.9 percent in 2008 compared to a 6.1 percent increase in 2007. Even though inflation in 2007 was well above the level forecast at the start of the year, inflation is expected to remain modest for 2008. The CPI is expected to increase by 2.5 percent in 2008 compared to a 2.8 percent increase in 2007. Oil prices are expected to moderate down to the \$75 per barrel range in 2008.

Overall, the economy is likely to expand at a moderate rate in 2008. Economic growth is expected to be slightly above the long-run trend in the near term, but it is expected to return to a rate that is generally consistent with the growth rate of the economy's underlying productive capacity. This scenario assumes that consumer spending will continue to grow near its trend rate and that the drag on the economy from the energy and housing sectors will gradually dissipate over time. The effects of the sub-prime mortgage crisis are likely to persist into 2008, but the rate of decline in home construction should slow as the inventory of unsold new homes is gradually absorbed.

## **Gross Domestic Product**

The robust growth in the U.S. economy prior to the 2001 downturn was driven by strong consumer spending that resulted from rapid growth in stock market wealth. Although the stock market experienced some volatility in 2007, the Dow-Jones Industrial Average did surpass the 14,000 mark establishing several record highs and stayed above the 13,000 level for much of the year. However, high energy prices, the sub-prime mortgage crisis, and continuing international uncertainty may keep the stock market somewhat subdued in 2008. Table 1-6, which is shown at the top of the next page, presents the nominal and the real U.S. GDP composition and growth estimates for 2007 and the forecasts for 2008.

Table 1-6

	Real		Percent Change
	(\$ Chained 2000)		
	2007*	2008**	
Gross Domestic Prod.	11,560.3	11,821.9	2.3 %
Consumption	8,275.6	8,408.8	1.6
Durables	1,234.2	1,243.5	0.8
Nondurables	2,393.7	2,413.5	0.8
Services	4,673.1	4,777.7	2.2
Investment	1,834.4	1,866.6	1.8
Fixed	1,823.3	1,836.6	0.7
Nonresidential	1,368.3	1,457.6	6.5
Equipment	1,064.8	1,106.3	3.9
Structures	302.3	341.6	13.0
Residential	474.7	403.2	(15.1)
Chng. in Invent.	11.1	30.0	170.3
Exports	1,406.0	1,523.9	8.4
Imports	1,975.4	2,045.5	3.5
Government	2,020.0	2,068.0	2.4
Federal	754.0	771.1	2.3
Nat. Defense	504.7	515.8	2.2
Nondefense	249.3	255.3	2.4
State & Local	1,265.6	1,296.9	2.5

\*Estimated  
\*\*Forecasted

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, and Moody's Economy.com

**Consumption.** Consumer spending has continued to expand at a strong rate. During the 2001 recession and subsequent recovery, consumer spending continued to expand in spite of the economic slowdown. Immediately following the recession, consumer spending was motivated primarily by tax cuts and low interest rates. Now growth in consumer spending seems to be driven more by stronger business activity in the form of greater job growth and rising household incomes. Continuing this transition is the key to sustaining the economic expansion. However, the sub-prime mortgage crisis and high gasoline prices may cause consumer spending growth to slow significantly during 2008. Crude oil prices have more than tripled since 2002. Home heating prices have also risen dramatically. According to the Department of Energy, the average U.S. household spent \$977 for home heating in 2007, an all time high.

Overall, real consumption growth is projected to slow from 2.9 percent in 2007 to 1.6 percent in 2008. Since

the last recession in 1991, consumer spending has consistently increased at a more rapid rate than disposable income. The result was that the savings rate fell. The decline implied that most of the spending growth over this period was driven by capital gains and/or consumer credit. In the latter half of the 1990s, the low savings rate did not appear to be a severe problem because stock market investments were not only sustaining, but enriching many households. However, the stock market correction in 2000 ended this trend. Currently, the low savings rate combined with a high debt level indicates that many consumer budgets are tight. However, the personal savings rate is expected to increase significantly from 0.9 percent in 2007 to 2.6 percent in 2008. Table 1-7 presents U.S. personal consumption expenditure growth estimates for 2007 and the forecasts for 2008.

Table 1-7

	U.S. Personal Consumption Expenditure Growth Percent Change, Seasonally Adjusted, 2007-2008	
	Expenditures	
	2007	2008
Personal Consumption	2.9 %	1.6 %
Durables	4.5	0.8
Nondurables	2.4	0.8
Services	2.8	2.2
Retail Sales & Food Services	4.1	3.2
Ret. Sales & Food Less Autos	4.6	3.1
Bldg. Mat., Equip., & Supplies	(1.4)	0.2
General Merchandise	4.9	3.9
Food & Beverage Stores	5.8	1.8
Clothing & Accessories	5.7	4.5
Food Serv. & Drinking Places	6.0	4.6

Source: Moody's Economy.com

Energy prices are a key component to continued slow growth in consumer spending. These prices will have a pronounced effect on big ticket and durable goods purchases because there will be less to spend on the bigger items. Moreover, the sub-prime mortgage crisis will further reduce available capital in the market.

During the unprecedented economic expansion of the 1990s, a tight labor market and low inflation allowed consumers to realize significant gains in real purchasing power. Now, however, those particular circumstances are not present. The result is that real disposable income is expected to increase by 3.4 percent in 2008, compared to 3.6 percent in 2007. Real consumer spending growth is expected to drop

back slightly to 1.6 percent in 2008 after a 2.9 percent increase in 2007. This trend will be supported largely by a 2.2 percent increase in services consumption.

Consumption of durables is expected to increase by 0.8 percent in 2008 compared to a 4.5 percent increase in 2007. Automobile purchases are expected to decrease 1.9 percent in 2008 compared to a 2.4 percent decrease in 2007. Within the durable goods category, the key growth sector in recent years has been electronics. Expenditures for nondurable goods are forecasted to increase 0.8 percent in 2008, which is significantly lower than the 2.4 percent increase in 2007. Expenditures for services also are forecasted to increase by 2.2 percent in 2008 compared to a 2.8 percent increase in 2007.

Retail sales and food services expenditures are expected to increase 3.2 percent in 2008, while retail sales and food less autos is expected to increase 3.1 percent. More specifically, expenditures for food services and drinking places are expected to increase 4.6 percent in 2008, while clothing and accessories expenditures are expected to increase 4.5 percent. Increases are expected also in general merchandise expenditures at 3.9 percent, as well as food and beverages at 1.8 percent, and building materials, equipment, and supplies at 0.2 percent.

**Business Investment.** According to Moody's Economy.com, recent confidence surveys indicate that businesses are being cautious and are hiring less aggressively. Firms have been somewhat reluctant to invest even before the sub-prime mortgage crisis. According to Moody's Economy.com proprietary business confidence index, assessments of current conditions remain negative. As a result, Moody's Economy.com concludes that there is a pent-up need to invest, which, along with sturdy global growth, has contributed to the positive near-term outlook. Another positive is that the strong corporate balance sheets mean that financial turmoil from the sub-prime mortgage crisis is less of a concern.

For 2008, real business investment spending is expected to increase to a 1.8 percent growth rate compared to a 4.2 percent decline in 2007. Real fixed investment is expected to increase 0.7 percent; nonresidential investment is forecasted to increase 6.5 percent; and residential construction is expected to drop 15.1 percent in 2008. The composition of growth will change also as spending shifts from equipment to

structures. Within nonresidential investment, spending on structures should rise 13.3 percent, while spending on equipment should rise 3.9 percent.

**International Trade.** In 2008, oil prices may continue to be a significant drag on many world economies. However, the global economy continues to be strong. In addition, the weak dollar against many key currencies is an ongoing concern. Nevertheless, growth in international markets should support the continuing expansion of U.S. exports of goods and services.

Real trade exports are expected to increase by 8.4 percent in 2008 compared to a 7.8 percent increase in 2007. Meanwhile, real trade imports are expected to increase 3.5 percent in 2008 compared to a 2.4 percent increase in 2007. Given these increases, the real trade deficit is likely to remain over \$500.0 billion in 2008.

**Government Expenditures.** Although projected deficits are not as high, relative to GDP, as the 1983-record setter, there is concern that the deficits are large and are likely to persist indefinitely. If this pattern persists, consequences such as accelerating inflation, rising interest rates, private capital formation being crowded out by government borrowing, and slower GDP growth may be on the horizon.

In real terms, government expenditures are estimated to increase by 2.4 percent in 2008. Total federal government expenditures are estimated to increase by 2.3 percent. Specifically, national defense expenditures are expected to increase 2.2 percent, and non-defense expenditures are expected to increase by 2.4 percent. Real state and local government expenditures are forecasted to increase 2.5 percent.

**Personal Income.** In 2008, real personal income in the U.S. is forecasted to grow at a 2.0 percent rate. Increases also are anticipated in personal interest income at 6.8 percent, rental income at 10.7 percent, personal dividend income at 6.1 percent, and disposable personal income at 3.4 percent. The median household income is expected to increase 3.1 percent to \$51,245.0 in 2008. On the following page, Table 1-8 presents the categories of U.S. Personal Income Growth for 2007 and 2008.

**Personal Savings.** The rate of personal savings is expected to increase to a rate of 1.7 percent in 2008.

Table 1-8

<b>U.S. Personal Income Growth, 2007-2008</b> (Dollars in Billions)			
	<b>2007*</b>	<b>2008**</b>	<b>Percent Change</b>
Median Household Income	49,712.0	51,245.0	3.1 %
Personal Income	10,000.0	10,200.0	2.0
Disposable Income	8,700.0	9,000.0	3.4
Dividends	789.6	837.7	6.1
Interest	1,155.8	1,234.5	6.8
Rent	62.9	69.6	10.7
Personal Saving Rate (%)	0.9	2.6	1.7

\* Estimated  
\*\* Forecasted

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis and Moody's Economy.com

This increase will move the personal savings rate into at least single-digits, after two successive years with the savings rate below 1.0 percent.

**Inflation & Prices.** As productivity growth begins to pick up again, unit labor costs are likely to subside, causing prices to moderate. However, continuing high prices for energy and food will exacerbate inflationary pressures, as producers try to pass on their higher input costs to consumers.

According to the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA), global oil markets will likely remain stretched, as world oil demand has continued to grow much faster than oil supply outside of the Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC), putting pressure on OPEC and inventories to bridge the gap. Additional fundamental factors contributing to price volatility include ongoing geopolitical risks, OECD inventory tightness, and worldwide refining bottlenecks. As a consequence, crude oil prices are expected to remain high and volatile.

If oil producers increase output, crude oil prices should ease somewhat. Nevertheless, average prices are expected to exceed \$80 per barrel in the immediate future and remain well above \$70 per barrel in 2008. Total U.S. petroleum consumption is expected to be up by 0.5 percent in 2007 and 1.0 percent in 2008, despite the higher oil and petroleum product prices. In addition, continued economic growth and expected colder average temperatures during the winter will further push demand higher.

However, working natural gas in storage reached a record level in October. This large inventory combined with limited fuel switching capability will likely mitigate the impact of the recent price increases in petroleum markets on natural gas prices.

In short, energy prices may continue to be a drag on the economy. The recent surge in oil prices is the result of a combination of fundamental changes in petroleum markets and fears about supply disruptions. Since mid-2003, growth in the demand for oil has far outstripped growth in supply. Compounding the fundamental oil market price pressures are fears over supply disruptions. High oil prices are likely to persist because there are virtually no immediately available incremental supply sources outside of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC). Capacity has not increased significantly in recent years because of a relatively limited level of exploration. Oil companies have not been convinced that high oil prices will be sustained. Moreover, many places with potential exploration opportunities are plagued by political instability, terrorism, and/or stifling government bureaucracies. Saudi Arabia, Iran, Iraq, Nigeria, Venezuela, Russia, and Indonesia have not been reliable sources for increased production.

Sectors that will be particularly hard hit by high oil prices include airlines, other transportation services, utilities, the chemical industry, and heavy manufacturing. However, the run up in oil prices has had a surprisingly limited effect on overall inflation. During 2007, the core inflation rate, which includes all sectors except food and energy, rose at just a 2.3 percent annual rate. The overall inflation rate was 2.8 percent. This is, in part, a result of the U.S. presently requiring approximately only one-half as much oil to generate \$1.00 of GDP as was required in the mid-1970s. This means that for any given increase in the price of oil, the effect on the U.S. economy is approximately one-half of what it was in the 1970s.

One important question about inflation is the extent to which businesses will pass through to consumers the recent energy costs increases. If they do, core inflation will rise. Unless energy costs continue to surge, such increases would result in a temporary spike in the core inflation rate, not a sustained higher rate. Once businesses adjust their prices to cover the higher costs, prices should not rise further and inflation should return to its earlier rate. Furthermore, although oil



Table 1-9

<b>U.S. Consumer Prices</b>		
<b>Percent Change, Seasonally Adjusted, 2007-2008</b>		
	<b>Prices</b>	
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
GDP Chain Price Deflator	2.5 %	2.0 %
Consumer Price Index	2.8	2.5
Less Food & Energy	2.3	2.2
Shelter	3.7	2.6
Food	3.8	2.5
Food Away from Home	3.5	2.3
Medical Care	4.5	5.5

Source: Moody's Economy.com

prices remain high, they have recently fallen. Futures markets are not expecting a rebound. However, if the rate of inflation begins increasing consistently, this may ultimately result in a self-fulfilling prophesy of a higher rate of inflation indefinitely. As such, inflation

is expected to remain moderate in 2008. As measured by the CPI-U, inflation is forecasted to be 2.5 percent. As measured by GDP Chain Price Deflator, it is expected to be 2.0 percent. Table 1-9 presents price changes for 2007 and 2008 as measured by the GDP Price Index.

The core rate of inflation is expected to moderate gradually during 2008. More specifically, the core rate of inflation is expected to be 2.2 percent in 2008. Medical care costs are expected to increase 5.5 percent, shelter costs are expected to increase 2.6 percent, food costs are expected to increase 2.5 percent, while food away from home is expected to increase 2.3 percent.

**Productivity.** Productivity, as measured by output per hour, is expected to grow at a 1.9 percent rate in 2008, compared to a 1.2 percent rate in 2007.

## Chapter 2

# Kansas Employment & Income

---

### Overview

Overall, the Kansas economy is expected to continue experiencing modest growth in 2008. Gross state product (GSP) is forecasted to increase by 5.0 percent, and personal income is expected to increase by 5.1 percent. Table 2-1 presents major Kansas economic trends for 2007 and 2008.

Table 2-1

Major Kansas Economic Trends	2007	2008
GSP Growth (\$ Constant)	5.4 %	5.0 %
Personal Income Growth (\$ Current)	6.8	5.1
Employment Growth Rate		
Place of Residence	1.1	0.7
Place of Work	1.7	1.2
Unemployment Rate (Monthly Average)	4.5	4.8

Source: KS Dept. of Labor, Labor Market Info. Servs.

Personal income growth in 2008 will be sustained by growth in proprietors' income of 7.2 percent and dividends, interest, and rent which is expected to grow 6.0 percent. Transfers are expected to increase 5.1 percent, while contributions for social insurance are expected to increase 4.7 percent. Other labor income is expected to increase 4.9 percent, while salaries and wages are expected to increase 4.5 percent. Meanwhile, the residence adjustment is expected to decrease by 4.5 percent. Employment by place of residence is expected to increase by 1.9 percent, while employment by place of work is expected to increase by 1.5 percent in 2008. The unemployment rate is expected to increase from 4.5 percent in 2007 to 4.8 percent in 2008, the same rate forecasted for the U.S. as a whole.

From October 2006 to October 2007 nonfarm salary and wage employment reached 1,397,700 jobs, an increase of 20,200 jobs since October 2006. Ten of the eleven reporting industry sectors showed job increases compared to a year ago. Primary contributors to the increase include professional and technical services which added 6,100 jobs; manufacturing which added 3,200 jobs; trade,

transportation, and utilities which added 2,400 jobs; and education and health service which also added 2,400 jobs. The state's unemployment rate fell from 4.3 percent in October 2006 to 3.4 percent in October 2007.

From October 2006 through October 2007, annual employment in the goods producing industries increased 1.7 percent, while employment in the services producing industries increased 1.4 percent. Within the goods producing industries, natural resources employment increased 2.2 percent, manufacturing employment increased 1.7 percent; and construction employment increased by 1.6 percent. Within the services producing industries, employment in professional and technical services increased 4.3 percent; other services are expected to increase 2.9 percent; financial services are expected to increase 1.8 percent; education and health services increased 1.4 percent; leisure and hospitality services increased 1.1 percent; trade, transportation, and utilities increased 0.9 percent; and government employment increased 0.3 percent. The only services producing industry that reported a decrease in employment was information, which experienced a 0.5 percent decrease. Farm employment declined 14.9 percent in 2007.

Contrary to past years, the state's economic recovery proceeded at a faster rate than the nation as a whole. One factor that caused the faster recovery relative to the nation was growth in manufacturing employment. Kansas' manufacturing industry accounts for 13.4 percent of total employment. Within the manufacturing industry, job growth in the transportation manufacturing sector is significant because of the Wichita area's focus on aircraft manufacturing and the Kansas City area's focus on car and truck manufacturing. Changes in employment in either sector are noteworthy. The Wichita area's aircraft related production industry accounts for 22.3 percent of all of the state's manufacturing jobs, while the Kansas City area's car and truck manufacturing accounts for 1.9 percent. Although nationwide aircraft manufacturing has been affected severely since the

September 11th terrorist attacks, state employment in aerospace products manufacturing rebounded 5.9 percent in 2007.

The Kansas agricultural sector produces a wide array of products, with wheat, corn, sorghum, and soybeans as the main crops. Kansas is perennially the nation's largest wheat producer, harvesting nearly 400.0 million bushels of wheat per year, which is approximately 20.0 percent of the total U.S. wheat output. Although prices were significantly higher in 2007, yields were only slightly higher, and production was down from 2005 levels. However, corn and grain sorghum prices and production are up significantly from last year. Much of the increase in corn prices and production are resulting from high fuel prices and speculation regarding increased ethanol demand as an alternative fuel. In addition to the significant contribution Kansas makes to the production of row crops, the state is a large producer of livestock. More than 60.0 percent of the state's agricultural receipts are derived from cattle production. These receipts are expected to remain steady. Overall, farm income in 2007 is expected to be significantly higher than last year because of higher crops prices and higher demand for key crops.

## Kansas Employment Review

Employment data are compiled in two ways: by place of residence and by place of work. The first compilation, employment by place of residence, is based on a sample survey of households. From the sample survey, the civilian labor force is determined. Once the civilian labor force is determined, then employment, unemployment, and the unemployment rate are derived. Children, retirees, military personnel, and those who are not actively seeking work, are not considered to be part of the civilian labor force. The second compilation is based on employment by place of work. For this compilation, data are gathered from information primarily obtained directly from firms as part of the unemployment insurance program. Place of work data are further categorized by industry.

### Employment by Place of Residence

From October 2006 to October 2007, the Kansas civilian labor force grew at a rate of 0.9 percent, with

employment increasing 1.9 percent and unemployment decreasing 20.4 percent. These changes resulted in a 0.9 percent decrease in the state's unemployment rate. Table 2-2 presents Kansas over-the-year employment details for 2006 and 2007, by place of residence.


Table 2-2

<b>Kansas Employment, by Place of Residence October 2006-October 2007</b>			
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>% Chng.</b>
<b>Place of Residence Data</b>			
Civilian Labor Force	1,471,936	1,485,497	0.9 %
Employment	1,408,285	1,434,810	1.9
Unemployment	63,651	50,687	(20.4)
Unemployment Rate	4.3	3.4	(0.9)

Source: KS Dept. of Labor, Labor Market Info. Servs.

Figure 2-1 presents trends in the Kansas civilian labor force from 1977 through 2007.


Figure 2-1



The relationship between labor force growth and the business cycle can be clearly observed in this graphic. There have been three recessions over the past 30 years: 1982, 1990, and 2000. The accompanying declines in the civilian labor force can be clearly seen in these years. It is also noteworthy that the economic slowdown in 2000 was relatively mild compared to the ones in 1982 and 1990. A similar pattern can be seen in Kansas employment growth. Figure 2-2, which is shown at the top of the next page, presents Kansas employment trends by place of residence from 1977 through 2007.


The unemployment rate is also based on the civilian labor force. The unemployment rate in Kansas decreased from 4.3 percent in October 2006 to 3.4

Figure 2-2



percent in October 2007. The highest rate during the last several decades occurred in 1982 when the unemployment rate reached 6.5 percent and then fell to 6.0 percent in 1983. Rates have not reached those levels for quite some time; however, the low levels experienced in the late 1990s are not anticipated in the near future either. Figure 2-3 presents the Kansas unemployment rate from 1977 through 2007.

Figure 2-3



### Employment by Place of Work

There are three broad classifications of employment by place of work: the goods producing industries, the services producing industries, and farming. This section presents an overview of employment in the goods producing industries by subcategory. The next section presents employment in the services producing industries in the same manner, followed by farming. Compared to October a year ago, employment in Kansas, as measured by place of work, was 1.5 percent higher. Figure 2-4 presents Kansas employment by place of work for 2006 and 2007.

Figure 2-4




Table 2-3 presents Kansas employment details for 2006 and 2007, by place of work.

Table 2-3

<b>Kansas Employment, by Place of Work October 2006-October 2007</b>			
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>% Chng.</b>
<b>Place of Work Data</b>			
All Industries	1,377,500	1,397,700	1.5 %
Goods Producing Ind.	259,400	263,900	1.7
Natural Resources	9,000	9,200	2.2
Construction	66,700	67,800	1.6
Manufacturing	183,700	186,900	1.7
Durable Goods	116,200	117,600	1.2
Machinery	17,000	17,700	4.1
Elect. Equipment	4,200	4,300	2.4
Trans. Equip.	49,800	51,400	3.2
Aerospace Prod.	39,300	41,600	5.9
Non-Durable Goods	67,500	69,300	2.7
Food Mfg.	32,500	32,500	--
Animal Slaughter	19,400	19,100	(1.5)
Service Producing Ind.	1,118,100	1,133,800	1.4
Trade, Trans. & Util.	263,600	266,000	0.9
Wholesale Trade	60,200	60,300	0.2
Retail Trade	149,700	151,800	1.4
Motor Vehicles	19,000	19,200	1.1
Grocery Stores	20,700	21,100	1.9
Gen. Merchandise	35,000	34,700	(0.9)
Trans. Warehouse	53,700	53,900	0.4
Utilities	7,300	7,300	--
Elec. Power Trans.	5,400	5,400	--
Trans. & Wrhse.	46,400	46,600	0.4
Truck Trans.	17,600	17,900	1.7
Information	39,500	39,300	(0.5)
Telecomm.	24,100	23,600	(2.1)

Table 2-3 (cont'd)

<b>Kansas Employment, by Place of Work October 2006-October 2007</b>			
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>% Chng.</b>
<b>Place of Work Data</b>			
Finance	72,300	73,600	1.8 %
Finance & Ins.	57,000	58,100	1.9
Credit Intermed.	28,600	29,600	3.5
Insurance Carriers	22,800	23,000	0.9
Real Estate	15,300	15,500	1.3
Prof. & Tech. Serv.	141,100	147,200	4.3
Prof. & Science	57,500	59,600	3.7
Mgmt., Commun.	10,000	11,000	10.0
Administrative	73,600	76,600	4.1
Edu. & Health Serv.	169,000	171,400	1.4
Health Care & Serv.	152,700	155,800	2.0
Hospitals	41,800	43,100	3.1
Leisure & Hosp.	116,900	118,200	1.1
Arts & Entertain.	13,300	13,300	--
Amuse./Gambling	9,900	9,900	--
Accommodations	103,600	104,900	1.3
Food Services	92,300	94,200	2.1
Other Services	52,600	54,100	2.9
Government	263,100	264,000	0.3
Federal	25,800	23,500	(8.9)
State	55,300	55,800	0.9
Local	182,000	184,700	1.5
Farm Employment	79,900	68,000	(14.9)

Source: KS Dept. of Labor, Labor Market Info. Serv.


## Goods Producing Industries

As measured by place of work, employment in the goods producing industries increased 1.7 percent from October 2006 through October 2007. There are three general categories within the goods producing industries: natural resources, construction, and manufacturing.

**Natural Resources & Mining.** The natural resources and mining super-sector is made up of two parts: the agriculture, forestry, fishing, and hunting sector, and the mining sector. The agriculture, forestry, fishing, and hunting sector is establishments primarily engaged in growing crops, raising animals, harvesting timber, and harvesting fish or other animals from a farm, ranch, or their natural habitats. The mining sector is made up of establishments that extract naturally occurring mineral solids (coal and ores), liquid

minerals (crude petroleum), and gases (natural gas). The term mining is used in the broad sense to include quarrying, well operations, beneficiating (e.g., crushing, screening, washing, and flotation), and other preparation that is customarily performed at the mine site, or as a part of mining activity. Although growth appeared to be slowing, monthly natural resources and mining employment remained above 2006 levels for the entire year through October. From October 2006 through October 2007, natural resources mining employment increased by 2.2 percent. Figure 2-5 compares monthly employment in natural resources for 2006 and 2007.

Figure 2-5




**Construction.** The construction sector includes establishments primarily engaged in the construction of buildings or engineering projects (e.g., highways and utility systems). Establishments primarily engaged in the preparation of sites for new construction and establishments primarily engaged in subdividing land for sale as building sites also are included in this sector. Construction work done may include new work, additions, alterations, or maintenance and repairs. Activities of these establishments generally are managed at a fixed place of business, but they usually perform construction activities at multiple project sites.

Monthly construction employment has generally remained above 2006 levels through October. From October 2006 through October 2007, construction employment grew at a 1.6 percent rate. This particular industry sector is affected greatly by the seasons and the weather. For this reason, it is important to consider the inherent seasonal nature of the industry when analyzing construction employment changes.

Figure 2-6 presents trends in construction employment in Kansas for 2006 and 2007.


Figure 2-6



**Manufacturing.** The manufacturing sector consists of establishments engaged in the mechanical, physical, or chemical transformation of materials, substances, or components into new products.


Figure 2-7 presents trends in manufacturing employment for 2006 and 2007. Monthly manufacturing employment was well above 2006 levels through October. From October 2006 through October 2007, manufacturing employment increased 1.7 percent.

Figure 2-7



From October 2006 to October 2007, durable goods manufacturing employment increased by 1.2 percent. Durable goods are defined as goods with an expected useful life of more than one year. In 2007, monthly durable goods manufacturing employment were consistently above last year's levels for the entire year. Figure 2-8 presents the yearly trends in durable goods manufacturing employment, by month, for both 2006 and 2007.


Figure 2-8



Three important subcategories within the durable goods manufacturing are machinery, electrical equipment, and transportation equipment. Employment in machinery manufacturing increased 4.1 percent, employment in electrical equipment manufacturing increased 2.4 percent, and employment in transportation equipment manufacturing increased by 3.2 percent.

The dynamics of aircraft and parts manufacturing employment in the Wichita metropolitan area are of particular interest when analyzing the Kansas economy. As shown in Figure 2-9, monthly transportation equipment manufacturing employment has been significantly above 2006 levels for the entire year through October.


Figure 2-9



Employment trends in transportation equipment, a sub-component of durable goods manufacturing, are important for four reasons. First, transportation equipment manufacturing is a major exporting sub-sector within the Kansas economy. Second, aircraft and related parts manufacturing is a major subcategory

of the transportation equipment manufacturing sub-sector. Third, the Wichita metropolitan area's economy is driven largely by aircraft-related manufacturing. Fourth, the Kansas manufacturing industry is driven to a considerable extent by the Wichita metropolitan area's manufacturing employment. For these reasons, employment changes in transportation equipment manufacturing have a potentially significant effect on the Kansas economy. Employment in aerospace products manufacturing rose by 5.9 percent in 2007. Figure 2-10 shows nondurable goods manufacturing employment for 2006 and 2007.

Figure 2-10




Nondurable goods are defined as goods with an expected useful life of less than one year. Monthly employment in nondurable goods manufacturing has been above 2006 levels for the entire year through October. From October 2006 to October 2007, employment in nondurable goods increased by 2.7 percent.

Within nondurable goods manufacturing, the food manufacturing sector accounts for 46.9 percent of employment in Kansas. Food manufacturing employment remained unchanged over this period. Monthly food manufacturing employment was consistently above last year's levels until October when it fell back to its 2006 level. Within food manufacturing, animal slaughtering employment actually decreased by 1.5 percent. Figure 2-11 presents trends in food and kindred products employment in Kansas for 2006 and 2007.

### Services Producing Industries

The second broad classification of employment by place of work is the services producing industries.

Figure 2-11



Employment in the services producing industries grew at a 1.4 percent rate in 2007. There are eight major categories within the services producing industries. They include trade, transportation, and utilities; information; finance; professional and technical services; educational and health services; leisure and hospitality services; other services; and government. In 2007, seven of the eight major categories experienced increases in employment, while three of the major categories experienced decreases.

**Trade, Transportation, & Utilities.** The trade super-sector is made up of two parts: the wholesale trade sector and the retail trade sector. The wholesale trade sector is made up of establishments engaged in wholesaling merchandise, generally without transformation, and rendering services that are incidental to the sale of merchandise. The wholesaling process is an intermediate step in the distribution of merchandise. Wholesalers are organized to sell or arrange the purchase or sale of (1) goods for resale (i.e., goods sold to other wholesalers or retailers), (2) capital or durable non-consumer goods, and (3) raw and intermediate materials and supplies used in production. Wholesalers sell merchandise to other businesses and normally operate from a warehouse or office.


The retail trade sector consists of establishments engaged in retailing merchandise, generally without transformation, and rendering services incidental to the sale of merchandise. The retailing process is the final step in the distribution of merchandise. Retailers are, therefore, organized to sell merchandise in small quantities to the general public. Two main types of retailers exist: store and non-store retailers.

The transportation and warehousing sector includes industries that provide transportation of passengers and cargo, warehousing and storage for goods, scenic and sightseeing transportation, and support activities related to modes of transportation. Establishments in these industries use transportation equipment or transportation-related facilities as a productive asset. The type of equipment used depends on the mode of transportation. The modes of transportation are air, rail, water, road, and pipeline.

The utilities sector consists of establishments engaged in providing utility services, specifically electric power, natural gas, steam supply, water supply, and sewage removal. Within this sector, the specific activities associated with the utility services provided vary by utility. Electric power includes generation, transmission, and distribution; natural gas includes distribution; steam supply includes provision and/or distribution; water supply includes treatment and distribution; and sewage removal includes collection, treatment, and disposal of waste through sewer systems and sewage treatment facilities.

Employment in trade, transportation, and utilities increased 0.9 percent from October 2006 to October 2007. Although slowing after midyear, monthly employment remained above 2006 levels for most of the year through October. Trends in trade, transportation and public utilities employment for 2006 and 2007 are presented in Figure 2-12.


Figure 2-12



Within the trade, transportation, and utilities category, there are four subcategories. These categories include utilities; transportation and warehousing; wholesale trade; and retail trade. With the exception of a slight dip in March and April, monthly utilities employment


remained at 2006 levels through October 2007. Figure 2-13 presents trends in utilities employment in 2006 and 2007.

Figure 2-13



Monthly employment in transportation and warehousing was above last year's levels for most of the year until fall when it fell back to 2006 levels. From October 2006 to October 2007 transportation and warehousing employment increased 0.4 percent. Figure 2-14 presents trends in transportation and warehousing employment in 2006 and 2007.

Figure 2-14




Monthly wholesale trade employment began the year above 2006 levels, but fell back to last year's level in the fall. From October 2006 to October 2007, wholesale trade employment increased 0.2 percent. Trends in wholesale trade employment for both 2006 and 2007 are shown in Figure 2-15 at the top of the following page.

Monthly retail trade employment levels remained above 2006 levels for the entire year. From October 2006 to October 2007, retail trade employment



Figure 2-15



increased 1.4 percent. Trends in retail trade employment during 2006 and 2007 are shown in Figure 2-16.


Figure 2-16



**Information.** The information sector includes establishments that are engaged in the following processes: (1) producing and distributing information and cultural products, (2) providing the means to transmit or distribute these products, as well as data or communications, and (3) processing data. The first major component of this sector is the publishing industry, which includes software publishing, traditional publishing, and publishing exclusively on the Internet. The second major component is motion picture and sound recording. The third is broadcasting, which includes traditional broadcasting, as well as broadcasting exclusively over the Internet. Telecommunications also is a component of this sector, as are Internet service providers and web search portals, data processing industries, and the information services industries. During the first half of the year, monthly information employment was running ahead of last year; however, since that time, the trend has reversed, with employment level running below last

year. Figure 2-17 shows the 2006 and 2007 trends for information employment.

Figure 2-17



From October 2006 to October 2007, employment in information decreased 0.5 percent in 2006. Specifically within that category, telecommunications employment decreased by 2.1 percent over that same time.


**Financial Activities.** The financial activities supersector is made up of two parts: the finance and insurance sector, and the real estate and rental and leasing sector. The finance and insurance sector consists of establishments primarily engaged in financial transactions (transactions involving the creation, liquidation, or change in ownership of financial assets) and/or in facilitating financial transactions. Three principal types of activities are identified: (1) raising funds by taking deposits and/or issuing securities and, in the process, incurring liabilities; (2) pooling of risk by underwriting insurance and annuities; and (3) providing specialized services that facilitate or support financial intermediation, insurance, and employee benefit programs. The real estate and rental and leasing sector consists of establishments primarily engaged in renting, leasing, or otherwise allowing the use of tangible or intangible assets, and those that provide related services.

The major portion of this sector is made up of establishments that rent, lease, or otherwise allow the use of their own assets by others. This sector also includes establishments primarily engaged in managing real estate for others, selling, renting and/or buying real estate for others, and appraising real estate.

The main components of this sector are the real estate lessors industries; equipment lessors industries, including motor vehicles, computers, and consumer goods; and lessors of non-financial intangible assets, except copyrighted works.

From October 2006 to October 2007, employment in financial activities increased by 1.8 percent. Within this category insurance employment increased 0.9 percent, credit intermediation employment increased 3.5 percent, and real estate employment increased by 1.3 percent. As shown in Figure 2-18, financial activities employment levels remained above 2006 levels for the entire year.

Figure 2-18



**Professional & Business Services.** The professional and technical services super-sector is made up of three parts: the professional, scientific, and technical services sector; the management of companies and enterprises sector; and the administrative/support and waste management/remediation services sector. The professional, scientific, and technical services sector consists of establishments that specialize in performing professional, scientific, and technical activities for others. These establishments perform activities that include: legal advice and representation; veterinarian services; accounting, bookkeeping, and payroll services; as well as architectural, engineering, and specialized design services.


Also included in the professional, scientific, and technical services sector are enterprises that provide services related to computers, consulting, research, advertising, photography, translation, and interpretation. The sector that is entitled management of enterprises includes establishments that hold the securities of, or other equity interests in, enterprises for

the purpose of owning a controlling interest or influencing management decisions, as well as establishments that administer sections of the enterprise that normally undertake the strategic or organizational planning and decision making role. Government is not included here, but is reported as a separate sector.

The administrative/support and waste management/remediation services sector is made up of establishments that perform routine support activities for the day-to-day operations of other organizations. These essential activities are often undertaken in-house in many sectors of the economy. Activities performed include office administration, hiring and placing personnel, as well as document preparation and similar clerical services. It also includes solicitation, collection, security and surveillance, cleaning, and waste disposal.

Professional and business services employment remained above 2006 levels for the entire year. However, in October, employment rebounded. The result was a year-over-year increase of 4.3 percent. Within this category, employment in the management of companies and enterprises increased 10.0 percent, while employment in administrative and support services increased 4.1 percent, while employment in professional, scientific, and technical services increased 3.7 percent. Figure 2-19 presents trends in professional and business services employment for 2006 and 2007.

Figure 2-19




**Educational & Health Services.** The education and health services super-sector is made up of two parts: the educational services sector and the health care and

social assistance sector. Only privately-owned establishments are included here because publicly-owned establishments that provide education or health services are included in government. The educational services sector is made up of establishments that provide instruction and training in a variety of subjects. This instruction and training is provided by specialized institutions, such as schools, colleges, universities, and training centers. The health care and social assistance sector is made up of establishments that provide health care and social assistance for individuals. The industries in this sector are arranged on a continuum starting with those that provide medical care exclusively, continuing with those that provide health care and social assistance, and finally those that provide only social assistance.

Education and health services employment remained above 2006 levels for the entire year. The result was an overall 1.4 percent gain compared to a year ago. Within this category, employment in hospitals increased by 3.1 percent and employment in health care and social assistance increased by 2.0 percent. Figure 2-20 presents trends in educational and health services employment for 2006 and 2007.

Figure 2-20




**Leisure & Hospitality Services.** The leisure and hospitality super-sector is made up of two parts: the arts, entertainment, and recreation sector, and the accommodation and food services sector. The arts, entertainment, and recreation sector includes a range of establishments that operate facilities or provide services to meet varied cultural, entertainment, and recreational interests. This sector includes establishments that are involved in producing, promoting, or participating in live performances, events, or exhibits intended for public viewing; establishments that

preserve and exhibit objects and sites of historical, cultural, or educational interest; and establishments that operate facilities or provide services that enable patrons to participate in recreational activities or pursue amusement, hobby, and leisure-time interests. The accommodation and food services sector consists of establishments that provide customers with lodging and/or prepare meals, snacks, and beverages for immediate consumption. The sector includes both accommodation and food services establishments because the two activities are often combined at the same establishment.

In 2007, employment in leisure and hospitality services remained above 2006 levels, although growth began subsiding during the fall. From October 2006 to October 2007 industry employment was up 1.1 percent. Within that sector, employment in food services increased 2.1 percent and employment in accommodations increased 1.3 percent, while employment in arts and entertainment were and amusement and gambling were unchanged. Figure 2-21 below presents trends in leisure and hospitality employment for 2006 and 2007.


Figure 2-21



**Other Services.** The other services sector consists of establishments engaged in providing services not specifically provided for elsewhere in the North American Industry Classification System. Establishments in this sector are primarily engaged in activities, such as equipment and machinery repair; promoting or administering religious activities; grant making; advocacy; and providing dry cleaning and laundry services, personal care services, death care services, pet care services, photofinishing services, temporary parking services, and dating services.

Figure 2-22 presents other services employment trends for 2006 and 2007.


Figure 2-22



From October 2006 to October 2007, employment in other services increased by 2.9 percent. Other services employment began the year below 2006 levels, but since February has surged significantly above last year's levels.

**Government.** The government sector is made up of publicly-owned establishments. This sector includes federal, state, and local government agencies that administer, oversee, and manage public programs and have executive, legislative, or judicial authority over other institutions. These agencies also set policy, create laws, adjudicate civil and criminal legal cases, and provide for public safety and national defense. Public schools and public hospitals are included in government. Figure 2-23 presents trends in government employment for 2006 and 2007.

Figure 2-23




Total government employment (civilian only) in Kansas increased by 0.3 percent from October 2006 to

October 2007. Local government employment increased 1.5 percent, while state government employment increased 0.9 percent, and federal government employment in Kansas declined 8.9 percent. Overall government employment in 2007 was slightly above 2006 levels for the entire year through October. A reduction in government employment typically occurs each summer and is attributable largely to the fact that faculty at public schools and universities are on nine-month appointments.

## Farming

Farm employment was significantly below 2006 levels for most of 2007 through October. Thus, compared to October of 2005, farm employment was down 14.9 percent in 2007. Much of the variation in farm employment during the year is due to the inherently seasonal nature of the industry. Figure 2-24 presents farm employment trends for 2006 and 2007.

Figure 2-24



## Comparative Employment in the Plains Region

The employment data presented in this section may not be in exact conformity with data presented earlier because the data are compiled from different sources. The information in earlier sections is based on data from the Kansas Department of Labor, Labor Market Information Services, while the information in this section is based on data from the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. In all cases, this report presents the most recent data available. Table 2-4, which is shown on the next page, presents

Table 2-4

	Plains Region Employment Growth Rates, 2005-2006							
	KS	IA	MN	Percent Change				Plains
				MO	NE	ND	SD	
Total Nonfarm	1.5 %	1.5 %	1.4 %	1.4 %	1.3 %	2.4 %	2.3 %	1.5 %
Natural Resources & Mining	13.2	4.8	--	1.9	NA	14.6	--	6.9
Mining	NA	NA	2.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	2.0
Construction	3.4	4.6	0.3	1.0	NA	5.7	4.8	2.1
Manufacturing	1.4	0.9	0.1	(0.7)	0.3	0.8	4.3	0.4
Durable Goods	2.9	1.5	0.4	(1.4)	2.3	1.7	5.2	0.8
Fabricated Metal Products	NA	4.4	2.6	--	3.6	NA	NA	2.2
Machinery	1.8	3.4	0.3	4.7	2.1	3.2	NA	2.6
Elec. Equip., App., & Components	(4.4)	NA	2.5	--	NA	NA	NA	--
Transportation Equipment	4.3	(3.0)	(5.2)	(5.6)	4.8	NA	NA	(1.4)
Aerospace Products & Parts	5.8	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	5.8
Non-Durable Goods	(0.9)	(0.1)	(0.5)	0.5	(1.3)	(1.2)	3.1	(0.2)
Food	0.9	(0.2)	0.2	1.9	(1.5)	--	1.3	0.3
Animal Slaughtering & Processing	1.6	(1.0)	(1.9)	NA	(2.4)	NA	NA	(1.0)
Printing & Related Support Activ.	NA	NA	(1.6)	NA	(1.9)	NA	NA	(1.6)
Plastics & Rubber Products	NA	NA	NA	NA	--	NA	NA	--
Trade, Transportation, & Utilities	0.2	0.8	0.8	1.0	0.4	2.6	1.8	0.8
Wholesale Trade	0.2	0.4	1.5	0.9	0.5	1.6	4.0	1.0
Retail Trade	--	--	0.9	0.4	(0.5)	2.9	--	0.4
Motor Vehicles & Parts	0.5	--	(1.5)	0.2	(1.6)	(1.7)	NA	(0.4)
Grocery Stores	3.1	NA	0.2	NA	(1.2)	NA	NA	0.6
General Merchandise Stores	(3.9)	(0.9)	1.8	(1.6)	--	9.3	(1.0)	(0.5)
Transportation & Utilities	0.6	3.6	(0.6)	2.8	2.1	3.0	5.0	1.8
Utilities	--	(1.4)	--	0.8	NA	(3.0)	--	(0.2)
Elec. Power Gen., Trans., & Dist.	1.9	NA	NA	1.3	NA	NA	NA	1.5
Transportation & Warehousing	0.7	4.2	(0.7)	3.1	2.4	4.0	6.1	2.0
Truck Transportation	1.8	4.7	3.8	3.4	--	6.1	NA	3.0
Information	(1.0)	(0.6)	(2.4)	(1.6)	(3.5)	(1.3)	2.9	(1.6)
Telecommunications	0.9	NA	(3.4)	(1.3)	NA	NA	NA	(1.0)
Financial Activities	1.8	2.3	0.8	1.2	1.9	2.1	3.9	1.6
Finance & Insurance	2.7	2.8	0.9	1.5	2.2	1.9	4.5	1.9
Credit Intermediation & Rel. Activ.	1.8	2.1	0.3	1.2	3.6	NA	4.5	1.7
Insurance Carriers & Rel. Activities	2.7	3.4	1.6	2.6	0.7	1.5	NA	2.2
Real Estate & Rental & Leasing	(1.3)	NA	0.8	0.5	--	3.0	--	0.4
Professional & Business Services	3.8	3.2	4.6	3.2	4.5	8.7	4.1	4.0
Professional, Scientific, & Technical	4.9	5.2	4.9	3.8	7.0	8.3	5.4	4.9
Mgmt. of Companies & Enterprises	3.1	NA	3.8	0.7	4.0	11.8	--	2.6
Adm. & Sup. & Waste Mgmt & Rem.	3.2	1.4	4.8	4.2	2.7	7.3	4.8	3.8
Educational & Health Services	1.2	2.1	3.9	2.3	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.5
Education	NA	3.0	2.3	2.7	3.4	3.4	8.2	2.9
Health Care & Social Assistance	1.2	1.9	4.1	2.2	1.8	2.2	1.4	2.5
Hospitals	1.7	NA	4.5	1.2	0.8	1.2	1.6	2.1
Leisure & Hospitality	3.0	1.9	1.4	2.3	1.6	1.3	1.9	2.0
Arts, Entertainment, & Recreation	4.9	NA	3.8	2.0	5.5	2.9	--	3.2
Amusement, Gambling, & Recreation	5.4	NA	2.6	0.3	2.4	--	NA	1.9
Accommodation & Food Services	2.7	1.2	1.0	2.4	1.2	1.1	2.6	1.7
Food Services & Drinking Places	2.6	NA	0.8	2.9	1.0	0.9	2.9	1.9
Other Services	(0.6)	0.5	(0.3)	0.6	0.6	--	2.6	0.2
Government	1.4	0.9	(0.2)	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.1	0.6
Federal	1.2	--	(0.9)	(0.9)	(0.6)	--	(0.9)	(0.4)
State	0.8	0.8	1.2	1.4	0.3	1.8	--	1.0
Local	1.6	0.9	(0.6)	0.9	1.1	0.5	0.4	0.6

Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics

Table 2-5

	Plains Region Employment Growth Rates, October 2006-October 2007							
	KS	IA	MN	MO	NE	ND	SD	Plains
Total Nonfarm	1.4 %	1.5 %	0.1 %	0.7 %	1.4 %	1.4 %	1.7 %	0.9 %
Construction & Mining	1.7	3.3	(4.6)	2.8	2.2	3.2	(0.8)	0.6
Manufacturing	1.7	0.3	(3.2)	(2.9)	--	0.8	1.9	(1.3)
Trade, Transportation, & Utilities	0.9	1.0	(0.3)	1.1	0.5	1.0	1.9	0.6
Information	(0.5)	(0.3)	(2.3)	0.2	2.1	--	1.4	(0.4)
Financial Activities	1.8	2.3	0.3	(0.2)	1.1	2.6	4.3	1.0
Professional & Business Services	4.3	3.3	--	0.7	4.8	2.6	5.4	1.8
Educational & Health Services	1.4	2.5	3.9	1.4	3.0	3.0	2.9	2.6
Leisure & Hospitality Services	1.1	2.6	2.0	2.7	2.4	1.9	0.7	2.1
Other Services	2.9	(0.2)	(0.9)	0.6	0.6	--	2.6	0.4
Government	0.3	0.7	0.8	0.6	(0.6)	--	(0.8)	0.4

Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics

employment growth rates for Kansas and the Plains region from 2005 to 2006. The Plains region encompasses seven states, including Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota. Table 2-5 shows employment growth rates for Kansas and the Plains region from October 2006 to October 2007.

### Employment by Industry Type

Total nonfarm employment in Kansas increased at a rate of 1.5 percent in 2006, the same rate as the Plains region as a whole. North Dakota experienced the highest rate of increase at 2.4 percent, followed by South Dakota at 2.3 percent, and Kansas and Iowa at 1.5 percent.

From October 2006 to October 2007, total nonfarm employment in Kansas increased at a 1.4 percent rate compared to a 0.9 percent growth rate realized by the Plains region as a whole. South Dakota had the highest growth rate in the region at 1.7 percent, followed by Iowa at 1.5 percent, and Kansas, Nebraska, and North Dakota at 1.4 percent each. Increases in Kansas employment that were greater than the regional average include: construction and mining at 1.7 percent; manufacturing at 1.7 percent; trade, transportation, and utilities at 0.9 percent; financial activities at 1.8 percent, professional and business services at 4.3 percent, and other services at 2.9 percent. Industries in Kansas that realized employment increases below the regional average

include educational and health services at 1.4 percent, leisure and hospitality services at 1.1 percent, and government at 0.3 percent. Also below the regional average was information which decreased by 0.5 percent compared to the region's 0.4 percent drop.

**Natural Resources & Mining.** Mining and natural resources employment in the Plains region rose 6.9 percent in 2006. The region was led by North Dakota which reported a 14.6 percent surge, followed by Kansas which experienced a 13.2 percent rise, and Iowa which experienced a 4.8 percent increase.

**Construction.** Construction employment in the Plains region increased 2.1 percent in 2006. North Dakota led the region with a 5.7 percent increase, followed by South Dakota with a 4.8 percent increase, and Iowa with a 4.6 percent increase. Kansas came in fourth with a 3.4 percent increase in construction employment. From October 2006 to October 2007, construction and mining employment in the Plains region, on average, increased by 0.6 percent. Kansas ranked fifth with a 1.7 percent increase. Iowa led the region with a 3.3 percent increase, followed by North Dakota with a 3.2 percent increase, and Missouri with a 2.8 percent increase.

**Manufacturing.** Manufacturing employment in the Plains region increased by 0.4 percent in 2006. South Dakota led the region with a 4.3 percent increase, followed by Kansas with a 1.4 percent increase, and Iowa with a 0.9 percent increase. From October 2006 to October 2007, manufacturing employment in the region decreased 1.3 percent. South Dakota led the

region with a 1.9 percent increase, followed by Kansas with a 1.7 percent increase, and North Dakota with a 0.8 percent increase.

**Trade, Transportation, & Utilities.** In 2006, trade, transportation, and utilities employment increased by 0.8 percent in the region. North Dakota recorded the largest employment increase at 2.6 percent, followed by South Dakota at 1.8 percent, and Missouri at 1.0 percent. Kansas came in last with a 0.2 percent increase. From October 2006 to October 2007, trade, transportation, and utilities employment growth in the region increased by 0.6 percent. South Dakota led the seven-state region with a 1.9 percent growth rate, followed by Missouri with a 1.1 percent growth rate, and Iowa and North Dakota with 1.0 percent growth, each. Kansas ranked in the region with 0.9 percent growth.

**Information.** In 2006, information employment in the Plains region decreased by 1.6 percent. South Dakota led the region with a 2.9 percent increase, followed by Iowa with a 0.6 percent decrease, and Kansas with a 1.0 percent decrease. Between October 2006 and October 2007, information employment in the Plains region decreased by 0.4 percent. Nebraska led the region with a 2.1 percent increase, followed by South Dakota with a 1.4 percent increase, and Missouri with a 0.2 percent increase. Kansas ranked sixth in the seven-state region with a 0.5 percent decrease in information employment.

**Financial Activities.** In 2006, financial activities employment grew at a 1.6 percent rate across the region as a whole. South Dakota led the region with a 3.9 percent growth rate, followed by Iowa with a 2.3 percent growth rate, and North Dakota with a 2.1 percent growth rate. Kansas ranked fifth with a 1.8 percent growth rate. Between October 2006 and October 2007, financial activities employment in the Plains region grew at a 1.0 percent rate. South Dakota led the region with a 4.3 percent growth rate, followed by North Dakota with a 2.6 percent growth rate, and Iowa with a 2.3 percent growth rate. Kansas ranked fourth in the region with a 1.8 percent growth rate.

**Professional & Business Services.** Professional and business services employment increased by 4.0 percent in the region as a whole in 2006. North Dakota led the region with 8.7 percent growth, followed by Minnesota with 4.6 percent growth, and Nebraska with

4.5 percent growth. Kansas ranked fifth with 3.8 percent growth. Between October 2006 and October 2007, the region as a whole experienced a 1.8 percent increase in employment in this category. South Dakota led the region with 5.4 percent growth, followed by Nebraska with 4.8 percent growth, and Kansas with 4.3 percent growth.

**Educational & Health Services.** Educational and health services employment in the Plains region as a whole increased 2.5 percent in 2006. Minnesota led the region with a 3.9 percent growth rate, followed by Missouri with a 2.3 percent growth rate, and Iowa and South Dakota with 2.1 percent growth each. Kansas was last in the region with a 1.2 percent growth rate. Between October 2006 and October 2007, the region as a whole experienced a 2.6 percent growth rate in educational and health services employment. Minnesota led the region with 3.9 percent growth, followed by Nebraska and North Dakota with 3.0 percent growth each. Kansas tied with Missouri for last with 1.4 percent growth.

**Leisure & Hospitality Services.** In 2006, leisure and hospitality services employment in the Plains region as a whole increased at a 2.0 percent rate. Kansas experienced the highest growth at 3.0 percent, followed by Missouri with 2.3 percent growth, and Iowa and South Dakota with 1.9 percent growth each. Between October 2006 and October 2007, leisure and hospitality services employment increased by 2.1 percent in the region. Missouri recorded the highest growth at 2.7 percent, followed by Iowa with a 2.6 percent growth rate, and Nebraska with 2.4 percent growth. Kansas ranked sixth in the seven-state region with a 1.1 percent increase.

**Other Services.** Other services employment increased at a 0.2 percent rate in the Plains region in 2006. South Dakota led the region in other services employment growth with a 2.6 percent increase, followed by Missouri and Nebraska with 0.6 percent increases each. Between October 2006 and October 2007, the region as a whole experienced 0.4 percent growth in other services employment. Kansas led the region with 2.9 percent growth, followed by South Dakota with 2.6 percent growth, and Missouri and Nebraska with 0.6 percent growth each.

**Government.** Government employment in the Plains region increased 0.6 percent in 2006. Kansas led the

region with a 1.4 percent growth rate, followed by Iowa with a 0.9 percent growth rate, and Missouri with a 0.8 percent growth rate. Between October 2006 and October 2007, government employment in the Plains region increased by 0.4 percent. Minnesota led the region with a 0.8 percent increase, followed by Iowa with a 0.7 percent increase, and Missouri with a 0.6 percent increase. Kansas ranked fourth with a 0.3 percent increase.

## **Kansas Personal Income Review**

Personal income is defined as the income received by, or on behalf of, all residents. It consists of income from all sources received by persons, which includes participation in production, both government and business transfer payments, and government interest, which is treated as a transfer payment. "Persons" is defined as individuals, nonprofit institutions primarily serving individuals, private noninsured welfare funds, and private trust funds.

Personal income is calculated by summing its components, which include salaries and wages, other labor income, proprietors' income, personal rental income, personal dividend income, personal interest income, and personal transfer payments, less personal contributions for social insurance.

A lag of eight months occurs before final estimates of state personal income for the previous calendar year are released. Accordingly, 2006 estimates of Kansas personal income were not available until August 2007. Kansas personal income totaled over \$96.0 billion in 2006, which is a 7.0 percent increase over 2005.

### **Personal Income by Source**

Salaries and wages accounted for over half of Kansas personal income and increased at a 7.3 percent rate in 2006. The second largest source of personal income in Kansas is dividends, interest, and rent. Dividends, interest, and rent surged by 10.0 percent. Other labor income increased 6.7 percent in 2006. This category consists largely of employer payments for health insurance and other benefits. Other labor income reached a level of approximately \$13.1 billion in 2006, indicating the importance of fringe benefits in personal

income growth. Proprietors' income decreased 1.1 percent in 2006. Specifically, farm proprietors' income dropped by 76.0 percent in 2006, while nonfarm proprietors' income increased 4.7 percent. Transfers increased at a 9.1 percent rate in 2006, while personal contributions for social insurance increased 7.2 percent. A significant portion of Kansas personal income is paid to Kansans from out-of-state sources. This portion is particularly significant for residents who live in Johnson and Wyandotte Counties and work in Missouri. This significance is reflected in the residence adjustment of \$812.2 million, which decreased by 6.3 percent in 2006.

### **Nonfarm Earnings by Industry**

Increases in earnings were realized in a number of industries in 2006. Specifically, forestry, fishing, and related activities earnings increased 3.5 percent in 2006, while mining earnings increased 27.9 percent and utilities earnings increased 2.9 percent. Earnings from construction increased 5.7 percent and manufacturing earnings increased 9.1 percent in 2006. Specifically, durable goods manufacturing earnings increased 11.0 percent, while nondurable goods manufacturing earnings increased 6.2 percent. Wholesale trade earnings increased 4.8 percent, while retail trade earnings increased 4.4 percent. Transportation and warehousing earnings increased 4.6 percent.

In 2006, the only decrease in earnings in the services industries was realized in real estate and rental and leasing which decreased 5.8 percent. Increases were realized in professional and technical services at 7.5 percent, management of companies and enterprises at 22.1 percent, administrative and waste services at 11.6 percent, and educational services at 6.2 percent. Increases also were realized in earnings from health care and social assistance services at 4.7 percent, arts, entertainment, and recreation at 3.4 percent, accommodation and food services at 5.5 percent, and other services at 2.9 percent in 2006. In the government sector, earnings grew at a 7.8 percent rate in 2006. Within total government, civilian federal government earnings increased 5.9 percent, military earnings grew by 15.7 percent, and state and local government earnings increased 6.5 percent. Appendix A presents a breakdown of Kansas personal income and growth rates by industry for 2005 and 2006.



Table 2-6

<b>Kansas Personal Income</b>					
<b>2006 Actual, 2007 Estimate, &amp; 2008 Forecast</b>					
<i>(Dollars in Millions)</i>					
	2006	2007	2008	Percent Change	
	Actual	Estimate	Forecast	2006-2007	2007-2008
Salaries & Wages Disbursements	\$ 51,073	\$ 54,436	\$ 56,894	6.6 %	4.5 %
Other Labor Income	13,109	13,921	14,609	6.2	4.9
Proprietors' Income:	8,746	9,540	10,228	9.1	7.2
Farm	153	625	905	308.5	44.8
Nonfarm	8,593	8,915	9,323	3.7	4.6
Dividends, Interest, & Rent	16,477	17,763	18,836	7.8	6.0
Transfers	13,888	14,777	15,533	6.4	5.1
Residence Adjustment	812	713	681	(12.2)	(4.5)
Less: Social Insurance	8,071	8,584	8,986	6.4	4.7
Total Personal Income	96,034	102,566	107,795	6.8	5.1

## Kansas Personal Income Estimates

Personal income in Kansas is estimated to have grown by 6.8 percent in 2007. Table 2-6 above presents Kansas personal income in 2006. In addition, the table shows the estimates for 2007 and the forecasts for 2008.

In 2007, salaries and wages, which are the largest component of Kansas personal income, are estimated to have increased 6.6 percent, while other labor income increased 6.2 percent, and overall proprietors' income increased 9.1 percent. Specifically, farm proprietors' income increased by 308.5 percent, while nonfarm proprietors' income increased 3.7 percent. During the same time frame, dividends, interest, and rent increased by 7.8 percent, and transfer payments increased by 6.4 percent. Finally, the residence adjustment for income earned by Kansas residents from sources outside the state declined 12.2 percent.

For 2008, Kansas personal income is forecasted to grow at a 5.1 percent rate. Growth in salaries and wages is expected to slow to 4.5 percent, while other labor income growth is expected to slow to 4.9 percent. Proprietors' income growth is expected to increase 7.2 percent, while growth in dividends, interest, and rent is expected to increase 6.0 percent. Transfer payments are expected to grow at a 5.1 percent rate in 2008.

## Comparative Personal Income

In 2006, personal income growth in Kansas at 7.0 percent was higher than the growth that occurred in the Plains region at 5.3 percent and the U.S. at 6.6 percent. Within the Plains region, Kansas was the fastest growing state with 7.0 percent growth, followed by Iowa with 5.5 percent growth, and Nebraska with 5.3 percent growth. Appendix B presents a listing of comparative state personal income and per capita personal income data for all states for 2004 through 2006.

In 2006, Kansas per capita personal income growth at 6.4 percent exceeded both the Plains region at 4.6 percent and the U.S. at 5.6 percent. Kansas had the largest increase in per capita personal income in the Plains region at 6.4 percent, followed by Iowa at 4.9 percent, and Nebraska at 4.7 percent.

**Personal Income.** Nationally, Kansas ranked 31st in total personal income, while Minnesota ranked 17th, Missouri ranked 20th, Iowa ranked 30th, Nebraska ranked 36th, South Dakota ranked 47th, and North Dakota ranked 50th in 2006. In terms of personal income growth, the Plains region, which averaged 5.3 percent growth, was ranked seventh out of the eight statistical regions. The Southwest region was the fastest growing at a rate of 8.5 percent. Nationally, Kansas ranked 17th at 7.0, while Iowa ranked 39th at 5.5 percent, Nebraska ranked 40th at 5.3 percent,

Missouri ranked 41st at 5.3 percent, Minnesota ranked 45th at 5.1 percent, North Dakota ranked 49th at 3.3 percent, and South Dakota ranked 51st at 2.8 percent.

**Per Capita Personal Income.** In 2006, the Plains region's average per capita personal income at \$34,774 lagged behind the national average of \$36,629, and was ranked fifth out of the eight regions. The New England region had the highest per capita personal income of \$44,252, followed by the Mideast region at \$42,545, and the Far West region at \$38,663.

In 2006, Kansas ranked 23rd in the U.S. in per capita personal income at \$34,744. The Plains region state that ranked highest nationally was Minnesota's 14th place with a dollar value of \$38,751. After Kansas, Nebraska was ranked 26th at \$34,383, North Dakota was ranked 30th at \$33,034, Iowa was ranked 31st at \$33,017, Missouri was 32nd at \$32,793, and South Dakota was 33rd at \$32,405.

In terms of per capita personal income growth, the Plains region, which averaged 4.6 percent, lagged behind the national average of 5.6 percent, and ranked seventh out of the eight regions in 2006. The Mideast was the fastest growing region at 6.6 percent followed by the Southeast and New England regions at 5.9 percent each. In 2006 Kansas ranked 9th in per capita personal income growth at 6.4 percent, while Iowa ranked 34th at 4.9 percent, Nebraska ranked 36th at 4.7 percent, Missouri ranked 38th at 4.5 percent, Minnesota ranked 42nd at 4.3 percent, North Dakota ranked 49th at 3.1 percent, and South Dakota ranked 51st at 1.9 percent.

**Disposable Personal Income.** Disposable personal income is defined as the amount equal to personal income minus personal taxes. In other words, disposable income is the income available for personal use. Nationally, Kansas ranked 32nd in disposable personal income. In 2006, other states in the region that ranked higher than Kansas include Minnesota ranked at 18th, Missouri at 20th, and Iowa at 30th. In 2006, states in the region that ranked lower than Kansas include Nebraska ranked at 36th, South Dakota at 48th, and North Dakota at 50th.

In terms of disposable income growth, the Plains region, which averaged 4.7 percent growth, lagged the national average of 5.9 percent and was ranked

seventh out of the eight statistical regions. The Southwest region was the fastest growing at a rate of 7.8 percent followed by the Rocky Mountain region with a growth rate of 6.9 percent, and the Southeast region with a growth rate of 6.6 percent. Within the Plains region, Kansas was the fastest growing at 6.1 percent, followed by Iowa at 4.9 percent, Missouri at 4.7 percent, Nebraska at 4.6 percent, Minnesota at 4.5 percent, North Dakota at 2.4 percent, and South Dakota at 2.0 percent. Appendix C presents comparative state total and per capita disposable personal income data for the U.S., the eight regions, and each state for 2004 through 2006.

**Per Capita Disposable Personal Income.** The Plains region's average per capita disposable personal income of \$30,825 lagged behind the national average of \$32,111 in 2006. The Plains ranked fifth regionally. New England had the highest regional per capita personal income at \$37,738, followed by the Mideast region at \$36,449, and the Far West region at \$33,484. Of the Plains region states, Minnesota ranked 16th in per capita personal income at \$33,672, followed by Kansas at \$32,377, Nebraska at \$30,703, North Dakota at \$30,014, South Dakota at \$29,605, Missouri at \$29,181, and Iowa at \$28,244.

In terms of per capita disposable personal income growth, the Plains region averaged 4.0 percent, which was lower than the national average of 4.9 percent. It ranked seventh out of the eight regions nationally. The Mideast region was the fastest growing at 5.8 percent, followed by the Southeast region with a 5.3 percent growth rate, and the Southwest region with a 5.1 percent growth rate. Of the Plains region states, Kansas had the highest national ranking at 17th with 5.1 percent growth, followed by Nebraska at 37th with 4.0 percent growth, Missouri at 41st with 3.9 percent growth, Minnesota at 42nd with 3.6 percent growth, Iowa at 49th with 2.3 percent growth, North Dakota at 50th with 2.2 percent growth, and South Dakota at 51st with 1.1 percent growth.

**Per Capita Personal Income Trends.** Kansas per capita personal income in 2006 was \$34,744, which is a 6.4 percent increase from the 2005 level. This increase is above both the 5.6 percent growth rate for the U.S. as a whole and the 4.6 percent growth rate for the Plains region. This is the first time since 1994 that the state's per capita personal income has equaled the Plains region average. Kansas per capita income in

Table 2-7

<b>Per Capita Personal Income, 1995-2006</b>								
<b>Kansas, Plains Region, &amp; U.S.</b>								
<u>Year</u>	<u>Kansas</u>	<u>Plains Region</u>	<u>U.S.</u>	<u>Percentage Change from Prior Year</u>			<u>Kansas as a Percentage of:</u>	
				<u>Kansas</u>	<u>Plains Region</u>	<u>U.S.</u>	<u>Plains Region</u>	<u>U.S.</u>
1995	21,558	21,934	23,076	-- %	-- %	-- %	98.3 %	93.4 %
1996	22,845	23,378	24,175	6.0	6.6	4.8	97.7	94.5
1997	24,041	24,422	25,334	5.2	4.5	4.8	98.4	94.9
1998	25,483	25,928	26,883	6.0	6.2	6.1	98.3	94.8
1999	26,195	26,737	27,939	2.8	3.1	3.9	98.0	93.8
2000	27,691	28,324	29,843	5.7	5.9	6.8	97.8	92.8
2001	28,701	29,041	30,562	3.6	2.5	2.4	98.8	93.9
2002	28,955	29,616	30,795	0.9	2.0	0.8	97.8	94.0
2003	29,745	30,582	31,466	2.7	3.3	2.2	97.3	94.5
2004	30,902	32,040	33,072	3.9	4.8	5.1	96.4	93.4
2005	32,666	33,237	34,685	5.7	3.7	4.9	98.3	94.2
2006	34,744	34,774	36,629	6.4	4.6	5.6	99.9	94.9

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis

2006 equaled the Plains region's average of \$34,774, but was still 5.1 percent below the national average. Over the past ten years Kansas per capita income, as a percentage of the national average, has ranged from a

high of 94.9 percent in 2006, to a low of 92.8 percent in 2000. Table 2-7 presents historical per capita personal income data for Kansas, the Plains region, and the U.S. from 1995 through 2006.

## Chapter 3

# Local & Regional Employment & Income

---

### Major Labor Market Employment

The economy of Kansas generally is concentrated in urbanized areas, each with a similar economic base. For statistical purposes, these geographic areas include 4 metropolitan areas and 11 selected counties. The metropolitan areas include the Wichita metropolitan area (Butler, Harvey, and Sedgwick Counties), the Topeka metropolitan area (Shawnee County), the Lawrence metropolitan area (Douglas County) and the Kansas City, Kansas metropolitan area (Franklin, Johnson, Leavenworth, Linn, Miami, and Wyandotte Counties). The selected counties each contain a city that is the major economic base for the region.

In addition, the city has a population that is in excess of 12,000 people and constitutes at least 50.0 percent of the total county population. The 11 selected counties and associated cities are Barton Co./Great Bend, Crawford Co./Pittsburg, Ellis Co./Hays, Finney Co./Garden City, Ford Co./Dodge City, Lyon Co./Emporia, McPherson Co./McPherson, Montgomery Co./Coffeyville-Independence, Reno Co./Hutchinson, Riley Co./Manhattan, and Saline Co./Salina. It should be kept in mind that employment estimates reported in this section are based on data through October 2007, the most recent data available when this report was prepared.

### Employment by Place of Residence

Employment by place of residence is based on a sample survey of households. From the sample survey, the civilian labor force is determined. This number is then used as the basis from which employment, unemployment, and the unemployment rates are derived.

From October 2006 to October 2007, the civilian labor force increased in all four of the state's major labor markets. The labor force increased 2.9 percent in the Wichita area, 2.6 percent in the Topeka area, 1.3

percent in the Lawrence area, and 0.6 percent in the Kansas City, Kansas area.

Employment reflected the same pattern as the labor force with increases in all four metropolitan areas. Employment increased by 4.2 percent in the Wichita area, 4.0 percent in the Topeka area, 2.1 percent in the Lawrence area, and 1.4 percent in the Kansas City, Kansas area.

Similarly, the unemployment rate declined in all four of the state's metropolitan labor markets from October 2006 to October 2007. The unemployment rate fell from 4.9 percent to 3.7 percent in the Topeka area; from 4.4 percent to 3.3 percent in the Wichita area; from 4.8 percent to 4.0 percent in the Kansas City, Kansas area; and from 3.6 percent to 2.8 percent in the Lawrence area.

### Employment by Place of Work

Employment by place of work is determined by compiling data primarily from information obtained from businesses covered by the unemployment insurance program. Employment by place of work data are then categorized by industry type. The two major categories are the goods producing industries and the services producing industries. The following section first presents employment by place of work for each of the 4 major metropolitan areas. The data for each of the 11 counties are presented in the following section.

**Wichita Metropolitan Area.** Based on place of work data, employment in all industries in the Wichita metropolitan area increased 4.3 percent in 2007. Employment in the goods producing industries increased by 5.3 percent, while employment in the service producing industries increased by 3.9 percent. Notably, employment in transportation equipment manufacturing increased 6.3 percent and employment in aerospace products manufacturing increased 6.5 percent. Table 3-1 at the top of the next page, presents

Table 3-1

<b>Wichita Metropolitan Area Employment Butler, Harvey &amp; Sedgwick Counties October 2006-October 2007</b>			
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>% Chg.</b>
<b>Place of Residence Data</b>			
Civilian Labor Force	309,961	319,054	2.9
Employment	296,227	308,616	4.2
Unemployment	13,734	10,438	(24.0)
Unemployment Rate	4.4	3.3	(1.1)
<b>Place of Work Data</b>			
All Industries	297,900	310,700	4.3
Goods Producing Indus.	80,500	84,800	5.3
Nat. Res. & Const.	16,700	17,800	6.6
Manufacturing	63,800	67,000	5.0
Durable Goods	54,900	57,700	5.1
Machinery	5,300	5,300	--
Trans. Equipment	37,800	40,200	6.3
Aerospace Prod.	37,000	39,400	6.5
Service Producing Indus.	217,400	225,900	3.9
Trade, Trans. & Util.	50,600	52,400	3.6
Wholesale Trade	11,300	12,100	7.1
Retail Trade	31,000	31,900	2.9
General Mdse.	7,000	7,000	--
Trans., Ware., & Util.	8,300	8,400	1.2
Information	5,800	6,100	5.2
Finance	11,400	11,900	4.4
Prof. & Bus. Services	28,700	30,300	5.6
Prof., Sci. & Tech.	8,200	8,900	8.5
Admin. & Waste	18,100	18,600	2.8
Employment Serv.	5,500	6,100	10.9
Educ. & Health Serv.	41,300	43,000	4.1
Health & Social Asst.	36,400	37,600	3.3
Hospitals	9,700	9,900	2.1
Leisure & Hospitality	27,000	27,900	3.3
Accom. & Food	23,300	24,000	3.0
Food Services	21,400	22,100	3.3
Other Services	11,100	12,100	9.0
Government	41,500	42,200	1.7
Farm Employment	6,100	5,200	(14.8)

Source: Ks Dept. of Labor, Labor Mkt. Info. Service

employment in the Wichita metropolitan area for 2006 and 2007.

Employment increased in all eight service producing industries in the Wichita area during 2007. Specifically, employment in other services increased 9.0 percent; professional and business services increased 5.6 percent; information increased 5.2 percent; finance increased 4.4 percent; education and

health services increased 4.1 percent; transportation, trade, and utilities increased 3.6 percent; leisure and hospitality increased 3.3 percent; and government increased 1.7 percent. However, farm employment in the Wichita metropolitan area fell by 900 workers in 2007.

According to Moody's Economy.com, Wichita's economy continues to experience significant growth, with every major industry showing gains. Although the area's core sector, aerospace manufacturing, is continuing to recover from the significant downturn in 2001, the service sector has been the primary source of strength over the past year, with employment in these industries growing at its fastest pace in a decade. Unemployment has dipped even further and is now at its lowest point since 2001, while labor force growth has maintained its rapid pace since the beginning of the year. The Wichita area has not felt the effects of the national housing recession, nor has it been significantly impacted by the credit crunch. Moody's Economy.com expects that Wichita's economy will continue to experience significant growth in 2008.

While national employment growth has been slowing over the past year, Wichita's employment growth has been robust. The labor market continues to improve with employment on track to increase by an impressive 3.5 percent this year. In fact, *Forbes* magazine ranked Wichita as one of the top ten job markets in 2007. The strong labor market has generated healthy increases in personal income that have translated into strong retail sales. This assessment is confirmed by the Wichita State University (WSU) Current Conditions Index, which remains strong and has trended upward every year since 2003. The WSU Leading Economic Indicators Index also suggests that the favorable conditions will continue. The index continues to set new all-time highs. While nationally, the housing and mortgage markets are showing signs of weakness, the Wichita housing market remains steady. According to the Center for Real Estate (CRE) at Wichita State University, existing home sales in Wichita rose 2.7 percent in 2007 and are expected to increase 1.7 percent in 2008. However, new home sales did drop by 10.7 percent in 2007, but are expected rebound 5.2 percent in 2008. Taken together, the Center for Economic Development and Business Research (CEDBR) projects that Wichita employment will increase 2.0 percent, adding nearly 6,000 jobs. The

service sectors are expected to increase employment by 1.8 percent for a net gain of 2,375 jobs, while the production sectors are expected to increase employment by 2.8 percent for a net gain of 2,350 jobs.

According to the CEDBR, Wichita's labor market was harder hit by the 2001 recession than was the national labor market. Wichita's initial recovery following the 2001 recession was also decidedly sluggish. However, since the fourth quarter of 2005 Wichita's labor market has been substantially outperforming the nation. Employment is expected to increase by 3.5 percent this year for a net gain of 10,400 jobs. In 2008, employment is expected to increase 2.0 percent for a net gain of nearly 6,000 jobs. After four years of employment growth, total employment regained and surpassed pre-recession levels by 4,800 jobs. However, the same is not true for all industry sectors. In general the service and trade sectors have regained and surpassed pre-recession levels, while the production sectors still lag their pre-recession levels.

Wichita's aircraft manufacturing jobs account for more than one-half of the local economy's manufacturing sector. The industry can provide a tremendous boost to the local economy when times are good, but can be potentially disastrous when market conditions are poor. The aviation industry is important not only to Wichita, but Kansas as a whole because manufacturing accounts for nearly one-quarter of all jobs in the Wichita Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA).

According to the CEDBR, manufacturing accounted for 21.5 percent of all jobs in the Wichita MSA during 2006. Payroll earnings for the industry totaled approximately \$3.75 billion in 2006 with average earnings per job of \$59,549. About 86 percent of manufacturing jobs are in the durable goods sub-sectors and dominated by jobs in aviation manufacturing. Employment gains in aviation manufacturing are bolstering employment in other durable goods sectors, such as fabricated metals and machinery. Durable goods manufacturing is expected to increase 4.6 percent in 2007 for a net gain of 2,500 jobs. In 2008, employment is expected to increase another 3.1 percent for a net gain of 1,750 jobs.

About 14 percent of manufacturing jobs are in non-durable goods sub-sectors, dominated by jobs in food processing and printing. Employment in non-durable goods manufacturing stabilized in 2007 after four

years of losses totaling nearly 1,000 jobs. In 2008, manufacturing employment is expected to increase 3.0 percent for a net gain of 1,950 jobs.

The aircraft industry continues to be robust with increased orders and shipments generating the need to hire a significant number of workers. The aviation manufacturing (transportation equipment) sector accounted for almost 59 percent of manufacturing jobs with payroll for 2006 totaling almost \$2.6 billion and average earnings per job of \$69,821. According to the CEDBR, aerospace employment is expected to increase at an average rate of 2.4 percent per year from through 2016 and is forecasted to account for 2.6 percent of all jobs in Kansas. During that same time frame, aerospace payroll is forecast to increase at an average rate of 8.9 percent per year and will account for 6.2 percent of the entire state's payroll. Each aerospace job generates approximately 3.9 total jobs throughout the state based on both the firm's and the employee's spending. Each aerospace wage dollar generates approximately \$2.60 in the state based on both the firm's and the employee's spending. Presently, Cessna employs approximately 8,000 workers in the Wichita area, Spirit Aerosystems employs approximately 7,400 workers, Hawker Beechcraft employs approximately 6,300 workers, Boeing employs approximately 3,300 workers, and Bombardier employs approximately 2,300 workers in the Wichita area.

According to *Kansas Occupational Outlook 2010*, manufacturing jobs in southcentral Kansas are expected to increase by 7,000 between 2000 and 2010. These jobs are expected to have an annual salary of at least \$40,000. Of those 7,000 jobs, at least 4,000 will need to be filled over the next year in Wichita according to the head of the Wichita aircraft industry's workforce training effort. In an effort to fulfill that need, ground was broken for the Kansas Technical Training Initiative, a \$40.0 million project to build an aviation technical training center in Wichita. Sedgwick County plans on issuing bonds to cover the cost of construction and using federal and state grants to pay off the debt. The facility scheduled to open in late 2009 or early 2010. The 107,000-square-foot training center will consolidate programs from the area's four community and technical colleges. The center will enroll approximately 500 students in a manufacturing program and approximately 100 students in an avionics program. The design includes

an assessment center, aviation center, and manufacturing center. Almost 21.0 percent of Wichita's jobs—double the national average—is in manufacturing. The five local aviation companies have said that the number of trained workers they will need in 2007 could exceed 4,150 and that 1,000 new jobs will be created every year for the next decade.

More specifically, Hawker Beechcraft Corp., a new company formed by GS Capital Partners, an affiliate of Goldman Sachs, and Onex Partners completed its purchase of Raytheon Aircraft Co. Onex Partners is a Canadian investment group that also holds a majority ownership in Wichita's Spirit AeroSystems Inc. Triumph Aerospace Systems Inc. announced expansion plans, including the addition of 40 new employees over the next five years, with an average annual wage of \$38,900.

Significantly, the Machinists union members at Cessna Aircraft voted to approve a new contract in September. The new collective bargaining agreement includes wage increases of five percent in the first year and four percent in the second and third years, respectively. The contract also includes a \$3,000 signing bonus, a choice of three health-care plans along with equal premiums for each plan, and increases in retirement pensions. However, the agreement eliminates the right of employees to vote before the company can transition to an alternate work schedule.

On the non-aviation horizon, construction has begun on the next phase of the \$130.0 million WaterWalk development in downtown Wichita. The next phase of the development includes a 60,000-square-foot office building and a multiple-story, multi-use building in the heart of the project. The city is the major source of an already-announced \$30.0 million public investment for WaterWalk infrastructure, the majority of which is being funded by tax increment financing. WaterWalk developers have guaranteed a minimum \$30.0 million investment in the development and future property taxes from that investment will pay off the majority of that financing. Developers say they actually expect to invest closer to \$80.0 to \$100.0 million over the next decade as the project grows. When completed, the project is expected to create over 2,000 new jobs worth more than \$65 million annually.

In addition, Sedgwick County broke ground for the construction of a new downtown arena. The budget

for the project has increased from \$184.5 million to \$205.5 million. The Wichita Downtown Development Corporation reports that \$312 million has been invested in the Downtown area since 2002, including \$43 million in public investment. Construction on the arena is expected to begin next year, and it should be available for occupancy in 2009.

In August, voters rejected two referenda for allowing gaming in Sedgwick County. Amid unusually heavy voter turnout for a non-general election, a proposal to allow casinos in Sedgwick County was defeated by a vote of 56 percent in opposition to 43 percent in favor. After a recount, a proposal to allow slot machines at Wichita Greyhound Park was defeated by 244 votes. Subsequently, Wichita Greyhound ceased operation in October. The closing resulted in the loss of about 250 jobs. The Park City track opened in 1989. However, there has been some discussion about reintroducing a proposal to the legislature in 2008 to allow slot machines at the track. Nevertheless, Sumner County, which approved a similar referendum in 2005, is moving forward with plans to build a casino near Mulvane or Wellington. The plan requires a minimum investment of \$225 million and is projected to have an economic impact of at least \$150 million annually. Penn National Gaming, Sumner Gaming-Harrah's Entertainment, MGM Mirage-Foxwoods Development-Chisholm Creek Ventures, and Marvel Gaming-Binion Family Trust all submitted proposals to build and operate a Sumner County facility.

On a negative note, North American Bus Industries Inc. closed its Optima Bus Corp. manufacturing facility in Park City, eliminating more than 200 jobs. In addition, the much anticipated Wild West World theme park in Park City opened on May 5, 2007 and officially closed on July 9. The \$30 million facility cost more than double the originally budgeted amount at opening. This cost over-run combined with attendance running 50 percent below projections due to poor weather conditions were attributed as the underlying causes of the failure of the park. The closure of the park resulted in the loss of over 500 jobs and the default of approximately \$25 million in debt to over 150 creditors. The assets of the park are presently being liquidated in bankruptcy proceedings.

**Topeka Metropolitan Area.** Based on place of work data, all industries employment in the Topeka metropolitan area increased 4.3 percent in 2007. Table

3-2 presents employment in the Topeka metropolitan area for 2006 and 2007.

Table 3-2

<b>Topeka Metropolitan Area Employment</b>			
<b>Shawnee County</b>			
<b>October 2006-October 2007</b>			
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>% Chg.</b>
<b>Place of Residence Data</b>			
Civilian Labor Force	120,539	123,721	2.6
Employment	114,605	119,137	4.0
Unemployment	5,934	4,584	(22.8)
Unemployment Rate	4.9	3.7	(1.2)
<b>Place of Work Data</b>			
All Industries	109,900	114,600	4.3
Goods Producing Indus.	12,800	14,000	9.4
Nat. Res. & Const.	6,100	6,200	1.6
Manufacturing	6,700	7,800	16.4
Non Durable Goods	5,000	6,200	24.0
Service Producing Indus.	97,100	100,600	3.6
Trade, Trans. & Util.	20,500	21,000	2.4
Wholesale Trade	3,800	4,000	5.3
Retail Trade	11,500	11,600	0.9
Trans. & Ware.	5,200	5,400	3.8
Information	2,600	2,600	--
Finance	7,600	7,900	3.9
Fin. & Insurance	6,300	6,500	3.2
Prof. & Bus. Services	8,500	9,400	10.6
Educ. & Health Serv.	17,000	18,000	5.9
Health & Soc. Serv.	16,300	16,400	0.6
Hospitals	5,500	5,600	1.8
Leisure & Hospitality	7,800	7,900	1.3
Accom. & Food Svcs.	6,800	6,900	1.5
Food Services	6,100	6,300	3.3
Other Services	5,200	5,200	--
Government	27,900	28,600	2.5
Farm Employment	5,600	4,800	(14.3)

Source: Ks Dept. of Labor, Labor Mkt. Info. Service

Employment in the goods producing industries increased 9.4 percent, while employment in the services producing industries increased by 3.6 percent. Within the goods producing industries, manufacturing employment increased by 16.4 percent, while natural resources and construction employment increased 1.6 percent.

Employment increased in six of eight service producing industries in the Topeka area during 2007. Specifically, employment in professional and business services increased 10.6 percent, education and health services increased 5.9 percent, finance increased 3.9

percent, government increased 2.5 percent, and leisure and hospitality increased 1.3 percent. Employment in information and other services held steady in 2007. Farm employment in the Topeka metropolitan area fell by 800 workers in 2007.

According to Moody's Economy.com, Topeka's economy has recovered from the significant downturn that it experienced during the past two years and is now experiencing significant growth. In contrast to state and national trends, Topeka's wage and salary growth is now outpacing the U.S. economy. The primary source of growth has been in services sector, with the goods-producing industries lagging somewhat. The Topeka area's unemployment rate remains low, although it is still above the Kansas and U.S. averages.

Significantly, Goodyear United Steelworkers ended their strike on December 28, 2006 after 12 weeks off the job. The strike removed approximately \$18 million in payroll per week from the Topeka economy. In addition to payroll, the company spends money with local vendors by buying products and services to support the plant's operations. In 2004, the company reported that it spent \$21.9 million with local vendors.

More recently, the federal defense spending appropriations bill includes \$1.2 million for Goodyear production of High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicle (Humvee) tires. The Humvee is a light, flexible, tactical vehicle that is used by all branches of the military. Previously, the Topeka Goodyear facility was the sole source vendor for such tires. However, since last May, Goodyear is no longer the exclusive, sole-source supplier of Humvee tires for the U.S. military, having to share the production work with rival Michelin. Goodyear's Topeka plant was established during World War II to make military tires. The Topeka facility employs about 1,600 workers, which also manufactures radial truck tires; over-the-road tires, including big earth mover tires; and a special two-piece tire for the mining industry.

In 2002, the Legislature approved a \$10.0 million incentive for Goodyear to retool the Topeka facility. The money for the state incentive comes from payroll withholding tax from the local Goodyear plant. The incentive money is paid to Goodyear only if it maintains the agreed upon employment at the facility. Another \$750,000 for Goodyear comes from a local



option quarter-cent sales tax designated for economic development. If Goodyear closes the local Topeka plant before a ten-year period is up, the company has to repay the sales tax incentive. In conjunction with these incentives, the company has committed to invest more than \$100.0 million in its Topeka plant to upgrade its manufacturing processes. Goodyear's new Fuel Max Technology is focused on fuel and tire costs.

Frito-Lay opened its Topeka plant more than 35 years ago. Now the company employs 850 employees in Topeka with the average wage of \$18.50 per hour. The starting wage is more than \$16 per hour. The plant produces Lays, Ruffles, Cheetos, Doritos, Funyuns, Fritos, Tostitos and SunChips. In 2006, the Topeka Joint Economic Development Organization (JEDO), which partners with city and county elected officials to administer money from a half-cent, county-wide sales tax, approved an estimated \$125,000 to \$175,000 in incentives for Frito-Lay to carry out a \$40 million expansion project at its plant in Topeka. The money is part of a ten-year incentive package being put together by local and state agencies for Frito-Lay. The package includes a 10-year tax exemption on the project worth an estimated \$278,902. In October, Frito-Lay opened the \$40 million expansion that created 75 jobs in Topeka. The 40,000 square foot expansion facilitated a new production line for SunChips—a "healthier" whole grain chip that has gained in popularity in recent years. Frito-Lay first produced SunChips at its Irving, Texas, plant in 1991, but the company now has SunChips production in seven plants. Frito-Lay manufactures 9 to 10 million bags of chips per week in Topeka, with SunChips comprising 15 percent of the total. The expansion of the plant is large enough to accommodate another future product line. The plant is located on 47 acres, but the company recently purchased an additional 117 acres.

The Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) Railway has had a long and storied past in the Topeka area. The oldest shop buildings were part of the Atchison Topeka & Santa Fe (ATSF) Railway, founded in Topeka in 1859 by Cyrus Holliday. The ATSF Railway later merged with Burlington Northern in 1995 to become the BNSF Railway. BNSF has invested more than \$8 million over the past three years in new buildings and equipment to enable the company's Topeka shops to handle more repair work as it rebuilds locomotives to meet tougher

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) standards. Topeka BNSF employees overhauled 425 locomotives in 2005, 395 in 2006 and plan to overhaul 372 in 2007. They operate in three shifts five days a week and can overhaul a locomotive in 10 days. The Topeka System Maintenance Terminal has 425 employees and a \$61 million budget for 2007, including a \$1.2 million capital budget. Employees earn from \$18 to \$22 per hour. Overall, BNSF has 1,200 employees in the offices and shops in Topeka.

On the down side, Payless ShoeSource announced plans to close its 850,000 square-foot Topeka distribution center in 2008, eliminating 450 to 550 jobs. Payless ShoeSource was founded in Topeka in 1956. The company announced that it would close its Topeka distribution center after opening two new distribution centers. The plan calls for opening a new 600,000-square-foot distribution center east of the Mississippi River and a new 400,000-square-foot distribution center in Redlands, California. The company's board of directors approved management's recommendation for a \$77 million investment in the company's supply chain that is designed to improve efficiency in delivering footwear and accessories. The company's stores are heavily clustered in the more populated East and West coasts. With a distribution center on the West Coast and another east of the Mississippi River, the new supply chain model will be aligned with the company's store geography. As closure approaches, Payless said it will work closely with union leadership and distribution center management to transition affected employees with severance packages and outplacement support. Workers at the distribution center earn \$10 to \$20 per hour and receive benefits, such as health care and vacation. Corporate headquarters will remain in Topeka. The company has about 1,100 employees in its corporate headquarters in Topeka.

For the second year, Topeka hosted the Sports Car Club of America (SCCA) National Championship Runoffs. According to the SCCA, more than 700 drivers compete annually in the runoffs, known as the "Super Bowl" of amateur road racing. The event, which is in its 44th year, took place near Lexington, Ohio, before moving last year to Heartland Park Topeka. The Topeka track has a three-year contract to host the event through 2008. Racers qualified to compete in the runoffs by earning a specific number of points at SCCA-sanctioned events. Past SCCA racers

have included actors Paul Newman and Tom Cruise, as well as members of the Andretti, Unser, and Rahal racing families. Although attendance was lower than anticipated, the event was estimated to have generated \$3.0 million in direct spending in restaurants, motels and businesses in Topeka.

Even though the Topeka housing market is slowing, house-price appreciation will only slow slightly because housing prices in the area were never driven up to speculative highs. Therefore, no adjustment is expected because of earlier overpricing. According to the Coldwell Banker Home Price Comparison Index, Topeka has the sixth lowest housing cost out of 317 “moderate to large” metropolitan areas in the country. The index compares the selling price of a 2,200-square-foot home in a middle-class neighborhood with four bedrooms, 2 1/2 bathrooms, and a two-car garage. The average cost of such a home in Topeka was \$150,075. This compares with \$238,875 in Kansas City, Missouri and \$232,300 in Lawrence, and \$156,500 in Wichita. Wichita was ranked as the 10th most affordable city in the survey.

Moody’s Economy.com predicts that Topeka’s economy will begin to slow sharply and will return to its familiar position of lagging the Kansas economy. According to Moody’s Economy.com, Topeka’s long-term growth potential is limited by several constraints. Most notably, the area lacks a dynamic growth driver. Topeka is a service economy that thrives on the spending patterns of its residents, which makes it unattractive for migrating businesses to relocate there. Furthermore, the area exhibits middling demographic trends that show no sign of improvement. Lacking both expected population growth and dynamic industrial growth, Topeka will be hard-pressed to find meaningful economic growth in the long term.

**Lawrence Metropolitan Area.** Based on place of work data, all industries employment in the Lawrence metropolitan area increased 1.9 percent in 2007. Employment in the goods producing industries increased 1.5 percent during that same time frame, and employment in the services producing industries increased by 1.9 percent. Within the service producing industries, employment in trade, transportation, and utilities increased 8.4 percent; education and health service employment increased 2.0 percent; and professional and technical services increased by 1.9 percent. Employment in leisure and hospitality and

government remained constant. Farm employment in the Lawrence metropolitan area fell by 200 workers in 2007. Table 3-3 presents employment in the Lawrence metropolitan area for 2006 and 2007.

Table 3-3

<b>Lawrence Metropolitan Area Employment</b>			
<b>Douglas County</b>			
<b>October 2006-October 2007</b>			
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>% Chg.</b>
<b>Place of Residence Data</b>			
Civilian Labor Force	63,020	63,836	1.3
Employment	60,775	62,068	2.1
Unemployment	2,245	1,768	(21.2)
Unemployment Rate	3.6	2.8	(0.8)
<b>Place of Work Data</b>			
All Industries	52,900	53,900	1.9
Goods Producing Indus.	6,700	6,800	1.5
Service Producing Indus.	46,200	47,100	1.9
Trade, Trans., & Util.	8,300	9,000	8.4
Retail Trade	6,000	6,500	8.3
Prof. & Tech. Serv.	5,400	5,500	1.9
Educ. & Health Serv.	5,100	5,200	2.0
Leisure & Hospitality	6,500	6,500	--
Government	14,500	14,500	--
Farm Employment	1,000	800	(20.0)

Source: Ks Dept. of Labor, Labor Mkt. Info. Service

According to Moody’s Economy.com, Lawrence has recovered from the economic downturn of the past two years, and is now experiencing significant growth. The primary catalyst for the area’s growth is the rapid expansion of the services industries. The goods-producing industries are also showing growth despite some recent weakness. The unemployment rate remains stable, and is significantly lower than either the state or national average. Strong growth in the labor force during the last year provides a good indication of residents’ confidence in the metro area’s economy.

According to the most recent estimates issued by the U.S. Census Bureau, the population of the City of Lawrence declined by 59 people or 0.06 percent from July 2005 to July 2006. This is significantly lower than the city’s historical growth rate of 2 to 2.5 percent. The June 2007 estimate is the second year in a row that the Census Bureau has estimated little or no growth for Lawrence. Similarly, residential building permits fell from the previous low of 505 in 2005 to 416 in 2006. This is well below the 10-year average

of 691 units. Based on mid-year data, city was on pace to build only 250 living units for the year.

Although the housing market in the Lawrence area has experienced tremendous growth over the past several years and pushed average home prices up to nearly \$250,000, the housing market is deteriorating because of oversupply and the weakening national market. Moody's Economy.com suggests that the excess supply of single-family homes has been building up in the area over the past five years and the build up is finally being reflected in house prices. Moody's Economy.com predicts that existing home sales growth will remain down for the near term; however, the decline will slow as the year progresses and will finally stabilize in 2008. House-price appreciation will decelerate in the face of weak demand, although the sharp contraction in supply will prevent price growth from falling beyond this year.

The primary benefit of KU's presence in Lawrence area is the stability it provides the local economy. The large student body provides a steady source of demand for the retail industry during the academic year, and the university provides residents with many stable, high-paying jobs. The university also gives the metro area a large pool of highly-skilled graduates, making the area an attractive destination for high value added companies seeking to relocate or expand into Kansas. However, according to Moody's Economy.com, Lawrence has shown an inability to attract such a group of employers, and a continuing failure to do so will result in more KU students moving once they graduate, taking with them the skills to fill higher-paying, higher-skilled jobs elsewhere.

In keeping with this, the Lawrence Chamber of Commerce has developed a vision statement to focus on fostering a robust economy, providing and preserving superior amenities and maintaining Lawrence's distinct spirit and atmosphere. An important component of this plan is East Hills Business Park, a project designed to attract emerging and promising startups to test, advance and market their ideas in pharmaceuticals or other technologies. This project is expected to cost \$7.5 million, with most of the funding coming from the Kansas Biosciences Authority. The goal is to transform a warehouse building into a high-tech center with state-of-the-art laboratories, shared office space, and common conference rooms.

Moody's Economy.com predicts that Lawrence's economy will slow over the next year, but will still outperform the state and national economies. In the near term, growth will be sustained by a strong expansion in office-using employment. However, the Lawrence area will begin to lag the state and national economies toward the end of 2008, the primary cause being the area's lack of dynamic growth drivers.

**Kansas City, Kansas Metropolitan Area.** Because of changes in statistical reporting areas, Kansas City, Kansas is now regarded as a separate statistical area. The area includes Franklin, Johnson, Leavenworth, Linn, Miami, and Wyandotte Counties. Employment by place of work in the Kansas City, Kansas metropolitan area increased 1.1 percent in 2007. However, employment in goods producing industries remained constant, while employment in service producing industries increased 1.3 percent.

Within the goods producing industries, non-durable goods manufacturing employment increased 3.2 percent, while durable goods manufacturing employment decreased 1.9 percent. Within the service producing industries, employment in professional and technical services increased 3.6 percent; government increased 2.4 percent; financial activities increased 1.9 percent; education and health services increased 1.4 percent; other services increased 1.3 percent; and trade, transportation, and utilities increased 1.1 percent. On the other hand, employment in information decreased 3.5 percent and employment in leisure and hospitality decreased 1.8 percent. Farm employment in the Kansas City, Kansas fell by 1,000 workers in 2007. Table 3-4 on the next page, presents employment by place of residence for the Kansas City, Kansas metropolitan area.

According to Moody's Economy.com, growth in the Kansas City economy has slowed recently because of weakness in the housing market. Housing activity in the area has already declined significantly from its peak. Building permit issuance has slowed significantly as housing-price appreciation has decelerated and home sales have fallen from their peak. Even though the housing slowdown is well under way, the local housing market has likely not bottomed out yet. This has affected a number of industries from professional/business services to financial services. Moreover, as a result of weakening credit conditions, consumer-driven industries like

Table 3-4

<b>Kansas City, KS Metro. Area Employment</b>			
<b>October 2006-October 2007</b>			
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>% Chg.</b>
<b>Place of Residence Data</b>			
Civilian Labor Force	433,059	435,523	0.6
Employment	412,242	417,895	1.4
Unemployment	20,817	17,628	(15.3)
Unemployment Rate	4.8	4.0	(0.8)
<b>Place of Work Data</b>			
All Industries	441,400	446,300	1.1
Goods Producing Indust.	60,900	60,900	--
Nat. Resources & Const.	23,700	23,600	(0.4)
Manufacturing	37,200	37,300	0.3
Durable Goods	21,600	21,200	(1.9)
Computer & Elect.	3,800	3,700	(2.6)
Transporation Equip.	4,000	3,600	(10.0)
Non-Durable Goods	15,600	16,100	3.2
Service Providing Indust.	380,500	385,400	1.3
Trade, Trans., & Util.	95,100	96,100	1.1
Wholesale Trade	23,900	23,900	--
Durable Goods	11,900	11,700	(1.7)
Retail Trade	49,000	49,400	0.8
General Merchandise	12,200	12,200	--
Trans., Warehouse & Util.	22,200	22,800	2.7
Trans. & Warehouse	18,500	18,900	2.2
Information	22,600	21,800	(3.5)
Telecommunications	17,100	15,900	(7.0)
Financial Activities	32,400	33,000	1.9
Finance & Insurance	26,100	26,700	2.3
Insurance Carriers	12,300	12,300	--
Prof. & Bus. Services	69,400	71,900	3.6
Prof., Sci. & Tech.	31,500	32,300	2.5
Admin. & Waste	34,000	35,600	4.7
Edu. & Health Svcs.	49,800	50,500	1.4
Health & Social Asst.	45,100	47,100	4.4
Hospitals	13,300	13,900	4.5
Leisure & Hospitality	38,500	37,800	(1.8)
Accomodations	32,600	32,700	0.3
Food Services	29,500	29,400	(0.3)
Other Services	15,100	15,300	1.3
Government	57,600	59,000	2.4
Farm Employment	6,700	5,700	(14.9)

Source: Ks Dept. of Labor, Labor Mkt. Info. Service

leisure/hospitality and retail have also slowed. The manufacturing sector has also experienced some volatility. Moody's Economy.com predicts that

employment growth is expected to remain moderate in the Kansas City economy in the near term consistent with national trends. The services industries will continue to be the main drivers of the area's economy.

The Kansas City area's Ford and General Motors auto plants rank among the most efficient in North America according to an annual study conducted by Harbour Consulting of Troy, Michigan. Ford's Claycomo, Missouri plant ranked third at 17.83 hours per vehicle, while GM's Fairfax, Kansas plant placed fifth at 17.89 hours. The Fairfax plant slipped one position from its previous ranking after adding 0.27 hours to its labor time clock last year. The local Ford plant's third place finish was notable because the plant was not in the top ten last year. The study noted the plant's SUV line last year produced 257,163 Ford Escape, Mercury Mariner and Mazda Tribute models. The plant also produces F-Series pickup trucks. That production line was ranked second by the study in the truck assembly subcategory, at 21.5 hours per vehicle. The Claycomo plant has about 4,300 hourly employees building the F-150 pickup and Ford Escape/Mercury Mariner sport utility vehicle.

However, Ford's Claycomo facility was partially closed for one week in January, one week in February, and two weeks in October. Up to 2,400 local Ford employees were temporarily furloughed during these periods. However, under the United Auto Workers bargaining agreement, members laid off because of slow sales receive benefits from a company-union fund, as well as state unemployment compensation. These combined sources are expected to cover approximately 90.0 percent of the average worker's take-home pay.

Notwithstanding, with robust sales growing for the Escape, Ford is considering increasing its overtime schedule at its Claycomo, Missouri plant to produce more of the small, fuel-efficient sport utility vehicle (SUV). More Saturday shifts are being considered in lieu of adding a third daily shift. Ford and local union officials are discussing adding up to 15 Saturday shifts to the 2008 production schedule of the Ford Escape/Mercury Mariner SUV. Ford can schedule work on six Saturdays for additional production under the national contract. Ford builds the Escape/Mariner exclusively at the Claycomo plant. Ford initially proposed adding a third shift to boost SUV production at Claycomo. That would have added 800 to 900

production jobs at the plant. Virtually all of those jobs would have been filled by Ford transfers from idle plants around the country. However, local union officials have proposed adding the Saturday shifts, which would allow Ford to schedule up to 21 Saturday shifts next year. Ford's proposal of a third shift also comes at a time when the automaker has several thousand employees looking to transfer from plants already closed or slated for shutdown. The Claycomo plant was one of five plants to receive major infrastructure improvement investment from Ford based on the new Union agreement.

Workers at Ford's Claycomo plant approved a new four-year national contract. In the new contract, Ford agreed to build new body shops at five assembly plants, including Claycomo. A new body shop would be a major investment that would solidify the company's commitment to building the redesigned Escape in Claycomo in 2010. In exchange, Ford obtained a concession from the union that all newly hired hourly workers will work at sharply lower wages than current employees. Union concessions, including going to a four-day workweek and 10-hour shifts during normal production times, ensured that the Claycomo plant will build a redesigned F-150 pickup that will start production in 2008. Furthermore, union officials believe that the cost-cutting pact enhances the plant's chances of landing the redesigned Ford Escape.

In December 2007, General Motors (GM) announced that it plans to spend \$208 million preparing its Fairfax, Kansas plant to build the redesigned 2008 Chevrolet Malibu. The investment will be used to buy new equipment and expand the plant's body shop. The Fairfax plant builds the current version of the Malibu, Malibu Maxx, and the Saturn Aura. GM has about 2,500 permanent employees on two shifts at the Fairfax plant. The new Malibu could create about 100 production jobs. The decision to invest in the Fairfax plant comes amid turmoil for GM and the domestic auto industry. GM and Ford are slashing jobs and closing plants nationwide, a process that is expected to last through 2008. Related, the Unified Board of Commissioners for Wyandotte County and Kansas City, Kansas, approved \$146 million in revenue bonds and a five-year tax abatement as incentives for GM's investment at Fairfax facility. The State of Kansas also provided help in eliminating a machinery and equipment tax for new business investments. The tax break for this GM investment will be about \$10

million. The plant is currently producing about 60 vehicles an hour.

Nevertheless, the Fairfax plant was idled for a week in February and a week in April because of slow sales. In addition, approximately 300 temporary employees were furloughed in May. The temporary work force has existed for some time as GM has gone through a companywide reduction of its hourly workers by nearly 35,000 people. During GM's downsizing that began in late 2005, the Fairfax plant has remained relatively unscathed and has even thrived. Temporary workers have been filling in at Fairfax and other GM plants while the automaker closed more than a dozen plants and eliminated production jobs through a companywide program of early retirements and cash buyouts.

GM has also approached the plant's union seeking \$54 million in cost-cutting measures. GM wants the proposals approved in exchange for a commitment to locate new vehicles assemblies at the plant in 2012. Among its skilled-trades work force, GM is not seeking to outsource core jobs such as millwrights, electricians and pipe fitters. However, the automaker is seeking to outsource work done by "non-core crafts," such as carpenters and mechanics. GM also wants to rely less on its temporary work force to reduce costs.

A survey conducted by the engineering trade publication, *Engineering News-Record* (ENR) identified the Kansas City area as a national hub for engineering. Four local firms were ranked in the top 50, including Black & Veatch (15), HNTB Corp. (25), Burns & McDonnell (35), and Terracon (50). Seven others were included on the overall list. The survey underscored the importance of Kansas City as a national center for engineering and emphasized the major contribution that concentration of professionals makes to the local economy.

Burlington Northern-Santa Fe Railroad (BNSF) plans to build the BNSF Intermodal and Logistics Park in Gardner, Kansas (southwest Johnson County). The Park will be a \$1.0 billion freight hub and warehouse complex. Under the railroad's plan, trains would be unloaded at the site, with the goods stored on-site or trucked to other destinations. BNSF will invest \$200.0 million for the intermodal facility. BNSF will operate the intermodal facility through a contractor, employing

about 350 employees. The rest of the space will be occupied by up to 12 million square feet of warehouses and distribution centers. BNSF anticipates that the Gardner hub will be an important site for the movement of goods from the Pacific Rim to the Midwest. Containers unloaded off ships from the West Coast would travel by train to Gardner, where they would be transferred to trucking operations for distribution to their final destinations. An economic impact study estimates that the distribution center will generate \$650.0 million in investments over a 20-year period when fully built. The entire facility is expected to create 13,000 jobs in the state over a 20-year period. Of the 13,000 total, approximately 7,500 jobs will be in Gardner, 4,500 will be in other areas of Johnson County, and 1,000 will be in the Kansas City metro area. The facility is expected to become operational in 2009.

In November, Gardner residents went to the polls to decide whether they wanted to annex the rail hub and warehouse development. After a contentious election, the city has moved forward with annexation and stands to reap millions in taxes. Gardner is now conducting studies independent of the state's to focus on developing roads and better highway access to the area.

Olathe has already begun planning for new warehouse and office development on the south side of the city to link to the new rail hub, which is about ten miles from Olathe's southern border. Pacific Sun, a clothing company, recently opened a 400,000-square-foot distribution center in south Olathe, which is expandable to 800,000 square feet. The decision was based, at least in part, because the rail hub will provide a direct shipping link from the west coast to the midwest. In addition, U.S. Bank announced it was building a 100,000-square-foot technical center valued at \$200 million in the College Point development. Fort Dodge Animal Health also announced it would build a \$40 million research laboratory and be the anchor tenant in the new Kansas Bioscience Park. The new Corporate Ridge development also landed a new facility for Farmers Insurance Group which employs more than 2,000 workers. According to a story reported in the *New York Times* in 2006, Olathe, Kansas showed the biggest jump in the percentage of people paying at least 30.0 percent of their income on rent, as well as in those paying at least 50.0 percent on rent. In the last five years, the average price for a new

home has doubled to approximately \$350,000. The city has added more than 30,000 jobs in the last five years. Since 2000, the portion of the city's population paying more than 35.0 percent of its income to rent grew to 42.0 percent from 19.0 percent.

Kansas City, Missouri is presently in the process of investing than \$2.4 billion in the downtown area. Kansas City's downtown revival is anchored by the Sprint Center, the Power & Light District, the Kauffman Center for the Performing Arts, and a new ballroom at Bartle Hall. The new \$280.0 million Kansas City Live entertainment district is anchored by the \$120.0 million H&R Block 14-story downtown corporate headquarters, which opened in August 2006. Across the street, construction is beginning on the mammoth Power & Light District project being developed by the Cordish Co., based in Baltimore, Maryland. Two blocks to the east, the Sprint Center Arena opened in October. Construction was also begun on the \$326.0 million Kauffman Metropolitan Kansas City Performing Arts Center. Plans call for two 1,600-seat halls, one for symphonic music, the other for opera and ballet, along with a multipurpose facility called Celebration Hall. In addition, the city has pledged \$47.0 million to build two parking garages for the complex. Construction of the new arena was financed with a combination of \$276.0 million in private and public financing. Major contributors include the Anschutz Entertainment Group (\$54.0 million), the National Association of Basketball Coaches (\$10.0 million), and Sprint, which has purchased naming rights for up to \$2.5 million per year. Public contributions come from a new hotel and rental car fee.

Although the arena does not yet have a primary tenant, so far it has hosted events such as Elton John, Rascal Flatts, Garth Brooks, Hannah Montana with the Jonas Brothers, Billy Joel, R. Kelly, Trans-Siberian Orchestra, and Ozzy Osbourne and Rob Zombie. In 2008, the Big XII men's NCAA basketball tournament is scheduled to be played at the Sprint Center. First-round and second-round games of the NCAA men's basketball tournament will be played at the Sprint Center in 2009, and the NCAA women's regional semifinals and finals will be played there in 2010.

In 2006, Jackson County voters approved a Truman Sports Complex overhaul. The \$575.0 million stadium renovations were the first priority, locking the

Chiefs and Royals into Kansas City for years to come. Without passage of the vote, the county would have defaulted on the leases at the end of the year, which would have allowed the teams to look for new locations. Now the teams are committed to remain with the stadiums until 2031. The decision imposes a 3/8-cent sales tax to raise \$425.0 million to overhaul both Arrowhead and Kauffman Stadiums. In addition, the Chiefs are contributing \$75.0 million and the Royals will contribute \$25.0 million. State officials have agreed to provide \$50.0 million in tax credits. The teams' contributions will cover all costs associated with suites, and the teams will pay cost overruns. With the renovations, concourses will more than double in width, and hundreds of restrooms and concession stands will be added. Food options will expand, including more specialty offerings at Arrowhead and a food court and restaurant at Kauffman. Arrowhead will receive a new Chiefs store and a museum. Kauffman will add a 9,500-seat, open-air pavilion.

The Kansas City area also is seeing the continued development of specialty and entertainment centers, some of which include Zona Rosa in the Northland area, the Bass Pro Shop in Independence and Olathe, and the Legends, which is located in Village West adjacent to the Kansas Speedway in Wyandotte County. In five years, Wyandotte County has more than doubled its hotel rooms, retail outlets, and other venues. Beyond bringing entertainment to the area, these developments have generated nearly 3,500 jobs in Wyandotte County.

## **Kansas & Adjacent States Metro Areas' Employment Trends**

This section presents employment trends through October 2007 by major industry types for the region that includes Colorado, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, and Oklahoma. In 2005, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) updated Census 2000-based statistical areas using population estimates for 2003. Relative to the 1990s, the updated lists added 49 new Metropolitan Statistical Areas while revising definitions of existing Metropolitan Statistical Areas. The list also identified for the first time 578 Micropolitan Statistical Areas. Additionally, the OMB

designated and defined two new sets of statistical areas that include 125 Combined Statistical Areas and 25 Metropolitan Divisions in the most populous Metropolitan Statistical Areas. New England City and Town Areas also are defined as an alternative to the county-based metropolitan and micropolitan areas in the six New England states.

The OMB is responsible for maintaining and updating statistical area classifications, a task it has accomplished every decade since the 1950 census. The OMB establishes and maintains the definitions of these areas solely for statistical purposes. The classification is intended to provide nationally consistent definitions for collecting, tabulating, and publishing federal statistics for a set of geographic areas. Table 3-5, which is shown on the next page, presents employment growth rates for the 23 metropolitan areas in the five-state region from October 2006 through October 2007. The additional metropolitan areas listed in this table are the result of the new OMB definitions.

### **Employment by Industry Type**

Total nonfarm employment in the major labor markets in the five-state area increased 1.8 percent from October 2006 to October 2007. Grand Junction, Colorado led the five-state area in employment growth with a growth rate of 4.8 percent. It was followed by Wichita and Topeka with growth rates of 4.3 percent each. Out of the 23 major markets in the five-state area, Lawrence ranked 11th with a growth rate of 1.9 percent, while Kansas City, Kansas ranked 21st with a growth rate of 1.1 percent.

**Construction & Mining.** Total construction and mining employment in the major labor markets in the five-state area increased 1.7 percent in 2007. Grand Junction, Colorado led the five-state area in employment growth with a growth rate of 18.4 percent. It was followed by Pueblo, Colorado with a growth rate of 12.2 percent, and Lincoln, Nebraska with a growth rate of 7.0 percent. Out of the 23 major markets in the five-state area, Wichita ranked fifth with a growth rate of 6.6 percent, Topeka ranked 10th with a 1.6 percent growth rate, and Kansas City, Kansas ranked 15th with a 0.4 percent decrease in employment.

Table 3-5

<b>Metropolitan Area Employment Growth Rates, October 2006-October 2007</b>												
<b>Kansas &amp; Adjacent States</b>												
	<b>Total</b>	<b>Const.</b>	<b>Manu-</b>	<b>Trade,</b>	<b>Infor-</b>	<b>Finan.</b>	<b>Prof/Bus</b>	<b>Educ./</b>	<b>Leisure/</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Govern-</b>	
	<b>Nonfarm</b>	<b>&amp; Mining</b>	<b>facturing</b>	<b>Trans, &amp; Utilities</b>	<b>mation</b>	<b>Activ.</b>	<b>Services</b>	<b>Health Services</b>	<b>Hosp. Services</b>	<b>Services</b>	<b>ment</b>	
Kansas												
Lawrence	1.9 %	NA %	NA %	8.4 %	NA %	NA %	1.9 %	2.0 %	-- %	NA %	-- %	
Topeka	4.3	1.6	16.4	2.4	--	3.9	10.6	5.9	1.3	--	2.5	
Wichita	4.3	6.6	5.0	3.6	5.2	4.4	5.6	4.1	3.3	9.0	1.7	
Kan. City, KS	1.1	(0.4)	0.3	1.1	(3.5)	1.9	3.6	1.4	(1.8)	1.3	2.4	
Colorado												
Boulder/												
Longmont	1.7	(1.5)	(4.3)	1.3	--	2.7	3.3	4.4	3.0	2.0	2.6	
Colorado												
Springs	0.5	(2.7)	(6.7)	--	(1.3)	0.6	3.3	3.1	(1.6)	--	3.1	
Denver/												
Aurora	1.6	(3.0)	(2.5)	0.5	0.8	(0.1)	4.8	4.3	4.0	(0.6)	1.4	
Fort Collins/												
Loveland	2.7	0.9	(3.3)	--	--	(1.7)	5.1	9.8	2.5	4.3	4.2	
Grand Junction	4.8	18.4	(5.9)	3.0	11.1	2.9	3.7	4.9	2.8	--	3.3	
Greeley	2.2	3.7	(1.0)	2.8	--	(2.0)	6.5	2.6	2.9	3.8	1.3	
Pueblo	4.0	12.2	--	--	--	--	16.4	2.2	3.2	5.0	3.3	
Missouri												
Columbia	1.7	NA	NA	--	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1.3	
Jefferson City	1.3	NA	NA	4.9	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	2.2	
Joplin	1.8	NA	2.5	1.0	NA	NA	NA	--	NA	NA	8.1	
Kan. City, MO	0.8	4.7	1.8	--	(2.6)	(1.2)	0.4	1.0	1.6	2.8	0.7	
St. Joseph												
MO-KS	3.1	NA	NA	5.3	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	--	
St. Louis												
MO-IL	1.5	1.5	(1.0)	1.6	(1.3)	(0.5)	1.3	2.5	3.5	4.2	0.9	
Springfield	2.5	3.7	1.7	0.4	4.8	0.8	3.2	3.5	2.1	1.2	5.9	
Nebraska												
Lincoln	1.2	7.0	(4.5)	0.3	--	2.4	2.7	--	6.9	(4.0)	1.3	
Omaha/ Council												
Bluffs, NE-IA	1.9	3.5	3.6	0.9	5.6	(1.3)	3.8	3.2	3.0	(1.8)	(0.2)	
Oklahoma												
Lawton	2.2	--	(2.6)	5.6	--	(4.5)	3.1	4.9	2.4	--	2.3	
Oklahoma City	3.3	6.7	(0.8)	1.3	0.7	1.7	5.7	5.5	5.4	5.1	1.7	
Tulsa	1.4	0.4	(1.4)	2.3	2.1	(0.8)	2.7	0.4	2.5	1.4	2.7	
All Area Average	1.8	1.7	(0.1)	1.2	0.1	0.2	3.4	3.0	2.9	2.1	1.7	

Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics

**Manufacturing.** Manufacturing employment in the major metropolitan areas in the five-state region decreased 0.1 percent in 2007. However, Topeka led the five-state area with 16.4 percent growth in manufacturing employment, followed by Wichita with 5.0 percent growth, and Omaha/Council Bluffs, Nebraska/Iowa with 3.6 percent growth. Kansas City, Kansas ranked seventh in the five-state area with manufacturing employment growth of 0.3 percent.

**Trade, Transportation, & Public Utilities.** Trade, transportation, and public utilities employment in the major metropolitan areas in the five-state region increased 1.2 percent in 2007. Lawrence led the five-state area with an 8.4 percent increase in trade, transportation, and public utilities employment growth, followed by Lawton, Oklahoma with a 5.6 percent increase, and St. Joseph, Missouri/Kansas with a 5.3 percent increase. Wichita ranked fifth with a



growth rate of 3.6 percent, Topeka ranked eighth with a 2.4 percent growth rate, and Kansas City, Kansas ranked 13th with a 1.1 percent growth rate.

**Information.** Information employment in the major metropolitan areas in the five-state region increased 0.1 percent in 2007. Grand Junction, Colorado had the highest growth rate in the five-state area at 11.1 percent, followed by Omaha/Council Bluffs, Nebraska/Iowa with a 5.6 percent growth rate, and Wichita with a 5.2 percent growth rate. Topeka ranked 10th with constant information employment and Kansas City, Kansas ranked 18th with a 3.5 percent decrease in information employment.

**Financial Activities.** Financial activities employment in the major metropolitan areas in the five-state region increased 0.2 percent in 2007. Within the five-state region Wichita experienced the highest growth in financial activities employment at 4.4 percent, followed by Topeka with 3.9 percent growth, and Grand Junction, Colorado with 2.9 percent growth. Kansas City, Kansas ranked sixth with 1.9 percent growth in financial activities employment.

**Professional & Business Services.** Professional and business services employment in the major metropolitan areas in the region increased 3.4 percent in 2007. Pueblo, Colorado had the highest growth rate in professional and business services employment in the five-state area at 16.4 percent, followed by Topeka at 10.6 percent and Greeley, Colorado 6.5 percent growth. Wichita ranked fifth in the five-state area with 5.6 percent growth in professional and business services employment, Kansas City, Kansas ranked 10th with 3.6 percent growth, and Lawrence ranked 17th with 1.9 percent growth.

**Education & Health Services.** Education and health services employment in the major metropolitan areas in the region increased 3.0 percent in 2007. Fort Collins/Loveland, Colorado had the highest growth rate in education and health services employment at 9.8 percent, followed by Topeka at 5.9 percent and Oklahoma City, Oklahoma at 5.5 percent. Wichita ranked eighth in the five-state area with 4.1 percent growth, while Lawrence ranked 15th with 2.0 percent growth, and Kansas City, Kansas ranked 16th with 1.4 percent growth.

**Leisure & Hospitality Services.** Leisure and hospitality services employment in the major

metropolitan areas in the region increased 2.9 percent in 2007. Lincoln, Nebraska had the highest growth rate in leisure and hospitality services employment at 6.9 percent, followed by Oklahoma City, Oklahoma with 5.4 percent growth, and Denver/Aurora, Colorado followed with 4.0 percent growth. Wichita ranked fifth with 3.3 percent growth, while Topeka ranked 16th with 1.3 percent growth, Lawrence was ranked 17th with employment remaining constant, and Kansas City, Kansas ranked 19th with a 1.8 percent decrease in employment.

**Other Services.** Other services employment in the major metropolitan areas in the five-state region increased 2.1 percent in 2007. Wichita had the highest growth rate in other services employment at 9.0 percent, followed by Oklahoma City, Oklahoma at 5.1 percent, and Pueblo, Colorado at 5.0. Kansas City, Kansas ranked 10th with 1.3 percent growth in other services employment, while Topeka ranked 14th with no change in other services employment.

**Government.** Government employment in the major metropolitan areas in the five-state region increased 1.7 percent in 2007. Joplin, Missouri experienced the highest growth in government employment with a 8.1 percent growth rate, followed by Springfield, Missouri with a 5.9 percent growth rate, Fort Collins/Loveland, Colorado with a 4.2 percent growth rate. Topeka ranked ninth with a 2.5 percent growth rate, Kansas City, Kansas ranked 10th with a 2.4 percent growth rate, Wichita ranked 14th with a 1.7 percent growth rate, and Lawrence ranked 22nd with no change in government employment.

## Regional Labor Market Employment

The civilian labor force increased in only three of the state's eleven secondary labor markets during 2007. Montgomery County experienced the highest rate of increase at 2.1 percent, followed by Ellis County with a 1.3 percent growth rate, and Riley County with a 0.7 percent increase. Similarly, employment increases were experienced in only four of the state's secondary labor markets in 2007. Again, Montgomery County experienced the highest rate of growth at 3.5 percent, followed by Ellis County at 2.1 percent, Riley County at 1.6 percent, and Finney County at 0.7 percent. But probably more importantly, the unemployment rate decreased in all of the state's 11 secondary labor

Table 3-6

<b>County Employment</b>												
<b>October 2006-October 2007</b>												
		Percentage Change from October 2006 to October 2007										
		<u>Barton</u>	<u>Craw.</u>	<u>Ellis</u>	<u>Finney</u>	<u>Ford</u>	<u>Lyon</u>	<u>McPher.</u>	<u>Mont.</u>	<u>Reno</u>	<u>Riley</u>	<u>Saline</u>
<b>Place of Residence Data</b>												
Civilian Labor Force	(1.2)	(5.7)	1.3	(0.1)	(2.7)	(7.2)	(5.1)	2.1	(1.6)	0.7	(2.5)	
Employment	(0.3)	(5.0)	2.1	0.7	(2.0)	(6.8)	(4.6)	3.5	(0.6)	1.6	(1.7)	
Unemployment	(25.2)	(20.7)	(24.8)	(20.9)	(23.8)	(17.4)	(21.5)	(24.2)	(23.5)	(26.1)	(23.8)	
Unemployment Rate	(0.9)	(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.4)	(0.5)	(1.4)	(0.9)	(0.9)	(0.8)	
<b>Place of Work Data</b>												
All Industries	(0.5)	5.5	2.2	1.4	(2.0)	(7.8)	(4.9)	4.1	(0.5)	0.8	(1.5)	
Ag., For., Fish., & Hunt.	--	(100.0)	NA	--	--	--	--	NA	--	NA	NA	
Mining	(5.8)	--	(10.9)	--	NA	33.3	--	11.1	(31.3)	NA	--	
Utilities	--	14.3	NA	--	(16.7)	--	NA	66.7	--	--	20.0	
Construction	2.9	4.2	--	(4.1)	(15.6)	--	10.7	3.6	(14.0)	47.8	(9.9)	
Manufacturing	(4.0)	2.9	4.2	2.8	(0.2)	(15.8)	(11.5)	3.2	(5.7)	7.4	(7.0)	
Wholesale Trade	1.3	(4.3)	(5.4)	1.6	(3.4)	3.1	(6.2)	5.0	(5.7)	1.9	(1.8)	
Retail Trade	1.2	1.1	(1.3)	(3.2)	(4.0)	(1.1)	(12.0)	(2.2)	(1.7)	(2.5)	3.9	
Trans. & Warehousing	(7.3)	3.8	(31.6)	6.5	(6.7)	5.0	(7.4)	7.6	5.0	--	19.8	
Information	--	(2.8)	12.0	(9.1)	(54.5)	(34.0)	6.7	(13.0)	(3.7)	8.9	(34.6)	
Finance & Insurance	(3.9)	--	1.1	--	(6.1)	--	6.5	(2.3)	(1.2)	(8.2)	2.4	
R.E. & Rental & Leasing	--	7.7	--	(10.0)	14.3	(7.7)	(9.1)	(3.6)	(15.0)	(14.8)	4.3	
Prof. & Tech. Services	3.6	31.8	50.0	24.1	(4.2)	(10.3)	(16.7)	4.3	--	7.5	6.0	
Mgmt. of Cos. & Ent.	100.0	--	5.0	(100.0)	200.0	(50.0)	(17.6)	(50.0)	2.8	(20.0)	(60.0)	
Adm. & Waste Services	10.0	12.0	(3.8)	(12.5)	(26.2)	(11.5)	(6.7)	44.2	3.8	6.1	40.5	
Educational Services	(25.0)	--	(6.3)	--	--	25.0	3.2	--	--	(7.4)	--	
Health & Social Assist.	4.0	1.7	(5.0)	7.1	(6.7)	(9.3)	2.4	0.4	4.6	1.0	(2.2)	
Arts, Ent., & Recreation	--	26.7	(10.0)	--	(4.8)	--	(11.1)	(10.0)	(11.6)	(16.0)	--	
Accom. & Food Serv.	(2.6)	(5.4)	14.6	0.9	15.7	(12.3)	(4.5)	13.3	16.8	(1.0)	(0.8)	
Other Services	7.7	2.8	(4.5)	(1.5)	(4.9)	(1.7)	(13.9)	1.6	(4.7)	6.6	(3.4)	
Government	(4.0)	15.5	8.4	4.0	0.4	(1.3)	(4.2)	(1.5)	0.2	(2.2)	(3.5)	
Farm Employment	(20.5)	(20.0)	(18.4)	(21.4)	(19.6)	(18.6)	(20.0)	(21.3)	(20.0)	(17.4)	(19.4)	

Source: Ks Dept. of Labor, Labor Mkt. Info. Service

markets in 2007. The unemployment rate decreased by 1.4 percentage points in Montgomery County, while the unemployment rate decreased 0.9 percentage points in Barton, Reno, and Riley Counties, respectively. Table 3-6, shown above, presents employment trends for the 11 selected counties from October 2006 to October 2007.

## County Personal Income

Both the levels and the components of personal income are important in understanding local economies. Because of this importance, county personal income is presented in this section.

## Total Personal Income

Total personal income in Kansas grew at a 7.0 percent rate in 2006. Johnson County generated the highest level of personal income with \$25.9 billion, followed by Sedgwick County with \$17.7 billion. Butler, Crawford, Douglas, Geary, Harvey, Leavenworth, McPherson, Reno, Riley, Saline, Shawnee, and Wyandotte Counties each generated over \$1.0 billion of personal income in 2006. The lowest levels of income were recorded in Comanche, Greeley, and Wallace Counties, each with less than \$50.0 million in total personal income.

The highest growth rates of personal income in 2006 were recorded in Geary County which experienced a

33.7 percent rise, followed by Wyandotte County with 16.8 percent growth, and Coffey County with 12.8 percent growth. At the other extreme, Edwards, Gray, Hamilton, Haskell, Hodgeman, and Wichita all experienced double-digit percentage declines in personal income. However, it should be kept in mind that these rates of change may be distorted by erratic fluctuations in the farm economy.

Of the state's major urban counties, Wyandotte County had the highest personal income growth in 2006 at 16.8 percent, followed by Sedgwick County with 10.2 percent growth, Shawnee County with 10.0 percent growth, and Johnson County with 6.7 percent growth. Appendix D presents county personal income by major components for 2005. Appendix E shows county personal income estimates by major components for 2006. Figure 3-1, which is shown on page 48, presents the percent change in county personal income from 2005 to 2006.

**Salaries & Wages.** Salaries and wages are distributed across the state in a pattern that generally corresponds to population. The areas with higher population density generally have higher total salaries and wages. Salaries and wages in Kansas grew at a 7.3 percent rate in 2006. Johnson County and Sedgwick County ranked first and second, with salaries and wages of \$14.7 billion and \$10.4 billion, respectively. Shawnee and Wyandotte Counties each generated over \$3.0 billion of salaries and wages in 2006. During that same year, Hodgeman and Wallace Counties had the lowest salaries and wages total with less than \$15.0 million each.

**Other Labor Income.** While the correlation between salaries and wages disbursements and other labor income is not exact, the two are closely related. County rankings are nearly the same for both components. Other labor income in Kansas grew at a rate of 6.7 percent in 2006. Johnson County ranked first with \$3.1 billion in other labor income, followed by Sedgwick County at \$2.5 billion. At the other end of the spectrum, Wallace County generated less than \$4.0 million of other labor income.

**Farm Proprietors' Income.** The relative importance of farm proprietors' income, as a component of total personal income, varies among the 105 Kansas counties. While there are many measured components of farm owners' income, the major determinants are

farm production of crops and livestock, profitability of farm operations, and federal government payments to farmers. Farm proprietors' income is larger and out of proportion in agriculturally-oriented counties because of large-scale production, high profitability, and government support payments. In 2006, farm proprietors' income fell 76.0 percent. Ford County had the highest farm proprietors' income in 2006 at \$10.8 million, followed by Thomas County with \$10.1 million, Nemaha County with \$9.7 million, and Gray County with \$9.4 million.

**Nonfarm Proprietors' Income.** The net earnings of unincorporated business owners constitute nonfarm proprietors' income. County size also is a major determinant in the distribution of this income component. Nonfarm proprietors' income grew at an 4.7 percent rate in 2006. Johnson County had the highest level of nonfarm proprietors' income at \$2.9 billion followed by Sedgwick County with \$2.1 billion. Greeley, Hodgeman, Kearny, Lane, Stanton, Wallace, and Washington Counties had the lowest with less than \$5.0 million of nonfarm proprietors' income each.

**Dividends, Interest, & Rent.** Dividends, interest, and rent increased by 10.0 percent in 2006. This category represents a large component of personal income in Johnson County. In fact, the \$5.0 billion of dividends, interest, and rents in that county account for nearly one-third of the state total. Sedgwick County also had over \$2.9 billion of dividends, interest, and rent. Only Greeley and Wallace Counties had less than \$10.0 million in dividends, interest, and rents in 2006.

**Transfer Payments.** Transfer payments in Kansas grew at 9.1 percent rate in 2006 and are largely social security benefits. The distribution of transfer payments across the state generally reflects county population. Collectively, the state's four urban counties received over \$6.0 billion in transfer payments, while Greeley and Wallace Counties each received less than \$10.0 million.

**Residence Adjustment.** Because personal income is measured on a residence basis, an adjustment must be made for out-of-county earnings. A residence adjustment is made for salaries and wages, other labor income, and for both farm and nonfarm proprietors' income. The residence adjustment for Kansas declined 6.3 percent in 2006. For the state as a whole,

the 2006 residence adjustment was \$812.2 million. The positive value indicates that, in total, Kansans earn more income out-of-state than non-Kansans earn in Kansas.

Within Kansas, four situations are present that relate to residence adjustment. First, for most counties, this component is relatively small. Second, there are considerable earnings by Riley County residents in Geary County associated with Fort Riley. The third situation relates to out-of-county earnings provided in two of the large Kansas counties. For example, the Sedgwick County residence adjustment is negative (-\$968.8 million.) Most of this income appears in bordering counties. For neighboring Butler County, the adjustment is positive (\$529.1 million). Thus, Sedgwick County provides earnings and jobs for Butler County residents. A similar situation exists for Shawnee County. The final situation exists in the Kansas City area. The Wyandotte County residence adjustment is negative (-\$1,086.2 million), indicating that the county provides net earnings for residents of other counties and perhaps for Missouri residents. Johnson County is unique in that its residence adjustment is positive (\$813.3 million) and more than the state's overall residence adjustment of \$812.2 million. Besides providing jobs for residents of bordering counties, even larger earnings of Johnson County residents come from the Missouri side of the Kansas City area.

**Personal Social Insurance Contributions.** Personal contributions for social insurance in Kansas grew at a 7.2 percent rate in 2006. Because of the definition of the social security tax base, personal contributions for social insurance are closely related to the distribution of salaries and wages, as well as positive proprietors' income. Personal contributions for social insurance exceeded \$500.0 million in each of the state's four major urban counties during 2005. Johnson County's contributions totaled \$2,325.7 million and Sedgwick County's were \$1,660.8 million. Shawnee followed with contributions of \$574.5 million and Wyandotte had \$530.2 million.

## Per Capita Personal Income

Per capita income for each county may be obtained by dividing the total personal income of the county by the total population of the county. The population data used for these calculations were estimates of county

population as of July 1, 2006, based on the U.S. Bureau of the Census' *Current Population Reports*. Figure 3-2, which is shown on page 48, presents per capita personal income by county for 2006.

In 2006, Kansas per capita income was \$34,744. Johnson County had the highest per capita income at \$50,215, followed by Geary County at \$44,516, Ness County at \$37,592, and Sedgwick County at \$37,517. The lowest per capita income was in Kearny County at \$22,115. It should be noted that county per capita income may fluctuate dramatically from year to year because of the inherent volatility of the farm economy, coupled with the relatively low population in many rural counties.

The relatively low-income counties in rural Kansas generally tend to have a high reliance on the farm economy. Specifically, these counties have a negative, or low, farm proprietors' income. In the eastern half of the state, particularly the southeastern portion of Kansas, low-income counties are primarily those that have relatively high population densities, but are not part of major urban areas.

The counties with high per capita incomes are associated with two conditions. First, most relatively high per capita income counties are rural and agricultural and, for the most part, are in the southwestern part of the state. Second, three of the state's major urban counties have high per capita incomes. This income influences not only the core county of the metropolitan area, but also many bordering counties that provide the place of residence for individuals who are employed, but may not live in the core counties.

## The Four Urban Counties

In Kansas, it also is important to examine personal income levels and related components for the state's four urban counties. These counties are Sedgwick, Johnson, Wyandotte, and Shawnee.

### Total Personal Income

In 2006, Johnson, Sedgwick, Shawnee, and Wyandotte accounted for 47.6 percent of the state's population

and 56.1 percent of the state's total personal income. This indicates that per capita income is higher in the four urban counties than in the state as a whole. Wyandotte County per capita income of \$26,887 is significantly below the state average of \$34,744, while Sedgwick County at \$37,517 and Shawnee County at \$35,180 are both slightly above the state average. Johnson County at \$50,215 is 44.5 percent above the state average. For the four urban counties, the average per capita income is \$40,941, or 17.8 percent, higher than the state average.

These four counties generate 63.1 percent of Kansas' salaries and wages; 63.1 percent of personal contributions for social insurance; 62.8 percent of non-farm proprietors' income; 57.5 percent of the other labor income; 56.4 percent of dividend, interest, and rent income; and 44.8 percent of Kansas' transfer payments. Only for farm proprietors' income and residence adjustment do these four counties sum to a small share of the Kansas total. Johnson or Sedgwick rank either first or second among the 105 counties in most income components, as well as total income. Shawnee and Wyandotte rank third and fourth.

## **Regional Personal Income**

Because boundaries of economic activity do not necessarily respect political boundaries, comparisons of county income do not necessarily provide an accurate measure of the economic performance of a geographic area. Income that is concentrated in a small area is subject to greater variability than is income spread over a wide area. For example, personal income measures the income received by individuals on the basis of their residence location and is not directly concerned with the location of earnings. Thus, a residence adjustment is necessary for each county for two reasons. First, the residence adjustment is necessary to account for inter-county commuting to work. Second, it is necessary to account for some income components, such as farm proprietors' income, where the location of the residence may be far removed from the location of the income generation.

An additional problem exists for states such as Kansas where farm income is sizeable. Extreme fluctuations

in small-area farm earnings occur particularly because of variable weather conditions and the changing location of farm products marketing.

County data provide helpful insights because they may be aggregated over larger regions. For this report, Kansas has been divided into 11 planning regions, which may be considered the "official" aggregation. Figure 3-3, which is shown on page 49, presents total personal income by region for 2005 and 2006, while Figure 3-4, also shown on page 49, presents the percentage change in total personal income by region from 2005 to 2006 and per capita income for 2006.

In terms of total personal income, the 2006 average for the 11 regions is \$8.7 billion. Region I, which surrounds Kansas City, has the highest level of personal income with \$45.0 billion. The only other region that is above the average total personal income per region is Region IV, which surrounds Wichita, which had total personal income of \$25.0 billion in 2006. The lowest personal income was realized in Region VIII in northwest Kansas at \$827.3 million.

Generally, regional population rankings correspond to regional personal income rankings. High incomes are associated with large populations. Thus, population and personal income are highly concentrated in the state. Accordingly, 72.9 percent of the total personal income is located in Regions I and IV. Less than 1.0 percent of the state's total is located in Region VIII.

Recent income and population growth have been uneven across Kansas. In 2006, total personal income rose by 7.0 percent across the state. The most rapid growth was in Regions III, IV and I with 8.2 percent, 8.2 percent, and 7.3 percent growth, respectively. Region VI experienced the smallest increase in personal income in 2006 at 0.2 percent.

In terms of per capita personal income, Region I ranked first at \$39,120, followed by Region IV at \$34,712. Region VII, in southwest Kansas, had the lowest per capita income at \$26,236. The extent of the inequality in regional income is evident because a 49.1 percent difference exists in per capita income from the highest income region to the lowest income region. This is significant by any measure.

**Figure 3-1. Percent Change in County Personal Income, 2005-2006**


3.7 CHEYENNE	2.0 RAWLNS	3.5 DECATUR	7.0 NORTON	5.8 PHILLIPS	3.2 SMITH	1.5 JEWELL	1.9 REPUBLIC	-2.8 WASHINGTON	0.9 MARSHALL	2.1 NEMAHA	8.2 BROWN	3.8 DONIPHAN		
1.9 SHERMAN	0.6 THOMAS	-5.8 SHERIDAN	0.5 GRAHAM	4.3 ROOKS	3.8 OSBORNE	5.3 MITCHELL	5.4 CLOUD	3.2 CLAY	5.4 RILEY	4.4 POTTAWATOMIE	1.8 JACKSON	6.3 ATCHISON		
0.7 WALLACE	2.6 LOGAN	0.4 GOVE	5.7 TREGO	6.0 ELLIS	7.5 RUSSELL	0.2 LINCOLN	-1.8 OTTAWA	1.5 DICKINSON	33.7 GEARY	-3.4 WABAUNSEE	10.0 SHAWNEE	-4.4 JEFF.	5.8 LEAVENWORTH	16.8 WYANDOTTE
-1.8 GREBLEY	-15.1 WICHITA	-0.8 SCOTT	-4.0 LANE	4.0 NESS	5.5 RUSH	8.6 BARTON	6.5 ELLSWORTH	9.6 SALINE	0.1 MORRIS	0.1 MORRIS	-2.8 OSAGE	2.4 FRANKLIN	-2.0 MIAMI	
-18.6 HAMILTON	-2.5 KEARNY	4.9 FINNEY	-16.7 HODGEMAN	8.1 PAWNEE	-1.0 STAFFORD	3.1 RICE	8.0 MCPHERSON	0.1 MARION	-7.3 CHASE	7.6 LYON	12.5 COFFEY	0.4 ANDERSON	0.1 LINN	
-7.4 STANTON	4.4 GRANT	-20.9 HASKELL	-14.2 GRAY	4.5 FORD	-10.3 EDWARDS	5.5 RENO	4.9 HARVEY	-1.8 BUTLER	1.7 GREENWOOD	-0.2 WOODSON	9.0 ALLEN	7.4 BOURBON		
11.0 MORTON	-6.2 STEVENS	6.7 SEWARD	-4.2 MEADE	6.7 CLARK	10.7 COMANCHE	11.4 BARBER	4.4 HARPER	-3.5 SUMNER	4.9 COWLEY	3.7 ELK	8.8 WILSON	7.5 NEOSHO	7.5 CRAWFORD	
										6.2 CHAUTAQUA	8.2 MONTGOMERY	6.6 LABETTE	2.4 CHEROKEE	

**Figure 3-2. Per Capita Personal Income, 2006**


*(Dollars in Thousands)*

23.2 CHEYENNE	30.3 RAWLNS	27.6 DECATUR	27.4 NORTON	32.0 PHILLIPS	28.4 SMITH	28.8 JEWELL	25.1 REPUBLIC	25.2 WASHINGTON	32.7 MARSHALL	30.8 NEMAHA	30.4 BROWN	23.8 DONIPHAN		
27.4 SHERMAN	30.1 THOMAS	32.7 SHERIDAN	34.8 GRAHAM	27.5 ROOKS	26.7 OSBORNE	30.2 MITCHELL	26.8 CLOUD	32.2 CLAY	33.0 RILEY	31.1 POTTAWATOMIE	29.1 JACKSON	25.7 ATCHISON		
25.1 WALLACE	30.2 LOGAN	30.3 GOVE	25.9 TREGO	34.0 ELLIS	28.0 RUSSELL	22.2 LINCOLN	23.8 OTTAWA	26.6 DICKINSON	44.5 GEARY	27.2 WABAUNSEE	35.2 SHAWNEE	25.6 JEFF.	29.5 LEAVENWORTH	26.9 WYANDOTTE
28.9 GREBLEY	30.2 WICHITA	30.9 SCOTT	33.3 LANE	37.6 NESS	27.9 RUSH	34.1 BARTON	27.9 ELLSWORTH	33.5 SALINE	25.8 MORRIS	25.8 MORRIS	24.5 OSAGE	26.5 FRANKLIN	30.5 MIAMI	
26.0 HAMILTON	22.1 KEARNY	23.7 FINNEY	25.3 HODGEMAN	31.8 PAWNEE	23.1 RICE	27.0 EDWARDS	27.2 STAFFORD	34.4 MCPHERSON	23.6 MARION	31.3 CHASE	26.4 LYON	36.7 COFFEY	24.3 ANDERSON	24.7 LINN
30.1 STANTON	29.5 GRANT	28.8 HASKELL	27.6 GRAY	25.8 FORD	32.2 KIOWA	31.5 PRATT	28.4 RENO	31.4 HARVEY	29.4 BUTLER	26.2 GREENWOOD	22.4 WOODSON	27.5 ALLEN	26.7 BOURBON	
29.3 MORTON	28.5 STEVENS	26.4 SEWARD	26.4 MEADE	31.1 CLARK	25.9 COMANCHE	29.0 BARBER	30.6 HARPER	27.4 SUMNER	28.4 COWLEY	23.4 ELK	28.6 WILSON	27.0 NEOSHO	27.0 CRAWFORD	
										27.9 CHAUTAQUA	28.3 MONTGOMERY	27.9 LABETTE	25.7 CHEROKEE	

**Figure 3-3. Total Personal Income, by Region, 2005 & 2006**  
*(Dollars in Millions)*



**Figure 3-4. Percent Change in Total Income, 2005 & 2006, & 2006 per Capita Income, by Region**



## Chapter 4

# Kansas Demographics

---

### Overview

The U.S. Census Bureau reported that the nation's minority population reached 100.7 million on July 1, 2006. A year ago, the minority population totaled 98.3 million. According to the Census Bureau, there are more minorities in the U.S. today than there were people in the U.S. in 1910 and the U.S. minority population is larger than the total population of all but 11 countries.

Other population milestones also were reached in the U.S. during July 1, 2005 to July 1, 2006. The U.S. black population surpassed 40 million while the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander group reached 1 million.

With 44.3 million, Hispanics remained the largest minority group (14.8 percent) of the total population. Blacks were the second largest minority group with 40.2 million, followed by Asians (14.9 million), American Indians and Alaska Natives (4.5 million), and Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islanders (1.0 million). Non-Hispanic white population totaled 198.7 million in 2006. Appendix K presents the race demographics specific to Kansas.

According to the Census Bureau, the total population in the United States was 299,398,484 on July 1, 2006. Kansas had a total population of 2,764,075, which represents 0.9 percent of the total national population. This figure was certified on July 1, 2007. By state statute (KSA 11-201), the official population of Kansas is certified from the latest estimates released by the Bureau of the Census. As of April 1, 2000, the Kansas population was 2,688,418. On July 1, 2007, the population for calendar year 2006 was certified at 2,764,075. This certification serves as the official population of the state. The certified population for Kansas, including all counties and townships for 2007 is shown in Appendix F.

As the population in Kansas continues to increase, the cities with populations of more than 5,000 are

realizing the greatest proportion of the increase. Historically, Kansas has been predominantly rural. However, that trend is changing. Of the 627 cities in Kansas, 57 have populations that exceed 5,000; 570 have populations of less than 5,000; and 428 have populations of less than 1,000 people. The total population of all cities in Kansas is 2,257,569, which represents 81.7 percent of the total population.

Of the 20 largest cities in Kansas, five have populations that exceed 100,000, including Wichita (357,698), Overland Park (166,722), Kansas City (143,801), Topeka (122,113), and Olathe (114,662). These cities are all located in the eastern half of the state. The western half of Kansas encompasses six of the 20 largest cities in Kansas, including Salina (46,140), Hutchinson (41,085), Garden City (27,175), Dodge City (26,101), Liberal (20,384), and Hays (19,726). Of these six cities, only Dodge City's populations decreased over the last year.

The population that resides in the unincorporated, or rural, areas in Kansas totals 506,506, which has decreased 3,953 since 2005. Also, there are 428 cities with populations of less than 1,000 people. These 428 cities have a total combined population of 131,223 which is 2,276 less than last year. The unincorporated areas, when combined with cities with populations of less than 1,000 people, account for 23.1 percent of the total population. This is a slight decrease from last year's level of 23.5 percent of the total population. In 2000, 24.3 percent of the total population resided in unincorporated areas and cities of less than 1,000 people.

There are 570 cities in Kansas that have a population of less than 5,000 people. These cities have a total population of 436,036, which is 4,169 more people residing in this category of cities than last year when the total population was 431,867. When the total population of these cities is combined with the rural areas, 34.1 percent of the total population is represented. Last year, 34.3 percent of the total population resided in rural areas and cities with populations of less than 5,000.



According to the U.S. Census Bureau, Kansas gained 19,388 people in the most recent year and ranked 33rd nationally in terms of population gain. The greatest population growth in the U.S. occurred in Texas, which gained 647,815 people during that same period. California ranked second with 325,402, and Florida ranked third with an increase of 300,024 people. In terms of percentage growth, Arizona outpaced the rest of the nation with a growth rate of 3.6 percent. Nevada was second with a growth rate of 3.5 percent, and Idaho was third with a rate of 2.6 percent. The growth rate for Kansas was 0.6 percent. In terms of percentage decline, Louisiana had the largest decrease in population with a 4.9 percent rate.

The West North Central (WNC) Region in the Midwest, which includes Kansas, grew at a slower rate (0.6 percent) than the nation as a whole (1.0 percent). The WNC region also includes Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota. Among the WNC states, South Dakota realized the greatest growth rate (0.9). South Dakota was followed by Minnesota and Missouri (0.8), Nebraska (0.6 percent), Iowa, (0.6 percent), Kansas (0.6 percent), and North Dakota (0.2 percent). The resident population for the U.S., national regions, states, and Kansas counties for 2002 through 2006 is shown in Appendix G.

The data used in this report are based on both full count census information and on estimates. Because both methods are presented, a brief discussion of each methodology follows.

## **U.S. Census Bureau's Methodology of Estimating State Populations**

National population estimates are formulated by using the latest decennial census data as a benchmark and incorporating administrative data from federal agencies. Currently, the 2000 census serves as the benchmark. Each year following a decennial census, the benchmark is statistically adjusted using numerous variables, and a national estimate is reached. The next step is to formulate estimates for each state, county or parish, city, and township in the United States. All of these estimates sum to the national estimate, which is used as a control.

The annual estimates help identify population shifts, as well as trends and potential changes in some federal grants-in-aid formulas. The total state population and a state's proportion of the national total are factors in some formulas used to calculate federal grant-in-aid program allotments, including the Social Services Block Grant and the annual state private activity bond limitations. However, for most grant-in-aid programs, changes in population do not affect allocations directly.

Annual estimates in population change because of two factors: migration and natural growth. Migration refers to the net effect of migration into and out of each state. In 2006, there were 7,453 individuals who migrated into Kansas from another country, an increase of 1,026 (16.0 percent) from 2005. During that same year, the internal, or state-to-state, migration for Kansas was -7,370, compared to -9,998 in 2005. Natural growth refers to the additions to population from births and the subtractions from deaths. There were 39,904 births and 24,351 deaths in Kansas in 2006.

The variables used to produce population estimates are based on data series that capture both migration and natural growth. Variables include vital statistics, such as births and deaths, school statistics from state and parochial school systems, and data from federal income tax returns. Also incorporated into the estimates are data pertaining to housing permits issued, certificates of occupancy, and utility hookups. The latter three variables were included in the methodology beginning with the 1997 estimates. The inclusion of these variables is a significant change in the methodology because, prior to 1997, no housing variables were included. Analysis indicates the inclusion has improved the accuracy of the estimates.

The Census Bureau offers cautions about comparing decennial census numbers with intercensal model-based estimates. It is important to note that differences between decennial census estimates and model-based estimates are ambiguous estimates of changes in the levels of income or poverty at the county level. The ambiguity arises because these differences reflect both changes in the levels of income and poverty and differences in the methods by which the two cross-sectional estimates were made. For more information, see the Bureau's explanation at <http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/saipe/techdoc/cen2000/centable.html>.

There is uncertainty associated with all estimates. For this reason, the Bureau quantifies the uncertainty through confidence intervals. A confidence interval is a range of values that describes the uncertainty surrounding an estimate. The Bureau indicates a confidence interval by its endpoints. For example, the 90.0 percent confidence interval for the number of people, of all ages, in poverty in the United States in 1995 based on the *March 1996 Current Population Survey* is “35,534,124 to 37,315,094.”

A confidence interval is also itself an estimate. It is made using a model of how sampling, interviewing, measuring, and modeling contribute to uncertainty about the relation between the true value of the quantity that is being estimated and the estimate of that value. How a confidence interval is interpreted is important. The “90.0 percent” in the confidence interval listed above represents a level of certainty about the estimate. If new estimates were made repeatedly using the same procedure, the confidence intervals would contain the average of all the estimates 90.0 percent of the time. This unknown average is treated as valid because the modeling procedure used by the Census Bureau is defined as the official measure, for example, of poverty. In these cases, the Bureau has produced a single estimate in a way that, if repeated indefinitely, would result in 90.0 percent of the confidence intervals formed containing the true value. The Census Bureau routinely employs 90.0 percent confidence intervals.

Confidence intervals are one way to represent how “good” an estimate is; the larger a 90.0 percent confidence interval for a particular estimate, the more caution is required when using the estimate. Confidence intervals are an important reminder of the limitations of the estimates.

## **The Decennial Census**

Each decennial census yields a wealth of data that are important to a diversified user-group. The actual count for 2000 was completed on April 1, 2000, and is now the benchmark from which all population estimates are derived through 2009. The decennial census assists in determining how much money states will receive in a number of federal grant programs because several of the programs include population count as a component of their distribution formulas.

The electoral effects of the census are understood fairly well because of the effect on congressional seats. Less understood is the interaction between the population count and federal grant programs. The U.S. Supreme Court ruled that for the purposes of reapportioning seats in the House of Representatives, sampling techniques are prohibited. However, the ruling does not prohibit sampling from being used to allocate funds for federal formula grant programs.

According to the General Accounting Office, there are 22 large formula grant programs that rely in part on data derived from the decennial census. Medicaid is the largest program. The three large formula grant programs that do not use census data are special education; the administrative portion of the nutrition program for women, infants, and children; and low-income home energy assistance.

## **Poverty**

Important items that are determined from the decennial census are the poverty thresholds because they serve as a measure of need for a household. Poverty thresholds originated in 1964. The thresholds were derived using the U.S. Department of Agriculture’s food budgets, which were designed for families under economic stress. The thresholds also used other data relating to what portion of those families’ incomes was spent on food.

Although the methodology has evolved, poverty thresholds are still the dollar amounts used to determine poverty status. Currently, each person or family is assigned one out of 48 possible poverty thresholds. Thresholds vary according to the size of the family and the ages of the family members.

Although the thresholds in some sense reflect families’ needs, they are intended for use as a statistical yardstick, not as a complete description of what people and families need to live. The official measure of poverty was established by the Office of Management and Budget in Statistical Policy Directive 14 and is to be used by federal agencies in their statistical work.

Government aid programs are not required to use the official poverty measure as eligibility criteria. In fact, many government aid programs use a different poverty

measure, such as the Department of Health and Human Services Poverty Guidelines or its variants.

Each aid program may define eligibility differently. However, the official poverty data come from the *Annual Social and Economic Supplement to the Current Population Survey*, which formerly was called the *Annual Demographic Supplement* or simply the “March Supplement.”

The same thresholds are used throughout the United States and do not vary geographically. In addition, the thresholds are updated annually for inflation using the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers. Appendix H presents the poverty thresholds for 2006. The thresholds are used by comparing total family “money” income with the established thresholds. For individuals who do not live with family members, their own income is compared with the appropriate threshold. If total family income equals or exceeds the threshold, the family (or unrelated individual) is not in poverty. If total family money income is less than the threshold appropriate for that family, the family is in poverty. If a family is determined to be in poverty, all family members have the same poverty status.

Income is the primary component used to compute poverty status. The official measure of income that is used by the U.S. Census Bureau is “money income.” It includes earnings; unemployment compensation; workers compensation; Social Security; Supplemental Security Income; public assistance; veterans payments; survivor benefits; pension or retirement income; interest; dividends; rents; royalties; income from estates; trusts; educational assistance; alimony; child support; assistance from outside the household; and other miscellaneous sources. Non-cash benefits, such as food stamps and housing subsidies, do not count. Income is the total of all these sources before taxes, and it excludes any capital gains or losses. The income of all family members is aggregated to determine the household income. The income earned by non-relatives living in the household, such as housemates, is not included in a household’s income.

For example, a family has five members, including two children, their mother, their father, and the children’s great-aunt. The appropriate threshold is \$24,662 for a five-member household with two related children under the age of 18. Assume that the family members’ income in 2006 was \$25,000, of which

\$10,000 was earned by the mother, \$5,000 was earned by the father, and \$10,000 was earned by the great-aunt. Neither child earned any income. To determine this family’s poverty status, the family’s total income is compared with the family’s threshold. Because its income was greater than the threshold, this family is not “in poverty” according to the official definition.

Two other measures that are derived from these data are the Ratio of Income to Poverty and the Income Surplus/Deficit. The ratio is calculated by dividing the family’s total money income by the threshold. The example family’s ratio of income to poverty is 1.01. The difference in dollars between total family income and the family’s poverty threshold is called the Income Surplus/Deficit. For families in poverty, their income is less than the threshold and it is called an “income deficit.” An “income surplus” occurs for families above poverty when its income is greater than the threshold. The example family’s income surplus was \$338 (\$25,000 minus \$24,662).

People whose poverty status cannot be determined are not included in any poverty statistics produced by the Census Bureau. Those not included are individuals living in institutional group quarters (such as prisons or nursing homes), college dormitories, military barracks, and living situations without conventional housing (and who are not in shelters). In addition, unrelated individuals under age 15, such as foster children, are not included. The reason for this exclusion is that income questions are asked of people only age 15 and older.

## School District Populations

The school district estimates provided in Appendix I are derived from the U.S. Census Bureau’s school district mapping project. The latest mapping project for which data are available was conducted at the same time as the 2000 Census. The school district boundary survey is conducted biennially. The survey used for this project asks each state’s Department of Education for a list of all school districts and their boundaries.

The population and poverty estimates for each estimate-year are produced for all school districts identified in the most recent boundary update. The boundary year does not always match the year to

which the estimates refer. For example, the 2006 poverty estimates were produced for school districts in existence for the 2005-2006 school year. The Bureau uses the most current list of school districts and associated geography because it allows for efficient allocation of funds under the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 for which the estimates are produced.

A listing of the population estimates for each school district in Kansas in 2004 is shown in Appendix I. This is the most recent year for which data are available. Also included in the appendix is the “head count,” or actual enrollment numbers, for 2004, which was provided by the Kansas Department of Education. It should be noted that the count from the Kansas Department of Education may not match the Census Bureau’s estimate. There are several reasons for the difference, but the most significant is that the number of individuals who are in private or parochial schools and those who are home schooled are not included in the Department’s figures.

## Health Insurance Coverage

The U.S. Census Bureau also provides statistics on health insurance coverage. The Bureau collects health insurance data using two national surveys, which are the *Current Population Survey (CPS)* and the *Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP)*.

The surveys differ in the length because of the detail of the questionnaire, the number of households interviewed, the methodology used to collect and process the data, and, consequently, in the health insurance coverage estimates that are produced. As a result, it is important to understand that different surveys and methods produce different results, and when it is appropriate to use each survey or method.

The CPS is useful mainly for examining timely estimates of the insured and uninsured population at the national level. The CPS also is useful as a source of estimates of the insured and uninsured populations at the state level. The SIPP is useful mainly for examining the dynamics of health insurance coverage as it changes over time.

The CPS is a monthly survey of approximately 50,000 households conducted by the Census Bureau for the Bureau of Labor Statistics, and the data are used

primarily to estimate the unemployment rate. The *Annual Social & Economic Supplement (ASEC)* to the CPS, a survey of approximately 78,000 households, includes detailed health insurance questions asked of the household respondent for every household resident. Respondents are asked about health insurance coverage in the previous calendar year. The ASEC provides a consistent historical time series at the national level and can be used to examine state-level trends and differences by using multi-year averages. However, the large sampling errors of state-level data limit its usefulness.

The ASEC is perhaps the most widely used source of data on health insurance coverage in the United States and is the official source of estimates used to allocate federal funding to states for the State Children’s Health Insurance Program.

The ASEC provides reliable estimates of the net change in the number of uninsured people from one year to the next. However, it does not show how long a person remains uninsured, what percentage of the uninsured population remains uninsured in the following year, or how many people obtain coverage. Neither does it show any changes in a person’s coverage within a given year.

These more dynamic measures of health insurance coverage are available from the SIPP. Unlike the ASEC, which is not designed to follow the same respondents in consecutive years, the SIPP is a longitudinal survey. This means that the SIPP interviews the same respondents three times a year over the course of three to four years.

Nationally, the number of people with health insurance coverage increased by 2.5 million in 2006 from 247.3 million to 249.8 million. The total number insured represents 84.2 percent of the total population. In Kansas, the number of people with health insurance decreased by 18,000, which represents a 1.5 percentage point decrease in the coverage rate. Appendix J shows the health insurance coverage status in the U.S. and Kansas from 1989 to 2006.

## Demographics

Demographics are the components included in the population. The categories used by the U.S. Census

Bureau include age, race, gender, and ethnicity. The demographic make up of Kansas is becoming more diverse. Historically, the population of Kansas has been predominantly of the White race and non-Hispanic in ethnicity. The same overall make up remains; however, the proportion of Hispanic ethnicity displays an upward trend.

Appendix K presents the dynamics between the decennial census in 2000 and the estimates for 2001 through 2006. Each category, including total population by age, race, gender, and ethnicity is shown. The table also shows each category as a percent of the relevant total population.

## Age

This report identifies five age categories, including under five years of age, five to 19 years of age, 20 to 64 years of age, 65 years of age and older, and 85 years of age and older. It should be noted the category of 85 years of age and older is a subcategory of 65 years of age and older.

Analysis indicates the population of Kansas is aging, but at a slower pace than the rest of the United States. As of July 1, 2006, there were 2,764,075 people in Kansas with a median age of 36.3 years, which is only slightly younger than the national median age of 36.4 years. In addition, when the trends for age are examined, most notably, the population of school age children has seen the most significant decrease since 2000, while the older cohorts remain relatively constant.

Included in the 2006 total are 194,100 people who are less than five years of age. This category has remained virtually unchanged since 2000. Proportionally, this cohort represents 7.0 percent of the total state population and is up 3.3 percent from last year. In 2000, this cohort represented 7.0 percent of the total state population.

The population of school age children five to 19 years of age totaled 582,455 in 2006. This is a 13,099-person increase, or 2.3 percent, since 2005 and a 27,255 person decrease, or -4.5 percent, since 2000. Proportionally, this cohort represents 21.1 percent of the total state population. In 2005, it represented 20.7 percent of the total state population. In 2000, it represented 22.7 percent.

In 2006, the population from 20 to 64 years of age was 1,629,811 and represented 59.0 percent of the total state population. Last year it represented 59.4 percent and in 2000, it represented 57.1 percent. This cohort decreased by 566 people, since last year and increased by 96,040, or 5.9 percent, since 2000.

In 2000, the estimated population of Kansans who were 65 years of age and older was 356,229. In 2006, that number was 357,709, which is an increase of 0.4 percent from the 2000 level and a 0.2 percent increase over last year. This cohort represents 12.9 percent of Kansas' total population in 2006. During that same year, there were 37.2 million people over the age of 65 in the nation.

The elderly are generally considered to be those who are 85 years of age or older. In 2000, there was an estimated 4.2 million individuals over the age of 85 in the U.S., representing 12.4 percent of the total U.S. population. During that same year in Kansas, 51,770 individuals were over the age of 85, which represented 1.9 percent of the total state population. In 2006, there were 59,518 individuals who were 85 years of age and older in Kansas and represents 2.2 percent of Kansas' total population. This age cohort realized an increase of 1,853, or 3.2 percent, since 2005 and 7,748, or 15.0 percent, since 2000.

## Race

Race and Hispanic origin are distinctly different concepts. The concept of race as used by the Census Bureau is one of self-identification and is directly correlated to the race or races with which people most closely identify. These categories are socio-political constructs and should not be interpreted as being scientific or anthropological in nature. In addition, the Census Bureau's race categories include both racial and national-origin groups.

The racial classifications used by the Census Bureau are also used in this report and adhere to the October 30, 1997 *Federal Register* notice entitled "Revisions to the Standards for the Classification of Federal Data on Race and Ethnicity." This report was issued by the Office of Management and Budget. It is important to remember that the data gathered on race in Census 2000 are completely different from previous censuses. Direct comparisons cannot be made. The racial classifications used are:

**White.** A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, the Middle East, or North Africa. It includes people who indicate their race as “white” or report entries such as Irish, German, Italian, Lebanese, Near Easterner, Arab, or Polish.

**Black or African American.** A person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa. It includes people who indicate their race as “Black, African American, or Negro” or provide written entries such as African American, Afro-American, Kenyan, Nigerian, or Haitian.

**American Indian & Alaska Native.** This category includes those who have origins in any of the original peoples of North and South America (including Central America) and who maintain an attachment to their community or tribe.

**Asian.** A person would choose this category if his or her origins are in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, or the Indian subcontinent, including, for example, Cambodia, China, India, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Pakistan, the Philippine Islands, Thailand, and Vietnam. This category includes those who self-identify as Asian Indian, Chinese, Filipino, Korean, Japanese, Vietnamese, and Other Asian.

**Native Hawaiian & Other Pacific Islander.** This category includes those who have origins in any of the original peoples of Hawaii, Guam, Samoa, or other Pacific islands. It includes people who indicate their race as Native Hawaiian, Guamanian or Chamorro, Samoan, and Other Pacific Islander.

**Some Other Race.** This category includes all other responses that are not included in one of the categories listed above. Respondents providing write-in entries such as multiracial, mixed, interracial, Wesort, or a Hispanic/Latino group (for example, Mexican, Puerto Rican, or Cuban) also are included here.

**Two or More Races.** This category encompasses the responses where individuals chose to self-identify with two or more races by checking two or more race response check boxes, by providing multiple write-in responses, or by some combination of the two.

Between 2000 and 2006, the demographic makeup by race and by age has remained relatively stable with

only minor shifts. In 2006, 89.1 percent of the population self-identified as white alone, while 6.0 percent self-identified as black alone, and 1.0 percent as American Indian or Alaska Native alone. Only 2.2 percent self-identified as Asian alone; only 0.1 percent self-identified as Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander alone; and 1.7 percent self-identified as two or more races.

## Gender

This category is determined by self-classification based on gender as either male or female. In 2006, the total Kansas population consisted of 1,371,446 males and 1,392,629 females, which is a ratio of 0.985 male to 1.00 female. This ratio also was 0.977:1.00 in 2000. The chronology of data indicates there were more males than females from birth through middle age. By 65 years of age, the number of females is greater than the number of males, with the ratio increasing as the population ages. The percentage breakdown and ratio in each age cohort have remained relatively constant from 2000 through 2006. The 65 years of age and older category is the first to show more females than males. For the population that is 85 years of age and older, the difference between males and females is even more marked.

## Ethnicity

The U.S. Bureau of the Census categorizes ethnicity as either Hispanic or non-Hispanic. People who identify with the terms “Hispanic” or “Latino” are those who classify themselves in one of the specific Hispanic or Latino categories—Mexican, Puerto Rican, or Cuban—as well as those who indicate that they are “other Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino.” Interestingly, origin can be viewed as the heritage, nationality group, lineage, or country of birth of the person or the person’s parents or ancestors before their arrival in the United States. Those individuals who self-identify their origin as Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino may be of any race.

In 2006, there were 237,426 Hispanic individuals in Kansas, which represented 8.6 percent of the total population, an increase of 9,176 persons which is a 4.0 percent increase from 2005. In 2000, there were 188,252 Hispanic individuals in Kansas, which was

7.0 percent of the total population. All age cohorts show the same relative increase from 2000 through 2006.

## **Kansas Population Projections**

In Kansas, population estimates and projections are widely used by state agencies, local governments, and individuals. The variety of uses includes a diversified user base that includes all levels of government, the media, businesses, special interest groups, and individuals.

By state statute, on July 1 of each year Kansas certifies as the official state population the U.S. Census Bureau's most recent population estimates for sub-

county areas. Unlike the annual certification, the state's population projections are not required to be produced each year. As a general rule, the Kansas Division of the Budget publishes updated population projections every three to five years.

Since the 2000 Census, the projections have been updated twice. The first set was produced in 2002. The most recent set was produced in November 2006 when the Kansas Division of the Budget contracted with Moody's Economy.com to produce population projections, which allows Kansas to fulfill its obligation to provide timely, accurate, and useful data. This independent method provides valuable feedback to the U.S. Census Bureau. Appendix L shows the population projections for Kansas and all counties, by age, for 2008 through 2019.





## Appendix A

### Kansas Personal Income, 2005-2006

(Dollars in Thousands)

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>Percent Change</u> <u>2005-2006</u>
Personal Income	\$ 89,772,821	\$ 96,034,329	7.0 %
Nonfarm Earnings	67,700,152	72,399,967	6.9
Farm Earnings	1,017,693	527,804	(48.1)
Earnings by Place of Work	68,717,845	72,927,771	6.1
Less: Personal Contributions for Social Insurance	7,526,814	8,071,020	7.2
Plus: Adjustment for Residence	866,472	812,158	(6.3)
Equals: Net Earnings by Place of Residence	62,057,503	65,668,909	5.8
Plus: Dividends, Interest, & Rent	14,984,086	16,477,116	10.0
Plus: Transfer Payments	12,731,232	13,888,304	9.1
Equals: Personal Income	89,772,821	96,034,329	7.0
Salary & Wage Disbursements	47,593,373	51,072,655	7.3
Other Labor Income	12,284,877	13,109,211	6.7
Proprietors' Income	8,839,595	8,745,905	(1.1)
Farm Proprietors' Income	636,069	152,960	(76.0)
Nonfarm Proprietors' Income	8,203,526	8,592,945	4.7
Farm Earnings	1,017,693	527,804	(48.1)
Nonfarm Earnings	67,700,152	72,399,967	6.9
Private Earnings	55,052,021	58,767,961	6.7
Forestry, Fishing, Related Activities, & Other	253,439	262,395	3.5
Forestry & Logging	(D)	(D)	NA
Fishing, Hunting, & Trapping	(D)	(D)	NA
Agriculture & Forestry Support Activities	246,699	254,948	3.3
Other	--	--	NA
Mining	1,118,180	1,429,602	27.9
Oil & Gas Extraction	772,982	1,004,191	29.9
Mining (except Oil & Gas)	106,962	125,834	17.6
Support Activities for Mining	238,236	299,577	25.7
Utilities	746,619	768,430	2.9
Construction	3,704,016	3,913,719	5.7
Construction of Buildings	889,298	927,974	4.3
Heavy & Civil Engineering Construction	650,188	671,977	3.4
Specialty Trade Contractors	2,164,530	2,313,768	6.9
Manufacturing	12,144,857	13,249,861	9.1
Durable Goods Manufacturing	7,249,217	8,049,876	11.0
Wood Product Manufacturing	86,982	93,536	7.5
Nonmetallic Mineral Product Manufacturing	348,170	358,963	3.1
Primary Metal Manufacturing	161,934	178,974	10.5
Fabricated Metal Product Manufacturing	608,062	653,108	7.4
Machinery Manufacturing	909,066	949,071	4.4
Computer & Electronic Product Manufacturing	465,902	515,835	10.7
Electrical Equipment & Appliance Manufacturing	254,074	246,108	(3.1)
Motor Vehicle Manufacturing	(D)	(D)	NA
Trans. Equipment Mfg. Excl. Motor Vehicles	(D)	(D)	NA
Furniture & Related Product Manufacturing	191,695	206,164	7.5
Miscellaneous Manufacturing	337,840	340,952	0.9

## Appendix A (cont'd)

### Kansas Personal Income, 2005-2006

(Dollars in Thousands)

	2005	2006	Percent Change 2005-2006
Nondurable Goods Manufacturing	\$ 4,895,640	\$ 5,199,985	6.2 %
Food Manufacturing	1,457,426	1,549,924	6.3
Beverage & Tobacco Product Manufacturing	32,262	31,907	(1.1)
Textile Mills	6,425	7,663	19.3
Textile Product Mills	45,442	49,999	10.0
Apparel Manufacturing	64,090	65,730	2.6
Leather & Allied Product Manufacturing	9,218	6,771	(26.5)
Paper Manufacturing	154,957	159,010	2.6
Printing & Related Support Activities	526,322	542,495	3.1
Petroleum & Coal Products Manufacturing	1,221,909	1,407,322	15.2
Chemical Manufacturing	877,569	887,049	1.1
Plastics & Rubber Products Manufacturing	500,020	492,115	(1.6)
Wholesale Trade	3,788,134	3,969,010	4.8
Retail Trade	4,333,589	4,522,610	4.4
Motor Vehicle & Parts Dealers	867,115	915,514	5.6
Furniture & Home Furnishings Stores	206,091	217,212	5.4
Electronics & Appliance Stores	270,860	310,849	14.8
Building Material & Garden Supply Stores	400,623	424,109	5.9
Food & Beverage Stores	576,581	590,390	2.4
Health & Personal Care Stores	266,177	281,471	5.7
Gasoline Stations	249,150	247,892	(0.5)
Clothing & Clothing Accessories Stores	197,763	207,983	5.2
Sporting Goods, Hobby, Book, & Music Stores	141,166	160,583	13.8
General Merchandise Stores	775,879	775,146	(0.1)
Miscellaneous Store Retailers	249,778	248,408	(0.5)
Nonstore Retailers	132,406	143,053	8.0
Transportation & Warehousing	2,587,855	2,706,385	4.6
Air Transportation	45,584	45,252	(0.7)
Rail Transportation	(D)	(D)	NA
Water Transportation	(D)	(D)	NA
Truck Transportation	1,028,174	1,079,552	5.0
Transit & Ground Passenger Transportation	81,932	84,339	2.9
Pipeline Transportation	79,962	77,125	(3.5)
Scenic & Sightseeing Transportation	2,998	(D)	NA
Support Activities for Transportation	272,496	275,825	1.2
Couriers & Messengers	(D)	326,059	NA
Warehousing & Storage	259,489	285,845	10.2
Information	3,207,286	3,355,743	4.6
Publishing Industries, except Internet	405,159	424,267	4.7
Motion Picture & Sound Recording Industries	26,715	25,985	(2.7)
Broadcasting, except Internet	548,629	535,997	(2.3)
Internet Publishing & Broadcasting	4,362	4,900	12.3
Telecommunications	2,046,382	2,168,949	6.0
ISPs, Search Portals, & Data Processing	154,722	175,217	13.2
Other Information Services	21,317	20,428	(4.2)
Finance & Insurance	3,697,481	3,929,993	6.3
Monetary Authorities—Central Bank	(D)	(D)	NA
Credit Intermediation & Related Activities	1,458,740	1,516,367	4.0

## Appendix A (cont'd)

### Kansas Personal Income, 2005-2006

(Dollars in Thousands)

	2005	2006	Percent Change 2005-2006
Finance & Insurance (continued)			
Securities, Commodity Contracts, Investments	\$ 607,191	\$ 672,546	10.8 %
Insurance Carriers & Related Activities	1,594,261	1,699,928	6.6
Funds, Trusts, & Other Financial Vehicles	(D)	(D)	NA
Real Estate & Rental—Leasing	1,140,632	1,074,616	(5.8)
Real Estate	835,979	777,434	(7.0)
Rental & Leasing Services	283,877	277,490	(2.2)
Lessors of Nonfinancial Intangible Assets	20,776	19,692	(5.2)
Professional & Technical Services	4,500,378	4,836,779	7.5
Management of Companies & Enterprises	720,757	879,690	22.1
Administrative & Waste Services	2,347,861	2,620,170	11.6
Administrative & Support Services	2,190,124	2,457,857	12.2
Waste Management & Remediation Services	157,737	162,313	2.9
Educational Services	456,950	485,418	6.2
Health Care & Social Assistance	6,356,234	6,656,711	4.7
Ambulatory Health Care Services	3,170,314	3,283,606	3.6
Hospitals	1,743,070	1,884,148	8.1
Nursing & Residential Care Facilities	875,875	900,934	2.9
Social Assistance	566,975	588,023	3.7
Arts, Entertainment, & Recreation	285,975	295,841	3.4
Performing Arts & Spectator Sports	69,284	73,051	5.4
Museums, Historical Sites, Zoos, & Parks	20,328	20,558	1.1
Amusement, Gambling, & Recreation	196,363	202,232	3.0
Accommodation & Food Services	1,645,150	1,736,348	5.5
Accommodations	254,399	269,733	6.0
Food Services & Drinking Places	1,390,751	1,466,615	5.5
Other Services, except Public Administration	2,016,628	2,074,640	2.9
Repair & Maintenance	630,845	651,615	3.3
Personal & Laundry Services	379,396	362,595	(4.4)
Membership Associations & Organizations	834,142	880,651	5.6
Private Households	172,245	179,779	4.4
Government & Government Enterprises	12,648,131	13,632,006	7.8
Federal, Civilian	2,068,993	2,190,317	5.9
Military	1,902,962	2,201,166	15.7
State & Local	8,676,176	9,240,523	6.5
State Government	2,318,228	2,437,869	5.2
Local Government	6,357,948	6,802,654	7.0

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis

Estimates of earnings are based on the 2002 North American Industry Classification System (NAICS).

(D) Not shown to avoid disclosure of confidential information, but estimates for this item are included in total.

## Appendix B

### State Personal Income & Growth Rates, 2004-2006

(Dollars in Thousands)

	Personal Income		Percent Change			Per Capita Personal Income		Percent Change		
	2006	Rank	04-05	05-06	Rank	2006	Rank	04-05	05-06	Rank
United States	10,966,808,000		5.9	6.6 %		36,629		4.9	5.6 %	
Far West	1,972,020,581	3	6.3	6.8	4	38,663	3	5.1	5.6	5
Great Lakes	1,608,781,227	4	4.0	4.8	8	34,765	6	3.7	4.4	8
Mideast	2,021,814,120	2	5.6	6.7	5	42,545	2	5.3	6.6	1
New England	631,474,719	7	4.7	6.0	6	44,252	1	4.6	5.9	3
Plains	693,471,650	6	4.3	5.3	7	34,774	5	3.7	4.6	7
Rocky Mountain	358,072,733	8	7.6	7.7	2	35,004	4	5.7	5.5	6
Southeast	2,485,958,743	1	6.3	7.1	3	33,170	8	4.7	5.9	2
Southwest	1,195,214,227	5	9.0	8.5	1	33,947	7	6.9	5.8	4
Alabama	141,838,062	24	5.4	6.6	23	30,841	43	4.7	5.4	25
Alaska	25,878,837	48	8.2	6.5	25	38,622	15	7.1	5.4	26
Arizona	197,008,991	21	9.7	8.9	3	31,949	39	5.8	5.2	30
Arkansas	79,951,163	33	5.8	6.8	19	28,444	49	4.7	5.5	24
California	1,434,909,558	1	6.5	6.5	27	39,358	11	5.6	5.6	22
Colorado	188,173,243	22	7.3	7.0	16	39,587	9	5.8	5.0	33
Connecticut	177,997,159	23	4.9	6.6	24	50,787	2	4.7	6.5	8
Delaware	33,271,963	44	6.6	6.6	22	38,984	13	5.0	5.1	31
District of Columbia	33,355,583	45	6.8	6.9	18	57,358	1	6.3	7.0	5
Florida	663,260,710	4	9.1	7.5	11	36,665	21	6.7	5.6	21
Georgia	299,884,835	11	7.3	5.6	38	32,025	38	5.0	3.0	50
Hawaii	47,339,410	40	7.9	6.8	21	36,826	19	6.7	5.8	16
Idaho	43,917,216	42	6.0	8.7	5	29,948	44	3.4	5.9	14
Illinois	491,421,726	5	4.2	5.9	32	38,297	16	3.8	5.3	28
Indiana	203,457,453	16	3.9	5.1	44	32,226	37	3.2	4.3	40
Iowa	98,458,684	30	3.1	5.5	39	33,017	31	2.7	4.9	34
Kansas	96,034,329	31	6.1	7.0	17	34,744	23	5.7	6.4	9
Kentucky	125,000,728	26	5.9	5.7	36	29,719	47	5.1	4.8	35
Louisiana	134,504,614	28	-8.7	20.4	1	31,369	41	-8.9	26.6	1
Maine	42,199,321	41	2.8	3.9	47	31,931	40	2.5	3.6	47
Maryland	245,821,150	14	5.7	5.7	34	43,774	6	5.0	5.3	29
Massachusetts	297,754,674	12	5.1	6.2	31	46,255	4	5.2	6.1	11
Michigan	341,075,070	9	3.7	3.2	50	33,784	27	3.6	3.3	48
Minnesota	200,232,153	17	3.7	5.1	45	38,751	14	3.0	4.3	42
Mississippi	78,317,451	34	6.1	5.8	33	26,908	51	5.5	5.7	18

## Appendix B (cont'd)

### State Personal Income & Growth Rates, 2004-2006

(Dollars in Thousands)

	Personal Income		Percent Change			Per Capita Personal Income		Percent Change		
	2006	Rank	04-05	05-06	Rank	2006	Rank	04-05	05-06	Rank
	Missouri	191,601,916	20	4.6	5.3	41	32,793	32	3.8	4.5
Montana	29,175,827	46	5.8	6.8	20	30,886	42	4.9	5.7	19
Nebraska	60,801,061	36	4.0	5.3	40	34,383	26	3.4	4.7	36
Nevada	97,362,540	32	12.4	7.9	9	39,015	12	8.7	4.3	43
New Hampshire	52,141,774	38	3.8	6.5	26	39,655	8	3.1	5.8	15
New Jersey	404,192,118	7	4.2	7.2	15	46,328	3	3.9	6.9	6
New Mexico	58,101,012	37	8.5	7.6	10	29,725	46	7.0	6.0	13
New York	848,744,137	2	6.8	7.4	12	43,962	5	6.7	7.4	4
North Carolina	286,404,526	13	6.2	7.3	14	32,338	35	4.5	5.0	32
North Dakota	21,005,256	50	8.9	3.3	49	33,034	30	9.1	3.1	49
Ohio	381,260,142	8	3.9	4.4	46	33,217	29	3.8	4.3	41
Oklahoma	115,959,812	29	6.4	8.9	4	32,398	34	5.8	7.8	3
Oregon	123,059,010	27	4.4	7.3	13	33,252	28	3.0	5.5	23
Pennsylvania	456,429,169	6	4.3	5.7	35	36,689	20	4.1	5.4	27
Rhode Island	39,780,445	43	4.3	3.6	48	37,261	18	4.8	4.2	45
South Carolina	128,290,812	25	6.5	6.3	30	29,688	48	5.2	4.4	39
South Dakota	25,338,251	47	3.2	2.8	51	32,405	33	2.6	1.9	51
Tennessee	195,085,114	18	5.7	5.7	37	32,305	36	4.4	4.2	44
Texas	824,144,412	3	9.3	8.4	6	35,058	22	7.4	5.7	17
Utah	75,913,503	35	10.3	8.2	8	29,769	45	7.3	5.7	20
Vermont	21,601,346	49	2.9	6.3	29	34,623	24	2.6	6.0	12
Virginia	302,381,894	10	7.3	5.3	43	39,564	10	6.0	4.2	46
Washington	243,471,226	15	2.9	8.3	7	38,067	17	1.5	6.5	7
West Virginia	51,038,834	39	4.9	6.4	28	28,067	50	4.7	6.2	10
Wisconsin	191,566,836	19	4.1	5.3	42	34,476	25	3.6	4.7	37
Wyoming	20,892,944	51	6.5	10.5	2	40,569	7	5.8	9.2	2

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis

## Appendix C

### State Disposable Income & Growth Rates, 2004-2006

(Dollars in Thousands)

	Disposable Income		Percent Change			Per Capita Disposable Income		Percent Change		
	2006	Rank	04-05	05-06	Rank	2006	Rank	04-05	05-06	Rank
	United States	9,613,847,000		4.7	5.9 %		32,111		3.7	4.9 %
Far West	1,707,870,738	3	4.8	5.8	5	33,484	3	3.6	4.6	6
Great Lakes	1,422,034,494	4	3.2	4.3	8	30,730	6	2.9	4.0	8
Mideast	1,732,118,696	2	4.4	6.0	4	36,449	2	4.1	5.8	1
New England	538,517,629	7	3.4	5.1	6	37,738	1	3.3	5.0	4
Plains	614,710,746	6	3.3	4.7	7	30,825	5	2.8	4.0	7
Rocky Mountain	315,545,620	8	6.2	6.9	2	30,847	4	4.3	4.7	5
Southeast	2,208,337,055	1	5.1	6.6	3	29,466	8	3.6	5.3	2
Southwest	1,074,712,022	5	7.7	7.8	1	30,525	7	5.6	5.1	3
Alabama	127,686,495	24	4.5	6.0	22	27,764	42	3.8	4.9	23
Alaska	23,466,257	47	7.6	5.9	24	35,021	9	6.6	4.8	24
Arizona	173,912,516	19	7.9	8.1	4	28,204	41	4.2	4.3	33
Arkansas	72,079,342	34	5.0	6.4	16	25,643	49	3.9	5.1	18
California	1,232,317,356	1	4.9	5.3	32	33,801	14	4.0	4.4	31
Colorado	164,993,562	22	6.2	6.2	19	34,711	10	4.7	4.2	35
Connecticut	147,251,851	23	2.9	5.5	30	42,014	2	2.7	5.3	12
Delaware	28,821,824	44	5.3	5.9	25	33,770	15	3.7	4.4	30
District of Columbia	28,667,680	45	5.8	6.4	17	49,297	1	5.3	6.5	5
Florida	585,084,329	4	7.4	6.8	11	32,343	20	5.0	4.9	22
Georgia	264,473,119	10	6.5	4.9	39	28,244	40	4.2	2.3	49
Hawaii	41,620,942	40	6.7	6.1	20	32,377	19	5.5	5.1	17
Idaho	38,946,931	41	4.1	7.8	5	26,558	46	1.6	5.1	19
Illinois	431,111,307	5	3.1	5.1	34	33,597	17	2.7	4.6	28
Indiana	181,570,862	16	3.1	4.7	42	28,759	36	2.4	3.9	40
Iowa	88,411,089	30	2.2	4.9	38	28,244	40	4.2	2.3	49
Kansas	85,287,618	32	5.1	6.1	21	32,377	19	5.5	5.1	17
Kentucky	111,760,426	27	5.4	5.6	29	26,558	46	1.6	5.1	19
Louisiana	122,814,317	25	-9.6	21.1	1	33,597	17	2.7	4.6	28
Maine	37,471,077	42	1.9	3.3	47	28,759	36	2.4	3.9	40
Maryland	210,555,601	15	4.8	5.0	37	37,494	5	4.1	4.5	29
Massachusetts	253,090,115	12	4.1	5.3	33	39,317	4	4.1	5.2	14
Michigan	305,218,417	9	3.3	2.9	48	30,233	27	3.2	2.9	48
Minnesota	173,986,679	18	2.5	4.5	44	33,672	16	1.8	3.6	42
Mississippi	72,266,594	33	6.2	5.3	31	24,829	51	5.6	5.3	13

## Appendix C (cont'd)

### State Disposable Income & Growth Rates, 2004-2006

(Dollars in Thousands)

	Disposable Income		Percent Change			Per Capita Disposable Income		Percent Change		
	2006	Rank	04-05	05-06	Rank	2006	Rank	04-05	05-06	Rank
	Missouri	170,498,626	20	3.6	4.7	41	29,181	33	2.8	3.9
Montana	26,085,717	46	4.5	6.3	18	27,615	43	3.6	5.2	16
Nebraska	54,292,891	36	3.1	4.6	43	30,703	25	2.5	4.0	37
Nevada	85,292,228	31	11.1	7.0	9	34,178	11	7.4	3.4	44
New Hampshire	46,516,916	38	2.8	5.8	28	35,377	8	2.1	5.2	15
New Jersey	347,733,806	7	2.8	6.7	13	39,857	3	2.4	6.4	6
New Mexico	52,471,009	37	7.7	7.0	10	26,845	44	6.3	5.4	11
New York	715,076,244	3	5.6	6.5	15	37,039	6	5.5	6.6	4
North Carolina	252,355,496	13	5.1	6.6	14	28,494	38	3.4	4.4	32
North Dakota	19,084,759	50	8.4	2.4	50	30,014	28	8.6	2.2	50
Ohio	335,660,039	8	3.3	4.0	46	29,244	32	3.3	3.9	39
Oklahoma	103,807,980	29	5.2	8.4	3	29,003	34	4.6	7.3	3
Oregon	107,099,786	28	3.0	6.7	12	28,940	35	1.6	4.9	21
Pennsylvania	401,263,541	6	3.3	5.0	36	32,254	21	3.0	4.7	27
Rhode Island	34,993,026	43	3.8	2.8	49	32,777	18	4.3	3.4	45
South Carolina	114,584,779	26	5.1	5.8	27	26,517	47	3.8	4.0	38
South Dakota	23,149,084	48	2.2	2.0	51	29,605	30	1.6	1.1	51
Tennessee	178,257,590	17	5.0	5.1	35	29,519	31	3.8	3.6	43
Texas	744,520,517	2	8.0	7.7	6	31,671	22	6.1	5.0	20
Utah	67,028,818	35	8.7	7.3	8	26,285	48	5.7	4.8	26
Vermont	19,194,644	49	1.7	5.8	26	30,765	24	1.4	5.6	9
Virginia	260,808,727	11	6.1	4.3	45	34,124	12	4.8	3.3	46
Washington	218,074,169	14	1.9	7.6	7	34,096	13	0.5	5.8	7
West Virginia	46,165,841	39	3.9	6.0	23	25,387	50	3.7	5.7	8
Wisconsin	168,473,869	21	3.3	4.7	40	30,320	26	2.8	4.2	36
Wyoming	18,490,592	51	4.2	9.8	2	35,904	7	3.6	8.5	2

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis

## Appendix D

### Kansas County Personal Income, 2005

(Dollars in Thousands)

County	Salaries & Wages	Other Labor Income	Proprietors' Income		Dividends, Interest, & Rent	Transfer Payments	Adjust- ment for Residence	Less: Personal Contributions for Social Insurance	Total Personal Income
			Farm	Nonfarm					
Allen	\$156,817	\$44,900	(\$7,074)	\$36,898	\$58,508	\$81,852	(\$1,211)	\$26,235	\$344,457
Anderson	52,016	14,003	2,270	16,200	32,499	44,697	42,639	9,425	194,901
Atchison	198,872	52,059	4,929	28,205	70,888	83,994	(1,474)	32,472	405,001
Barber	49,263	13,393	(5,984)	20,741	27,561	32,176	1,387	8,950	129,586
Barton	379,836	90,163	6,400	123,413	173,426	156,000	(1,088)	63,883	864,267
Bourbon	184,077	45,589	(427)	24,475	66,424	89,816	(8,625)	30,185	371,144
Brown	137,249	39,429	3,028	22,000	48,733	60,755	(1,982)	21,571	287,640
Butler	481,720	141,433	3,212	162,108	252,678	269,209	662,788	81,786	1,891,362
Chase	19,870	5,901	11,081	18,267	13,722	15,872	23,206	4,073	103,847
Chautauqua	21,989	6,035	(4,987)	16,958	24,078	29,437	15,095	4,618	103,988
Cherokee	172,971	46,380	5,525	64,733	69,843	129,056	81,060	30,750	538,817
Cheyenne	22,489	5,497	880	5,343	15,615	17,875	1,104	3,729	65,075
Clark	24,751	6,401	(834)	7,225	14,528	12,561	3,234	3,531	64,336
Clay	77,107	20,434	3,832	37,707	56,244	46,628	40,951	13,768	269,136
Cloud	94,538	25,354	3,286	12,943	53,290	62,312	8,079	16,010	243,793
Coffey	159,217	46,713	2,820	34,768	42,647	50,223	(27,629)	25,033	283,726
Comanche	15,596	4,721	(2,604)	6,970	9,592	11,190	1,324	2,744	44,045
Cowley	429,278	117,043	3,205	55,874	144,272	210,043	55,342	69,890	945,168
Crawford	485,479	147,620	4,976	7,479	179,248	223,722	(16,029)	75,536	956,958
Decatur	22,896	5,848	2,512	6,588	27,337	19,227	2,776	3,931	83,254
Dickinson	184,180	50,645	7,164	15,538	93,247	96,881	90,152	31,143	506,665
Doniphan	74,707	21,805	2,646	8,640	24,964	40,120	19,456	11,805	180,532
Douglas	1,495,954	482,944	806	215,136	499,813	346,425	332,488	225,878	3,147,688
Edwards	29,657	7,123	15,975	7,102	14,998	19,208	5,089	4,705	94,448
Elk	15,770	5,029	(1,549)	5,933	12,600	21,713	12,920	2,977	69,438
Ellis	416,269	115,914	9,754	129,797	136,482	127,733	(3,647)	67,784	864,518
Ellsworth	62,012	20,377	2,512	13,501	41,171	35,004	1,330	10,233	165,674
Finney	508,371	123,297	14,837	84,678	117,215	137,421	(25,650)	76,287	883,882
Ford	465,165	117,302	35,060	62,049	125,753	124,167	(23,170)	70,608	835,719
Franklin	280,328	66,604	(2,137)	39,538	84,313	129,781	133,309	45,501	686,236
Geary	833,318	396,472	(3,897)	27,111	107,730	104,961	(548,203)	112,357	805,135
Gove	28,101	7,531	5,485	9,254	17,130	16,856	2,336	4,445	82,248
Graham	27,629	7,313	7,581	18,392	12,874	20,604	3,457	5,130	92,718
Grant	120,718	28,705	13,840	24,437	27,133	29,221	(12,901)	17,778	213,374
Gray	68,936	17,097	43,253	14,832	24,912	20,321	8,493	9,838	188,006



## Appendix D (cont'd)

### Kansas County Personal Income, 2005

(Dollars in Thousands)

County	Salaries & Wages	Other Labor Income	Proprietors' Income		Dividends, Interest, & Rent	Transfer Payments	Adjust- ment for Residence	Less: Personal Contributions for Social Insurance	Total Personal Income
			Farm	Nonfarm					
Greeley	\$17,155	\$3,792	\$4,308	\$2,805	\$8,151	\$6,557	(\$1,351)	\$2,217	\$39,198
Greenwood	46,005	12,391	3,095	19,628	33,836	47,324	28,663	8,756	182,186
Hamilton	26,305	6,833	24,030	6,690	10,186	12,672	(224)	3,696	82,796
Harper	60,674	17,381	2,889	21,991	32,015	36,759	13,580	10,646	174,644
Harvey	419,434	103,274	8,226	167,453	141,453	174,766	66,044	74,560	1,006,091
Haskell	48,776	11,468	46,799	11,013	19,859	14,701	6,133	6,615	152,133
Hodgeman	13,704	4,288	16,333	2,490	13,154	10,609	4,371	1,996	62,954
Jackson	126,039	31,311	(6,204)	46,304	47,379	60,677	101,918	21,554	385,870
Jefferson	102,659	27,761	1,058	11,138	65,168	83,328	230,485	17,178	504,419
Jewell	23,389	7,141	7,554	10,017	21,876	20,522	7,843	4,141	94,202
Johnson	13,554,926	2,875,634	7,269	2,768,998	4,543,445	1,682,322	1,030,280	2,144,727	24,318,147
Kearny	38,048	10,113	9,300	4,956	17,417	17,277	9,384	5,100	101,396
Kingman	71,466	17,883	(6,506)	23,721	40,668	44,000	42,030	12,469	220,793
Kiowa	32,608	8,699	973	7,132	19,645	22,712	3,857	5,274	90,352
Labette	247,933	75,833	1,475	40,724	80,286	170,720	5,895	40,894	581,973
Lane	20,894	5,102	9,141	4,650	14,648	11,481	(563)	3,056	62,296
Leavenworth	991,557	363,748	(4,382)	126,157	306,582	279,412	139,783	147,863	2,054,994
Lincoln	18,731	6,218	1,604	5,905	17,067	17,700	11,304	3,429	75,100
Linn	66,085	19,898	412	10,699	35,425	55,577	68,652	11,232	245,516
Logan	30,118	8,586	4,891	6,674	18,238	15,522	(405)	4,871	78,752
Lyon	494,373	146,855	3,879	30,862	139,934	158,443	(30,304)	76,114	867,927
McPherson	476,386	113,271	17,067	123,935	142,793	144,047	(4,684)	77,774	935,039
Marion	93,765	25,363	5,186	16,534	51,634	68,883	56,333	16,188	301,510
Marshall	148,481	42,070	28,934	22,969	70,467	57,329	(7,227)	27,206	335,818
Meade	42,497	10,316	13,373	7,965	23,411	21,699	12,342	5,912	125,691
Miami	242,715	64,290	(8,584)	23,905	110,501	140,445	427,451	38,529	962,193
Mitchell	92,425	24,271	8,364	9,492	37,904	36,102	(13,241)	14,392	180,924
Montgomery	458,528	121,651	1,541	63,235	139,598	224,954	(25,864)	77,153	906,491
Morris	41,666	12,075	1,430	10,185	29,573	31,935	36,482	7,828	155,519
Morton	42,674	11,606	(4,731)	7,031	15,759	16,614	31	6,062	82,922
Nemaha	119,553	30,492	27,500	11,057	91,496	49,799	1,753	18,990	312,661
Neosho	205,092	57,057	(3,074)	35,193	57,616	101,205	(10,067)	33,510	409,512
Ness	33,516	9,379	2,334	17,181	23,115	20,202	6,876	6,128	106,473
Norton	66,249	21,510	2,013	10,195	30,867	28,084	(5,417)	10,287	143,214
Osage	75,215	22,875	(4,106)	9,019	70,540	85,129	181,833	13,342	427,163

## Appendix D (cont'd)

### Kansas County Personal Income, 2005

(Dollars in Thousands)

County	Salaries & Wages	Other Labor Income	Proprietors' Income		Dividends, Interest, & Rent	Transfer Payments	Adjust- ment for Residence	Less: Personal Contributions for Social Insurance	Total Personal Income
			Farm	Nonfarm					
Osborne	\$31,896	\$8,609	\$3,390	\$14,056	\$25,082	\$24,995	\$322	\$6,013	\$102,336
Ottawa	33,790	9,274	542	8,712	27,782	27,945	47,488	5,838	149,695
Pawnee	88,737	38,484	8,389	11,581	29,381	33,983	(6,108)	13,025	191,422
Phillips	62,986	19,978	7,367	17,561	36,547	33,293	(2,113)	10,856	164,763
Pottawatomie	238,613	59,396	2,989	54,597	91,257	75,802	88,051	38,954	571,750
Pratt	122,649	30,971	5,331	30,754	54,448	55,400	(344)	19,964	279,245
Rawlins	21,440	6,417	4,236	13,584	17,414	17,785	1,723	4,089	78,509
Reno	835,209	211,184	14,537	80,119	334,469	342,187	30,857	133,302	1,715,260
Republic	44,627	12,708	3,926	10,377	26,729	30,881	2,419	7,555	124,114
Rice	88,954	23,857	4,272	11,793	42,485	52,930	20,163	14,295	230,159
Riley	842,173	332,225	1,094	52,247	274,390	188,128	386,949	121,726	1,955,480
Rooks	52,754	15,524	2,664	14,781	25,964	32,257	4,357	8,907	139,394
Rush	31,927	9,366	936	6,449	19,219	22,880	2,407	5,383	87,800
Russell	63,180	16,821	1,165	12,359	43,411	51,480	(1,973)	10,921	175,520
Saline	956,571	244,628	(1,812)	155,284	326,028	259,624	(130,798)	152,396	1,657,128
Scott	59,666	12,320	11,699	11,328	33,323	19,046	4,839	7,796	144,425
Sedgwick	9,671,124	2,387,833	(926)	1,960,625	2,594,289	2,185,802	(1,221,467)	1,544,902	16,032,378
Seward	356,709	87,231	16,387	72,179	63,627	84,637	(45,966)	54,542	580,261
Shawnee	3,522,536	956,762	1,325	270,999	943,480	958,384	(585,512)	543,520	5,524,455
Sheridan	23,459	6,113	15,966	14,048	19,375	13,652	1,759	4,049	90,322
Sherman	66,302	16,957	6,695	12,293	25,403	41,316	2,201	10,455	160,713
Smith	34,935	9,483	2,778	8,668	30,024	25,633	5,016	5,936	110,602
Stafford	35,671	10,270	7,239	11,810	23,397	29,356	9,733	5,815	121,662
Stanton	24,785	5,567	13,155	4,441	16,464	9,566	1,706	3,131	72,552
Stevens	63,145	16,006	25,683	12,113	29,650	22,300	720	9,152	160,465
Sumner	172,172	47,996	7,746	28,385	89,983	127,717	250,463	30,950	693,513
Thomas	104,375	26,908	23,188	13,230	35,715	38,468	(2,678)	15,764	223,443
Trego	26,408	7,752	(133)	7,310	14,770	18,370	3,418	4,623	73,272
Wabaunsee	35,382	10,534	261	14,245	27,401	32,452	81,025	6,852	194,448
Wallace	13,392	3,354	1,990	3,145	8,975	9,044	958	2,010	38,845
Washington	47,366	13,747	12,174	3,446	32,516	35,071	17,513	7,771	154,062
Wichita	28,472	6,724	21,035	7,858	11,022	10,548	(160)	4,011	81,488
Wilson	131,666	36,466	(4,276)	20,804	40,753	62,161	(6,367)	21,532	259,674
Woodson	17,693	5,603	4,835	9,989	12,642	23,454	8,450	3,847	78,818
Wyandotte	3,255,819	900,301	(549)	139,613	313,504	848,120	(1,372,962)	502,648	3,581,198
Total	\$47,593,373	\$12,284,877	\$636,069	\$8,203,526	\$14,984,086	\$12,731,232	\$866,472	\$7,526,814	\$89,772,821

## Appendix E

### Kansas County Personal Income, 2006

(Dollars in Thousands)

County	Salaries & Wages	Other Labor Income	Proprietors' Income		Dividends, Interest, & Rent	Transfer Payments	Adjust- ment for Residence	Less: Personal Contributions for Social Insurance	Total Personal Income
			Farm	Nonfarm					
Allen	\$166,864	\$47,412	(\$2,539)	\$39,590	\$63,432	\$88,713	(\$62)	\$27,874	\$375,537
Anderson	55,708	14,942	442	16,615	34,888	48,296	34,938	10,086	195,744
Atchison	213,161	55,226	1,208	28,884	77,449	90,860	(1,497)	34,622	430,670
Barber	53,107	14,257	(1,292)	22,686	29,431	34,730	1,194	9,710	144,404
Barton	410,442	95,965	1,354	137,692	191,001	169,338	1,549	69,160	938,181
Bourbon	196,446	48,279	(118)	24,588	71,467	96,714	(6,685)	32,115	398,576
Brown	149,452	42,747	530	22,859	52,858	65,846	120	23,307	311,106
Butler	520,936	154,620	517	168,888	277,544	294,345	529,054	88,247	1,857,658
Chase	21,877	6,422	1,887	19,619	14,938	17,166	18,777	4,451	96,234
Chautauqua	23,281	6,451	(1,794)	18,076	25,525	31,464	12,405	4,973	110,435
Cherokee	182,643	48,256	1,714	69,266	76,191	140,118	66,240	32,474	551,954
Cheyenne	23,655	5,703	204	5,339	16,485	19,098	910	3,920	67,475
Clark	26,388	6,812	(147)	7,638	15,730	13,296	2,704	3,750	68,671
Clay	83,565	22,128	607	39,329	62,359	50,350	34,320	14,858	277,800
Cloud	101,849	27,308	718	13,145	57,583	67,035	6,618	17,222	257,034
Coffey	175,805	51,241	543	38,115	46,007	54,962	(19,989)	27,601	319,083
Comanche	16,926	5,164	(508)	7,272	10,013	11,828	1,017	2,965	48,746
Cowley	451,839	122,957	557	58,131	158,817	227,036	45,519	73,468	991,389
Crawford	516,308	158,801	1,505	6,995	195,125	243,358	(13,090)	80,137	1,028,865
Decatur	24,165	6,040	738	6,649	29,910	20,423	2,356	4,153	86,127
Dickinson	197,274	54,146	1,350	15,509	101,391	104,575	73,333	33,208	514,370
Doniphan	80,244	23,359	331	8,622	26,852	43,031	17,506	12,584	187,360
Douglas	1,602,660	537,738	124	228,522	547,743	382,435	270,494	240,924	3,328,792
Edwards	31,884	7,523	2,850	7,204	15,716	20,508	4,087	5,033	84,739
Elk	17,085	5,467	(841)	6,077	13,535	23,337	10,596	3,221	72,035
Ellis	444,056	125,277	2,183	136,706	150,058	138,690	(8,405)	72,032	916,532
Ellsworth	65,521	22,229	461	14,022	46,389	37,774	784	10,784	176,396
Finney	532,334	127,829	2,200	85,517	128,446	151,609	(21,215)	79,326	927,393
Ford	494,627	123,616	10,840	63,143	138,398	135,394	(18,414)	74,618	872,986
Franklin	301,555	71,006	(662)	40,723	91,491	141,769	105,786	48,953	702,714
Geary	914,730	429,331	(1,199)	27,034	118,751	114,622	(406,139)	120,990	1,076,141
Gove	29,708	7,927	1,634	9,433	18,165	18,174	2,181	4,679	82,543
Graham	30,043	7,819	2,413	19,682	13,654	22,237	2,851	5,563	93,136
Grant	130,100	30,741	4,520	25,102	29,005	32,186	(9,785)	19,045	222,824
Gray	73,648	18,240	9,352	15,199	26,898	21,776	6,681	10,423	161,372

## Appendix E (cont'd)

### Kansas County Personal Income, 2006

(Dollars in Thousands)

County	Salaries & Wages	Other Labor Income	Proprietors' Income		Dividends, Interest, & Rent	Transfer Payments	Adjust- ment for Residence	Less: Personal Contributions for Social Insurance	Total Personal Income
			Farm	Nonfarm					
Greeley	\$18,008	\$3,947	\$1,268	\$2,910	\$8,779	\$6,944	(\$1,065)	\$2,304	\$38,488
Greenwood	49,989	13,403	399	20,657	36,668	50,272	23,342	9,520	185,210
Hamilton	28,120	7,374	4,654	7,115	10,665	13,556	(186)	3,931	67,367
Harper	66,014	18,765	866	23,407	34,700	39,282	10,786	11,529	182,290
Harvey	450,908	109,390	1,713	180,288	154,304	189,002	49,447	79,911	1,055,142
Haskell	53,068	12,412	8,131	11,558	21,496	15,962	4,800	7,143	120,284
Hodgeman	14,746	4,608	3,402	2,483	14,420	11,378	3,522	2,132	52,427
Jackson	136,175	33,862	(2,530)	48,155	51,110	66,658	82,620	23,281	392,769
Jefferson	112,187	30,022	161	11,224	71,158	91,618	184,581	18,706	482,244
Jewell	25,301	7,741	5,117	10,227	23,429	22,041	6,231	4,458	95,629
Johnson	14,658,737	3,051,535	1,154	2,900,777	4,991,801	1,855,986	813,278	2,325,654	25,947,614
Kearny	42,246	11,310	1,616	4,993	18,372	18,861	7,034	5,599	98,834
Kingman	78,022	19,243	(1,613)	24,953	44,323	47,237	33,810	13,561	232,414
Kiowa	34,921	9,355	183	7,589	21,247	24,763	3,226	5,657	95,626
Labette	262,672	81,449	284	41,769	87,081	188,320	1,956	43,171	620,360
Lane	22,340	5,406	3,076	4,693	15,848	12,156	(441)	3,256	59,822
Leavenworth	1,073,745	385,054	(1,731)	129,734	334,923	307,906	104,134	159,386	2,174,378
Lincoln	19,828	6,610	312	5,926	18,121	18,871	9,190	3,634	75,225
Linn	70,608	21,206	61	10,981	38,037	60,773	56,085	11,968	245,783
Logan	32,458	9,278	1,343	6,724	19,976	16,608	(380)	5,213	80,793
Lyon	523,115	156,764	1,158	31,982	153,301	172,923	(24,890)	80,393	933,961
McPherson	518,560	120,960	4,521	132,869	154,484	155,231	7,501	84,381	1,009,745
Marion	99,064	26,846	1,160	16,888	55,290	73,760	45,857	17,138	301,727
Marshall	160,749	44,618	6,991	23,536	76,248	61,407	(5,662)	29,169	338,717
Meade	45,416	11,048	3,409	8,274	25,212	23,252	10,022	6,285	120,349
Miami	259,698	69,143	(4,780)	23,971	121,758	154,669	359,804	40,964	943,299
Mitchell	98,597	26,138	1,889	9,612	41,115	38,470	(10,112)	15,260	190,450
Montgomery	491,236	128,602	406	67,964	152,652	243,258	(20,741)	82,745	980,633
Morris	43,682	12,607	281	10,429	31,985	34,486	30,434	8,200	155,704
Morton	46,162	12,743	(2,549)	7,318	16,896	17,971	35	6,525	92,051
Nemaha	129,241	32,530	9,651	11,165	102,243	53,419	1,479	20,426	319,303
Neosho	216,546	60,084	(1,077)	36,795	61,853	109,808	(8,378)	35,339	440,293
Ness	36,294	9,949	639	18,196	25,013	21,637	5,625	6,609	110,745
Norton	70,489	23,714	555	10,413	32,930	30,043	(4,056)	10,887	153,202
Osage	80,944	24,710	(1,346)	9,058	77,582	92,207	146,525	14,355	415,326

## Appendix E (cont'd)

### Kansas County Personal Income, 2006

(Dollars in Thousands)

County	Salaries & Wages	Other Labor Income	Proprietors' Income		Dividends, Interest, & Rent	Transfer Payments	Adjust- ment for Residence	Less: Personal Contributions for Social Insurance	Total Personal Income
			Farm	Nonfarm					
Osborne	\$34,063	\$9,031	\$1,138	\$14,672	\$26,942	\$26,444	\$411	\$6,440	\$106,260
Ottawa	36,307	9,943	84	9,049	29,638	30,051	38,239	6,267	147,043
Pawnee	94,908	45,798	2,286	11,747	31,643	36,259	(1,813)	13,819	207,008
Phillips	66,321	20,943	7,404	18,145	38,889	35,700	(1,732)	11,431	174,240
Pottawatomie	258,552	63,722	523	56,773	100,450	82,592	76,641	42,297	596,957
Pratt	132,471	33,232	989	32,356	59,327	60,194	(310)	21,390	296,869
Rawlins	22,654	6,840	1,425	14,533	18,595	19,046	1,372	4,346	80,118
Reno	881,905	221,830	3,293	81,310	364,343	371,769	26,641	140,888	1,810,202
Republic	46,412	13,167	799	10,415	28,339	32,999	2,148	7,858	126,423
Rice	95,626	25,502	735	11,936	46,273	56,684	15,895	15,297	237,354
Riley	911,687	387,782	188	53,246	300,402	205,933	332,704	130,953	2,060,986
Rooks	56,557	16,665	934	14,729	27,708	34,608	3,635	9,479	145,357
Rush	34,212	9,939	227	6,643	20,666	24,515	2,200	5,759	92,644
Russell	68,378	18,002	330	12,316	46,917	55,534	(1,073)	11,739	188,665
Saline	1,016,295	258,919	(543)	154,848	367,097	283,307	(103,270)	160,891	1,815,763
Scott	63,997	12,972	2,500	11,540	36,505	20,447	3,591	8,254	143,298
Sedgwick	10,361,119	2,521,816	(249)	2,087,854	2,925,975	2,399,677	(968,762)	1,660,802	17,666,628
Seward	380,533	91,356	4,569	74,660	69,624	92,643	(36,678)	57,836	618,870
Shawnee	3,739,566	1,023,134	274	269,708	1,031,568	1,050,349	(464,761)	574,451	6,075,388
Sheridan	24,874	6,444	6,114	14,894	20,872	14,710	1,436	4,294	85,051
Sherman	68,344	17,376	1,778	12,372	27,103	45,056	2,533	10,739	163,823
Smith	37,200	9,964	732	8,905	32,067	27,399	4,150	6,329	114,088
Stafford	37,767	10,829	1,112	12,080	24,967	31,587	8,219	6,139	120,422
Stanton	26,134	5,870	4,285	4,517	17,933	10,357	1,333	3,267	67,162
Stevens	67,232	17,057	7,132	12,496	31,760	24,029	576	9,687	150,595
Sumner	181,855	50,289	1,465	28,411	98,052	136,821	205,053	32,588	669,358
Thomas	111,785	28,743	10,149	13,149	38,203	41,547	(1,995)	16,754	224,827
Trego	28,799	8,478	(21)	7,396	15,715	19,478	2,673	5,049	77,469
Wabaunsee	38,691	11,737	38	14,743	29,810	35,428	65,054	7,621	187,881
Wallace	14,119	3,537	609	3,133	9,415	9,686	731	2,110	39,121
Washington	50,672	14,639	2,676	3,322	34,922	37,339	14,415	8,295	149,690
Wichita	30,617	7,235	4,634	8,196	11,718	11,230	(170)	4,309	69,151
Wilson	147,929	40,685	(5,639)	21,130	44,584	67,357	(9,569)	24,024	282,453
Woodson	19,407	6,202	864	11,247	13,450	25,034	6,723	4,236	78,691
Wyandotte	3,455,891	944,129	(332)	141,973	337,378	918,663	(1,086,237)	530,217	4,181,248
Total	\$51,072,655	\$13,109,211	\$152,960	\$8,592,945	\$16,477,116	\$13,888,304	\$812,158	\$8,071,020	\$96,034,329

## Appendix F

### Kansas Certified Population

Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Kansas</b>	<b>2,688,418</b>	<b>2,744,687</b>	<b>2,764,075</b>	<b>75,657</b>	<b>19,388</b>	<b>2.7 %</b>	<b>0.7 %</b>
<b>Allen County</b>	14,385	13,787	13,677	(708)	(110)	(5.2)	(0.8)
Bassett city	22	22	22	--	--	--	--
Elsmore city	73	68	68	(5)	--	(7.4)	--
Gas city	556	552	552	(4)	--	(0.7)	--
Humboldt city	1,999	1,921	1,906	(93)	(15)	(4.9)	(0.8)
Iola city	6,302	6,008	5,966	(336)	(42)	(5.6)	(0.7)
La Harpe city	706	673	665	(41)	(8)	(6.2)	(1.2)
Mildred city	36	36	36	--	--	--	--
Moran city	562	541	535	(27)	(6)	(5.0)	(1.1)
Savonburg city	91	90	89	(2)	(1)	(2.2)	(1.1)
Bal. of Allen County	4,038	3,876	3,838	(200)	(38)	(5.2)	(1.0)
Carlyle township	276	266	263	(13)	(3)	(4.9)	(1.1)
Cottage Grove township	282	270	267	(15)	(3)	(5.6)	(1.1)
Deer Creek township	142	138	137	(5)	(1)	(3.6)	(0.7)
Bal. of Elm township	703	673	667	(36)	(6)	(5.4)	(0.9)
Bal. of Elsmore township	296	286	283	(13)	(3)	(4.6)	(1.1)
Geneva township	172	167	166	(6)	(1)	(3.6)	(0.6)
Humboldt township	273	253	251	(22)	(2)	(8.8)	(0.8)
Bal. of Iola township	821	789	780	(41)	(9)	(5.3)	(1.2)
Logan township	225	216	214	(11)	(2)	(5.1)	(0.9)
Bal. of Marmaton township	291	281	278	(13)	(3)	(4.7)	(1.1)
Bal. of Osage township	280	269	266	(14)	(3)	(5.3)	(1.1)
Salem township	277	268	266	(11)	(2)	(4.1)	(0.8)
<b>Anderson County</b>	8,110	8,182	8,051	(59)	(131)	(0.7)	(1.6)
Colony city	397	390	382	(15)	(8)	(3.9)	(2.1)
Garnett city	3,368	3,338	3,280	(88)	(58)	(2.7)	(1.8)
Greeley city	327	333	326	(1)	(7)	(0.3)	(2.1)
Kincaid city	178	181	177	(1)	(4)	(0.6)	(2.3)
Lone Elm city	27	27	27	--	--	--	--
Westphalia city	165	168	164	(1)	(4)	(0.6)	(2.4)
Bal. of Anderson County	3,595	3,745	3,695	100	(50)	2.7	(1.4)
Indian Creek township	132	138	137	5	(1)	3.6	(0.7)
Jackson township	453	463	457	4	(6)	0.9	(1.3)
Lincoln township	208	216	213	5	(3)	2.3	(1.4)
Bal. of Lone Elm township	212	219	216	4	(3)	1.9	(1.4)
Monroe township	349	345	340	(9)	(5)	(2.6)	(1.5)
North Rich township	112	116	114	2	(2)	1.8	(1.8)
Bal. of Ozark township	168	174	172	4	(2)	2.3	(1.2)
Putnam township	284	291	288	4	(3)	1.4	(1.0)
Reeder township	427	441	436	9	(5)	2.1	(1.1)
Bal. of Rich township	168	173	171	3	(2)	1.8	(1.2)
Bal. of Walker township	341	350	345	4	(5)	1.2	(1.4)
Washington township	268	276	271	3	(5)	1.1	(1.8)
Welda township	301	309	304	3	(5)	1.0	(1.6)
Bal. of Westphalia township	225	234	231	6	(3)	2.6	(1.3)
<b>Atchison County</b>	16,774	16,804	16,745	(29)	(59)	(0.2)	(0.4)
Atchison city	10,232	10,169	10,154	(78)	(15)	(0.8)	(0.1)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Atchison County (cont'd)</b>							
Effingham city	588	582	580	(8)	(2)	(1.4)	(0.3)
Huron city	87	88	88	1	--	1.1	--
Lancaster city	291	297	295	4	(2)	1.4	(0.7)
Muscotah city	200	203	202	2	(1)	1.0	(0.5)
Bal. of Atchison County	5,376	5,465	5,426	50	(39)	0.9	(0.7)
Bal. of Benton township	488	496	493	5	(3)	1.0	(0.6)
Center township	676	690	685	9	(5)	1.3	(0.7)
Bal. of Grasshopper township	388	394	391	3	(3)	0.8	(0.8)
Kapioma township	271	276	274	3	(2)	1.1	(0.7)
Bal. of Lancaster township	544	554	551	7	(3)	1.3	(0.5)
Mount Pleasant township	829	846	841	12	(5)	1.4	(0.6)
Shannon township	1,753	1,774	1,758	5	(16)	0.3	(0.9)
Walnut township	427	435	433	6	(2)	1.4	(0.5)
<b>Barber County</b>							
Hardtner city	5,307	4,958	4,974	(333)	16	(6.7)	0.3
Hazelton city	199	189	190	(9)	1	(4.7)	0.5
Isabel city	144	137	137	(7)	--	(5.1)	--
Kiowa city	108	103	103	(5)	--	(4.9)	--
Kiowa city	1,055	965	967	(88)	2	(9.1)	0.2
Medicine Lodge city	2,193	2,028	2,036	(157)	8	(7.7)	0.4
Sharon city	210	200	201	(9)	1	(4.5)	0.5
Sun City city	81	77	77	(4)	--	(5.2)	--
Bal. of Barber County	1,317	1,259	1,263	(54)	4	(4.3)	0.3
Aetna township	3	3	3	--	--	--	--
Deerhead township	11	10	10	(1)	--	(10.0)	--
Eagle township	42	40	40	(2)	--	(5.0)	--
Elm Mills township	106	102	102	(4)	--	(3.9)	--
Bal. of Elwood township	76	73	73	(3)	--	(4.1)	--
Bal. of Hazelton township	69	66	66	(3)	--	(4.5)	--
Bal. of Kiowa township	109	104	105	(4)	1	(3.8)	1.0
Lake City township	83	79	79	(4)	--	(5.1)	--
McAdoo township	29	28	28	(1)	--	(3.6)	--
Bal. of Medicine Lodge township	380	364	366	(14)	2	(3.8)	0.5
Mingona township	57	54	54	(3)	--	(5.6)	--
Moore township	32	30	31	(1)	1	(3.2)	3.2
Nippawalla township	26	25	25	(1)	--	(4.0)	--
Bal. of Sharon township	159	156	156	(3)	--	(1.9)	--
Bal. of Sun City township	19	18	18	(1)	--	(5.6)	--
Turkey Creek township	37	35	35	(2)	--	(5.7)	--
Bal. of Valley township	75	72	72	(3)	--	(4.2)	--
<b>Barton County</b>							
Albert city	28,205	28,105	27,511	(694)	(594)	(2.5)	(2.2)
Clafflin city	181	183	173	(8)	(10)	(4.6)	(5.8)
Ellinwood city	705	688	648	(57)	(40)	(8.8)	(6.2)
Galatia city	2,164	2,119	2,006	(158)	(113)	(7.9)	(5.6)
Great Bend city	61	61	58	(3)	(3)	(5.2)	(5.2)
Hoisington city	15,345	15,440	15,537	192	97	1.2	0.6
Olmitz city	2,975	2,996	2,832	(143)	(164)	(5.0)	(5.8)
Pawnee Rock city	138	139	131	(7)	(8)	(5.3)	(6.1)
Susank city	356	346	326	(30)	(20)	(9.2)	(6.1)
Susank city	57	57	54	(3)	(3)	(5.6)	(5.6)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Barton County (cont'd)</b>							
Bal. of Barton County	6,223	6,076	5,746	(477)	(330)	(8.3)	(5.7)
Albion township	58	57	53	(5)	(4)	(9.4)	(7.5)
Beaver township	108	105	99	(9)	(6)	(9.1)	(6.1)
Buffalo township	490	477	450	(40)	(27)	(8.9)	(6.0)
Cheyenne township	238	231	218	(20)	(13)	(9.2)	(6.0)
Clarence township	125	122	115	(10)	(7)	(8.7)	(6.1)
Cleveland township	69	68	64	(5)	(4)	(7.8)	(6.3)
Comanche township	452	444	419	(33)	(25)	(7.9)	(6.0)
Eureka township	116	113	107	(9)	(6)	(8.4)	(5.6)
Bal. of Fairview township	68	66	63	(5)	(3)	(7.9)	(4.8)
Grant township	79	77	73	(6)	(4)	(8.2)	(5.5)
Great Bend township	1,839	1,801	1,712	(127)	(89)	(7.4)	(5.2)
Bal. of Independent township	139	136	128	(11)	(8)	(8.6)	(6.3)
Lakin township	299	290	274	(25)	(16)	(9.1)	(5.8)
Liberty township	321	314	296	(25)	(18)	(8.4)	(6.1)
Logan township	176	169	160	(16)	(9)	(10.0)	(5.6)
North Homestead township	133	131	124	(9)	(7)	(7.3)	(5.6)
Bal. of Pawnee Rock township	188	183	173	(15)	(10)	(8.7)	(5.8)
South Bend township	682	664	626	(56)	(38)	(8.9)	(6.1)
South Homestead township	343	336	317	(26)	(19)	(8.2)	(6.0)
Bal. of Union township	71	69	65	(6)	(4)	(9.2)	(6.2)
Bal. of Walnut township	155	151	142	(13)	(9)	(9.2)	(6.3)
Wheatland township	74	72	68	(6)	(4)	(8.8)	(5.9)
<b>Bourbon County</b>							
Bourbon County	15,379	14,997	14,950	(429)	(47)	(2.9)	(0.3)
Bronson city	346	341	339	(7)	(2)	(2.1)	(0.6)
Fort Scott city	8,297	7,990	7,976	(321)	(14)	(4.0)	(0.2)
Fulton city	184	181	180	(4)	(1)	(2.2)	(0.6)
Mapleton city	98	97	97	(1)	--	(1.0)	--
Redfield city	140	138	138	(2)	--	(1.4)	--
Uniontown city	288	278	278	(10)	--	(3.6)	--
Bal. of Bourbon County	6,026	5,972	5,942	(84)	(30)	(1.4)	(0.5)
Drywood township	394	392	390	(4)	(2)	(1.0)	(0.5)
Franklin township	312	311	309	(3)	(2)	(1.0)	(0.6)
Bal. of Freedom township	321	317	316	(5)	(1)	(1.6)	(0.3)
Bal. of Marion township	531	524	522	(9)	(2)	(1.7)	(0.4)
Bal. of Marmaton township	675	669	665	(10)	(4)	(1.5)	(0.6)
Mill Creek township	472	467	464	(8)	(3)	(1.7)	(0.6)
Osage township	394	389	387	(7)	(2)	(1.8)	(0.5)
Pawnee township	308	306	304	(4)	(2)	(1.3)	(0.7)
Scott township	2,326	2,306	2,296	(30)	(10)	(1.3)	(0.4)
Bal. of Timberhill township	158	157	156	(2)	(1)	(1.3)	(0.6)
Walnut township	135	134	133	(2)	(1)	(1.5)	(0.8)
<b>Brown County</b>							
Brown County	10,724	10,239	10,236	(488)	(3)	(4.8)	--
Everest city	314	304	303	(11)	(1)	(3.6)	(0.3)
Fairview city	271	259	258	(13)	(1)	(5.0)	(0.4)
Hamlin city	53	51	51	(2)	--	(3.9)	--
Hiawatha city	3,417	3,236	3,237	(180)	1	(5.6)	--
Horton city	1,967	1,843	1,840	(127)	(3)	(6.9)	(0.2)
Morrill city	277	255	254	(23)	(1)	(9.1)	(0.4)



**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Brown County (cont'd)</b>							
Powhattan city	91	86	86	(5)	--	(5.8)	--
Reserve city	100	97	97	(3)	--	(3.1)	--
Robinson city	216	199	198	(18)	(1)	(9.1)	(0.5)
Sabetha city (pt.)	7	25	30	23	5	76.7	16.7
Willis city	69	66	66	(3)	--	(4.5)	--
Bal. of Brown County	3,942	3,818	3,816	(126)	(2)	(3.3)	(0.1)
Bal. of Hamlin township	193	186	185	(8)	(1)	(4.3)	(0.5)
Hiawatha township	739	717	717	(22)	--	(3.1)	--
Irving township	311	301	301	(10)	--	(3.3)	--
Bal. of Mission township	576	557	557	(19)	--	(3.4)	--
Bal. of Morrill township	226	218	217	(9)	(1)	(4.1)	(0.5)
Bal. of Padonia township	257	248	248	(9)	--	(3.6)	--
Bal. of Powhattan township	783	762	763	(20)	1	(2.6)	0.1
Bal. of Robinson township	236	228	228	(8)	--	(3.5)	--
Bal. of Walnut township	394	382	381	(13)	(1)	(3.4)	(0.3)
Bal. of Washington township	227	219	219	(8)	--	(3.7)	--
<b>Butler County</b>							
Andover city	6,698	9,114	9,546	2,848	432	29.8	4.5
Augusta city	8,423	8,608	8,696	273	88	3.1	1.0
Benton city	827	817	819	(8)	2	(1.0)	0.2
Cassoday city	130	128	131	1	3	0.8	2.3
Douglass city	1,813	1,799	1,804	(9)	5	(0.5)	0.3
Elbing city	218	208	209	(9)	1	(4.3)	0.5
El Dorado city	12,057	12,659	12,718	661	59	5.2	0.5
Latham city	164	164	165	1	1	0.6	0.6
Leon city	645	648	650	5	2	0.8	0.3
Potwin city	457	438	439	(18)	1	(4.1)	0.2
Rose Hill city	3,432	3,896	3,949	517	53	13.1	1.3
Towanda city	1,338	1,355	1,367	29	12	2.1	0.9
Whitewater city	653	639	641	(12)	2	(1.9)	0.3
Bal. of Butler County	22,627	21,881	22,013	(614)	132	(2.8)	0.6
Augusta township	1,405	1,343	1,348	(57)	5	(4.2)	0.4
Bal. of Benton township	1,384	1,387	1,394	10	7	0.7	0.5
Bloomington township	544	554	558	14	4	2.5	0.7
Bal. of Bruno township	3,047	2,745	2,759	(288)	14	(10.4)	0.5
Chelsea township	190	227	232	42	5	18.1	2.2
Clay township	83	95	97	14	2	14.4	2.1
Clifford township	259	274	277	18	3	6.5	1.1
Bal. of Douglass township	493	503	506	13	3	2.6	0.6
El Dorado township	1,700	969	974	(726)	5	(74.5)	0.5
Bal. of Fairmount township	293	306	309	16	3	5.2	1.0
Fairview township	491	500	503	12	3	2.4	0.6
Glencoe township	239	260	263	24	3	9.1	1.1
Hickory township	90	109	112	22	3	19.6	2.7
Lincoln township	317	358	364	47	6	12.9	1.6
Bal. of Little Walnut township	357	369	372	15	3	4.0	0.8
Logan township	154	166	168	14	2	8.3	1.2
Bal. of Milton township	483	494	498	15	4	3.0	0.8
Murdock township	378	390	393	15	3	3.8	0.8
Bal. of Pleasant township	2,551	2,529	2,539	(12)	10	(0.5)	0.4

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Butler County (cont'd)</b>							
Bal. of Plum Grove township	204	216	218	14	2	6.4	0.9
Prospect township	2,033	2,055	2,061	28	6	1.4	0.3
Bal. of Richland township	1,064	1,076	1,082	18	6	1.7	0.6
Rock Creek township	299	311	314	15	3	4.8	1.0
Rosalia township	589	610	614	25	4	4.1	0.7
Spring township	1,566	1,567	1,574	8	7	0.5	0.4
Bal. of Sycamore township	203	227	231	28	4	12.1	1.7
Bal. of Towanda township	1,389	1,398	1,404	15	6	1.1	0.4
Bal. of Union township	62	75	78	16	3	20.5	3.8
Walnut township	760	768	771	11	3	1.4	0.4
<b>Chase County</b>							
Cedar Point city	53	55	55	2	--	3.6	--
Cottonwood Falls city	966	959	955	(11)	(4)	(1.2)	(0.4)
Elmdale city	50	52	51	1	(1)	2.0	(2.0)
Matfield Green city	60	62	62	2	--	3.2	--
Strong City city	584	583	580	(4)	(3)	(0.7)	(0.5)
Bal. of Chase County	1,317	1,370	1,367	50	(3)	3.7	(0.2)
Bazaar township	81	84	84	3	--	3.6	--
Cedar township	116	120	120	4	--	3.3	--
Bal. of Cottonwood township	131	136	135	4	(1)	3.0	(0.7)
Bal. of Diamond Creek township	187	194	194	7	--	3.6	--
Bal. of Falls township	197	205	204	7	(1)	3.4	(0.5)
Homestead township	52	54	54	2	--	3.7	--
Bal. of Matfield township	95	99	99	4	--	4.0	--
Bal. of Strong township	156	163	162	6	(1)	3.7	(0.6)
Toledo township	302	315	315	13	--	4.1	--
<b>Chautauqua County</b>							
Cedar Vale city	723	669	646	(77)	(23)	(11.9)	(3.6)
Chautauqua city	113	107	102	(11)	(5)	(10.8)	(4.9)
Elgin city	82	78	75	(7)	(3)	(9.3)	(4.0)
Niotaze city	122	116	111	(11)	(5)	(9.9)	(4.5)
Peru city	183	173	166	(17)	(7)	(10.2)	(4.2)
Sedan city	1,342	1,269	1,222	(120)	(47)	(9.8)	(3.8)
Bal. of Chautauqua County	1,794	1,697	1,631	(163)	(66)	(10.0)	(4.0)
Bal. of Belleville township	379	358	345	(34)	(13)	(9.9)	(3.8)
Caneyville township	88	83	80	(8)	(3)	(10.0)	(3.8)
Center township	75	71	68	(7)	(3)	(10.3)	(4.4)
Bal. of Harrison township	114	107	103	(11)	(4)	(10.7)	(3.9)
Bal. of Hendricks township	97	92	88	(9)	(4)	(10.2)	(4.5)
Bal. of Jefferson township	111	105	101	(10)	(4)	(9.9)	(4.0)
Lafayette township	65	62	59	(6)	(3)	(10.2)	(5.1)
Bal. of Little Caney township	231	219	211	(20)	(8)	(9.5)	(3.8)
Salt Creek township	123	117	112	(11)	(5)	(9.8)	(4.5)
Bal. of Sedan township	318	301	289	(29)	(12)	(10.0)	(4.2)
Summit township	106	100	96	(10)	(4)	(10.4)	(4.2)
Washington township	87	82	79	(8)	(3)	(10.1)	(3.8)
<b>Cherokee County</b>							
Baxter Springs city	4,602	4,246	4,221	(381)	(25)	(9.0)	(0.6)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Cherokee County (cont'd)</b>							
Columbus city	3,396	3,259	3,240	(156)	(19)	(4.8)	(0.6)
Galena city	3,287	3,163	3,171	(116)	8	(3.7)	0.3
Roseland city	101	97	96	(5)	(1)	(5.2)	(1.0)
Scammon city	496	475	472	(24)	(3)	(5.1)	(0.6)
Treece city	149	144	143	(6)	(1)	(4.2)	(0.7)
Weir city	780	752	747	(33)	(5)	(4.4)	(0.7)
West Mineral city	243	234	232	(11)	(2)	(4.7)	(0.9)
Bal. of Cherokee County	9,551	9,185	9,129	(422)	(56)	(4.6)	(0.6)
Cherokee township	336	317	316	(20)	(1)	(6.3)	(0.3)
Crawford township	646	618	615	(31)	(3)	(5.0)	(0.5)
Garden township	3,039	2,931	2,913	(126)	(18)	(4.3)	(0.6)
Lola township	382	368	365	(17)	(3)	(4.7)	(0.8)
Lowell township	672	647	643	(29)	(4)	(4.5)	(0.6)
Bal. of Lyon township	379	364	362	(17)	(2)	(4.7)	(0.6)
Mineral township	254	242	241	(13)	(1)	(5.4)	(0.4)
Neosho township	306	295	293	(13)	(2)	(4.4)	(0.7)
Pleasant View township	658	634	629	(29)	(5)	(4.6)	(0.8)
Bal. of Ross township	549	527	523	(26)	(4)	(5.0)	(0.8)
Salamanca township	569	546	543	(26)	(3)	(4.8)	(0.6)
Shawnee township	505	486	483	(22)	(3)	(4.6)	(0.6)
Sheridan township	249	239	238	(11)	(1)	(4.6)	(0.4)
Spring Valley township	1,007	971	965	(42)	(6)	(4.4)	(0.6)
<b>Cheyenne County</b>							
Bird City city	3,165	2,946	2,911	(254)	(35)	(8.7)	(1.2)
St. Francis city	482	438	432	(50)	(6)	(11.6)	(1.4)
St. Francis city	1,497	1,376	1,360	(137)	(16)	(10.1)	(1.2)
Bal. of Cheyenne County	1,186	1,132	1,119	(67)	(13)	(6.0)	(1.2)
Benkelman township	57	54	54	(3)	--	(5.6)	--
Bal. of Bird City township	289	275	272	(17)	(3)	(6.3)	(1.1)
Calhoun township	57	54	54	(3)	--	(5.6)	--
Cleveland Run township	67	64	63	(4)	(1)	(6.3)	(1.6)
Jaqua township	46	44	44	(2)	--	(4.5)	--
Orlando township	63	60	59	(4)	(1)	(6.8)	(1.7)
Bal. of Wano township	607	581	573	(34)	(8)	(5.9)	(1.4)
<b>Clark County</b>							
Ashland city	2,390	2,283	2,206	(184)	(77)	(8.3)	(3.5)
Englewood city	975	943	911	(64)	(32)	(7.0)	(3.5)
Englewood city	109	104	100	(9)	(4)	(9.0)	(4.0)
Minneola city	717	681	660	(57)	(21)	(8.6)	(3.2)
Bal. of Clark County	589	555	535	(54)	(20)	(10.1)	(3.7)
Bal. of Appleton township	204	196	189	(15)	(7)	(7.9)	(3.7)
Bal. of Center township	122	107	103	(19)	(4)	(18.4)	(3.9)
Bal. of Englewood township	62	59	57	(5)	(2)	(8.8)	(3.5)
Lexington township	83	80	77	(6)	(3)	(7.8)	(3.9)
Liberty township	32	31	30	(2)	(1)	(6.7)	(3.3)
Sitka township	86	82	79	(7)	(3)	(8.9)	(3.8)
<b>Clay County</b>							
Clay Center city	8,822	8,629	8,625	(197)	(4)	(2.3)	--
Clay Center city	4,564	4,378	4,339	(225)	(39)	(5.2)	(0.9)
Clifton city (pt.)	234	220	218	(16)	(2)	(7.3)	(0.9)
Green city	147	140	139	(8)	(1)	(5.8)	(0.7)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Clay County (cont'd)</b>							
Longford city	94	89	89	(5)	--	(5.6)	--
Morganville city	198	196	194	(4)	(2)	(2.1)	(1.0)
Oak Hill city	35	35	34	(1)	(1)	(2.9)	(2.9)
Vining city (pt.)	37	36	36	(1)	--	(2.8)	--
Wakefield city	838	874	883	45	9	5.1	1.0
Bal. of Clay County *	2,675	2,661	2,693	18	32	0.7	1.2
Athelstane township	144	145	--	--	--	--	--
Blaine township	259	259	--	--	--	--	--
Bloom township	125	129	--	--	--	--	--
Bal. of Chapman township	108	109	--	--	--	--	--
Clay Center township	368	364	--	--	--	--	--
Exeter township	81	83	--	--	--	--	--
Five Creeks township	159	160	--	--	--	--	--
Garfield township	107	109	--	--	--	--	--
Gill township	140	141	--	--	--	--	--
Goshen township	92	95	--	--	--	--	--
Grant township	132	133	--	--	--	--	--
Hayes township	206	206	--	--	--	--	--
Bal. of Highland township	163	162	--	--	--	--	--
Bal. of Mulberry township	60	61	--	--	--	--	--
Bal. of Oakland township	75	77	--	--	--	--	--
Bal. of Republican township	186	156	--	--	--	--	--
Bal. of Sherman township	130	132	--	--	--	--	--
Union township	140	140	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Cloud County</b>	10,268	9,759	9,594	(674)	(165)	(7.0)	(1.7)
Aurora city	79	77	76	(3)	(1)	(3.9)	(1.3)
Clyde city	740	709	696	(44)	(13)	(6.3)	(1.9)
Concordia city	5,714	5,371	5,281	(433)	(90)	(8.2)	(1.7)
Glasco city	536	504	496	(40)	(8)	(8.1)	(1.6)
Jamestown city	399	389	383	(16)	(6)	(4.2)	(1.6)
Miltonvale city	523	486	478	(45)	(8)	(9.4)	(1.7)
Simpson city (pt.)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Bal. of Cloud County	2,277	2,223	2,184	(93)	(39)	(4.3)	(1.8)
Arion township	105	102	100	(5)	(2)	(5.0)	(2.0)
Bal. of Aurora township	90	87	86	(4)	(1)	(4.7)	(1.2)
Buffalo township	119	115	113	(6)	(2)	(5.3)	(1.8)
Center township	172	169	166	(6)	(3)	(3.6)	(1.8)
Colfax township	49	48	47	(2)	(1)	(4.3)	(2.1)
Bal. of Elk township	105	102	101	(4)	(1)	(4.0)	(1.0)
Bal. of Grant township	80	78	77	(3)	(1)	(3.9)	(1.3)
Lawrence township	146	142	140	(6)	(2)	(4.3)	(1.4)
Lincoln township	378	370	364	(14)	(6)	(3.8)	(1.6)
Lyon township	103	101	99	(4)	(2)	(4.0)	(2.0)
Meredith township	77	76	74	(3)	(2)	(4.1)	(2.7)
Nelson township	137	134	132	(5)	(2)	(3.8)	(1.5)
Oakland township	52	51	50	(2)	(1)	(4.0)	(2.0)
Shirley township	178	173	170	(8)	(3)	(4.7)	(1.8)
Sibley township	178	174	171	(7)	(3)	(4.1)	(1.8)
Bal. of Solomon township	128	125	122	(6)	(3)	(4.9)	(2.5)
Bal. of Starr township	130	127	124	(6)	(3)	(4.8)	(2.4)

\* Beginning in 2006, U.S. Census Bureau township detail for Clay County is consolidated in the row labeled "Bal. of Clay County."

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Cloud County (cont'd)</b>							
Summit township	50	49	48	(2)	(1)	(4.2)	(2.1)
<b>Coffey County</b>							
Burlington city	8,865	8,683	8,701	(164)	18	(1.9)	0.2
Gridley city	2,790	2,707	2,721	(69)	14	(2.5)	0.5
Lebo city	372	365	364	(8)	(1)	(2.2)	(0.3)
LeRoy city	961	950	949	(12)	(1)	(1.3)	(0.1)
New Strawn city	593	579	578	(15)	(1)	(2.6)	(0.2)
Waverly city	425	407	407	(18)	--	(4.4)	--
Bal. of Coffey County	589	556	558	(31)	2	(5.6)	0.4
Avon township	3,135	3,119	3,124	(11)	5	(0.4)	0.2
Burlington township	183	181	181	(2)	--	(1.1)	--
Hampden township	300	294	295	(5)	1	(1.7)	0.3
Key West township	114	114	114	--	--	--	--
Bal. of LeRoy township	237	236	237	--	1	--	0.4
Bal. of Liberty township	76	76	76	--	--	--	--
Bal. of Lincoln township	262	262	263	1	1	0.4	0.4
Neosho township	307	301	302	(5)	1	(1.7)	0.3
Bal. of Ottumwa township	140	142	143	3	1	2.1	0.7
Pleasant township	315	313	313	(2)	--	(0.6)	--
Pottawatomie township	272	271	272	--	1	--	0.4
Bal. of Rock Creek township	217	218	218	1	--	0.5	--
Spring Creek township	436	433	432	(4)	(1)	(0.9)	(0.2)
Star township	118	120	120	2	--	1.7	--
	158	158	158	--	--	--	--
<b>Comanche County</b>							
Coldwater city	1,967	1,935	1,884	(83)	(51)	(4.4)	(2.7)
Protection city	792	774	754	(38)	(20)	(5.0)	(2.7)
Wilmore city	558	541	527	(31)	(14)	(5.9)	(2.7)
Bal. of Comanche County	57	58	56	(1)	(2)	(1.8)	(3.6)
Avilla township	560	562	547	(13)	(15)	(2.4)	(2.7)
Bal. of Coldwater township	58	59	57	(1)	(2)	(1.8)	(3.5)
Bal. of Powell township	294	291	284	(10)	(7)	(3.5)	(2.5)
Bal. of Protection township	32	33	32	--	(1)	--	(3.1)
	176	179	174	(2)	(5)	(1.1)	(2.9)
<b>Cowley County</b>							
Arkansas City city	36,291	35,298	34,931	(1,360)	(367)	(3.9)	(1.1)
Atlanta city	11,963	11,581	11,416	(547)	(165)	(4.8)	(1.4)
Burden city	255	250	247	(8)	(3)	(3.2)	(1.2)
Cambridge city	564	553	546	(18)	(7)	(3.3)	(1.3)
Dexter city	103	101	99	(4)	(2)	(4.0)	(2.0)
Geuda Springs city (pt.)	364	350	346	(18)	(4)	(5.2)	(1.2)
Parkerfield city	13	11	11	(2)	--	(18.2)	--
Udall city		342	349	349	7	100.0	2.0
Winfield city	794	766	763	(31)	(3)	(4.1)	(0.4)
Bal. of Cowley County	12,206	11,861	11,741	(465)	(120)	(4.0)	(1.0)
Beaver township	10,029	9,483	9,413	(616)	(70)	(6.5)	(0.7)
Bal. of Bolton township	244	241	240	(4)	(1)	(1.7)	(0.4)
Cedar township	1,741	1,694	1,670	(71)	(24)	(4.3)	(1.4)
Bal. of Creswell township	44	51	52	8	1	15.4	1.9
Bal. of Dexter township	2,098	1,629	1,623	(475)	(6)	(29.3)	(0.4)
	142	150	151	9	1	6.0	0.7

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Cowley County (cont'd)</b>							
Fairview township	203	201	200	(3)	(1)	(1.5)	(0.5)
Grant township	76	82	83	7	1	8.4	1.2
Harvey township	117	125	126	9	1	7.1	0.8
Liberty township	218	222	221	3	(1)	1.4	(0.5)
Bal. of Maple township	645	630	623	(22)	(7)	(3.5)	(1.1)
Bal. of Ninnescah township	377	370	366	(11)	(4)	(3.0)	(1.1)
Bal. of Omnia township	102	105	105	3	--	2.9	--
Otter township	54	61	62	8	1	12.9	1.6
Pleasant Valley township	838	817	808	(30)	(9)	(3.7)	(1.1)
Richland township	178	180	179	1	(1)	0.6	(0.6)
Rock Creek township	243	241	239	(4)	(2)	(1.7)	(0.8)
Salem township	364	356	353	(11)	(3)	(3.1)	(0.8)
Sheridan township	159	160	159	--	(1)	--	(0.6)
Bal. of Silver Creek township	206	206	205	(1)	(1)	(0.5)	(0.5)
Silverdale township	327	323	321	(6)	(2)	(1.9)	(0.6)
Spring Creek township	77	83	83	6	--	7.2	--
Tisdale township	340	334	331	(9)	(3)	(2.7)	(0.9)
Vernon township	502	489	485	(17)	(4)	(3.5)	(0.8)
Walnut township	626	612	605	(21)	(7)	(3.5)	(1.2)
Bal. of Windsor township	108	121	123	15	2	12.2	1.6
<b>Crawford County</b>							
Arcadia city	38,242	38,222	38,059	(183)	(163)	(0.5)	(0.4)
Arma city	391	387	383	(8)	(4)	(2.1)	(1.0)
Arma city	1,529	1,495	1,490	(39)	(5)	(2.6)	(0.3)
Cherokee city	722	718	712	(10)	(6)	(1.4)	(0.8)
Frontenac city	2,996	3,101	3,118	122	17	3.9	0.5
Girard city	2,773	2,686	2,660	(113)	(26)	(4.2)	(1.0)
Hepler city	154	153	151	(3)	(2)	(2.0)	(1.3)
McCune city	426	424	421	(5)	(3)	(1.2)	(0.7)
Mulberry city	577	574	567	(10)	(7)	(1.8)	(1.2)
Pittsburg city	19,243	19,214	19,120	(123)	(94)	(0.6)	(0.5)
Walnut city	221	220	218	(3)	(2)	(1.4)	(0.9)
Bal. of Crawford County	9,210	9,250	9,219	9	(31)	0.1	(0.3)
Baker township	3,640	3,582	3,556	(84)	(26)	(2.4)	(0.7)
Crawford township	883	893	892	9	(1)	1.0	(0.1)
Grant township	247	266	268	21	2	7.8	0.7
Bal. of Lincoln township	551	568	569	18	1	3.2	0.2
Bal. of Osage township	330	345	347	17	2	4.9	0.6
Bal. of Sheridan township	779	799	799	20	--	2.5	--
Sherman township	520	533	534	14	1	2.6	0.2
Bal. of Walnut township	249	272	275	26	3	9.5	1.1
Bal. of Washington township	2,011	1,992	1,979	(32)	(13)	(1.6)	(0.7)
<b>Decatur County</b>							
Clayton city (pt.)	3,472	3,191	3,120	(352)	(71)	(11.3)	(2.3)
Dresden city	1	1	1	--	--	--	--
Jennings city	51	48	47	(4)	(1)	(8.5)	(2.1)
Norcatour city	146	130	127	(19)	(3)	(15.0)	(2.4)
Norcatour city	169	158	155	(14)	(3)	(9.0)	(1.9)
Oberlin city	1,994	1,811	1,770	(224)	(41)	(12.7)	(2.3)
Bal. of Decatur County	1,111	1,043	1,020	(91)	(23)	(8.9)	(2.3)
Allison township	39	36	36	(3)	--	(8.3)	--

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Decatur County (cont'd)</b>							
Altory township	16	15	15	(1)	--	(6.7)	--
Bassettville township	26	25	24	(2)	(1)	(8.3)	(4.2)
Beaver township	86	80	79	(7)	(1)	(8.9)	(1.3)
Center township	60	57	56	(4)	(1)	(7.1)	(1.8)
Cook township	44	41	40	(4)	(1)	(10.0)	(2.5)
Custer township	27	25	25	(2)	--	(8.0)	--
Bal. of Dresden township	90	84	82	(8)	(2)	(9.8)	(2.4)
Finley township	39	37	36	(3)	(1)	(8.3)	(2.8)
Garfield township	41	38	37	(4)	(1)	(10.8)	(2.7)
Grant township	31	29	28	(3)	(1)	(10.7)	(3.6)
Harlan township	51	48	47	(4)	(1)	(8.5)	(2.1)
Bal. of Jennings township	34	32	31	(3)	(1)	(9.7)	(3.2)
Liberty township	48	45	44	(4)	(1)	(9.1)	(2.3)
Bal. of Lincoln township	34	32	31	(3)	(1)	(9.7)	(3.2)
Logan township	52	48	47	(5)	(1)	(10.6)	(2.1)
Lyon township	24	22	22	(2)	--	(9.1)	--
Oberlin township	91	87	84	(7)	(3)	(8.3)	(3.6)
Olive township	68	65	64	(4)	(1)	(6.3)	(1.6)
Bal. of Pleasant Valley township	38	36	35	(3)	(1)	(8.6)	(2.9)
Prairie Dog township	50	47	46	(4)	(1)	(8.7)	(2.2)
Roosevelt township	32	30	29	(3)	(1)	(10.3)	(3.4)
Sappa township	43	40	39	(4)	(1)	(10.3)	(2.6)
Sherman township	25	23	23	(2)	--	(8.7)	--
Summit township	22	21	20	(2)	(1)	(10.0)	(5.0)
<b>Dickinson County</b>							
Abilene city	19,344	19,209	19,322	(22)	113	(0.1)	0.6
Carlton city	6,543	6,409	6,444	(99)	35	(1.5)	0.5
Chapman city	38	38	38	--	--	--	--
Enterprise city	1,241	1,243	1,262	21	19	1.7	1.5
Herington city (pt.)	836	811	815	(21)	4	(2.6)	0.5
Hope city	2,563	2,467	2,474	(89)	7	(3.6)	0.3
Manchester city	372	367	368	(4)	1	(1.1)	0.3
Solomon city (pt.)	102	103	103	1	--	1.0	--
Woodbine city	1,071	1,055	1,067	(4)	12	(0.4)	1.1
Bal. of Dickinson County	207	207	208	1	1	0.5	0.5
Banner township	6,371	6,509	6,543	172	34	2.6	0.5
Buckeye township	148	155	157	9	2	5.7	1.3
Bal. of Center township	437	442	445	8	3	1.8	0.7
Cheever township	374	377	379	5	2	1.3	0.5
Bal. of Flora township	149	155	157	8	2	5.1	1.3
Fragrant Hill township	115	121	122	7	1	5.7	0.8
Garfield township	251	257	258	7	1	2.7	0.4
Grant township	189	196	197	8	1	4.1	0.5
Hayes township	918	925	916	(2)	(9)	(0.2)	(1.0)
Bal. of Holland township	233	239	241	8	2	3.3	0.8
Bal. of Hope township	69	75	77	8	2	10.4	2.6
Jefferson township	147	153	154	7	1	4.5	0.6
Bal. of Liberty township	166	172	174	8	2	4.6	1.1
Bal. of Lincoln township	198	205	207	9	2	4.3	1.0
Logan township	598	600	603	5	3	0.8	0.5
Logan township	202	208	209	7	1	3.3	0.5

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Dickinson County (cont'd)</b>							
Lyon township	252	257	259	7	2	2.7	0.8
Newbern township	349	354	357	8	3	2.2	0.8
Bal. of Noble township	489	496	498	9	2	1.8	0.4
Ridge township	160	166	167	7	1	4.2	0.6
Rinehart township	194	200	202	8	2	4.0	1.0
Sherman township	147	151	153	6	2	3.9	1.3
Union township	176	181	183	7	2	3.8	1.1
Wheatland township	152	159	161	9	2	5.6	1.2
Willowdale township	258	265	267	9	2	3.4	0.7
<b>Doniphan County</b>							
Denton city	186	178	179	(7)	1	(3.9)	0.6
Elwood city	1,145	1,153	1,154	9	1	0.8	0.1
Highland city	976	941	944	(32)	3	(3.4)	0.3
Leona city	88	85	85	(3)	--	(3.5)	--
Severance city	108	104	104	(4)	--	(3.8)	--
Troy city	1,054	1,017	1,024	(30)	7	(2.9)	0.7
Wathena city	1,348	1,290	1,306	(42)	16	(3.2)	1.2
White Cloud city	239	230	232	(7)	2	(3.0)	0.9
Bal. of Doniphan County	3,105	2,818	2,837	(268)	19	(9.4)	0.7
Burr Oak township	153	146	147	(6)	1	(4.1)	0.7
Bal. of Center township	689	634	637	(52)	3	(8.2)	0.5
Independence township	342	317	320	(22)	3	(6.9)	0.9
Bal. of Iowa township	479	444	448	(31)	4	(6.9)	0.9
Marion township	226	211	212	(14)	1	(6.6)	0.5
Bal. of Union township	174	162	163	(11)	1	(6.7)	0.6
Bal. of Washington township	573	467	470	(103)	3	(21.9)	0.6
Wayne township	226	211	212	(14)	1	(6.6)	0.5
Bal. of Wolf River township	243	226	228	(15)	2	(6.6)	0.9
<b>Douglas County</b>							
Baldwin City city	3,400	3,746	4,145	745	399	18.0	9.6
Eudora city	4,307	5,284	6,027	1,720	743	28.5	12.3
Lawrence city	80,098	81,816	88,605	8,507	6,789	9.6	7.7
Lecompton city	608	589	649	41	60	6.3	9.2
Bal. of Douglas County	11,549	11,479	12,697	1,148	1,218	9.0	9.6
Clinton township	531	546	607	76	61	12.5	10.0
Bal. of Eudora township	1,264	1,257	1,392	128	135	9.2	9.7
Grant township	442	421	466	24	45	5.2	9.7
Kanwaka township	1,317	1,310	1,449	132	139	9.1	9.6
Bal. of Lecompton township	1,153	1,147	1,267	114	120	9.0	9.5
Marion township	836	880	979	143	99	14.6	10.1
Bal. of Palmyra township	2,360	2,370	2,621	261	251	10.0	9.6
Wakarusa township	2,237	2,121	2,338	101	217	4.3	9.3
Willow Springs township	1,409	1,427	1,578	169	151	10.7	9.6
<b>Edwards County</b>							
Belpre city	104	101	96	(8)	(5)	(8.3)	(5.2)
Kinsley city	1,658	1,547	1,476	(82)	(71)	(12.3)	(4.8)
Lewis city	486	475	452	(34)	(23)	(7.5)	(5.1)
Offerle city	220	214	204	(16)	(10)	(7.8)	(4.9)



**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Edwards County (cont'd)</b>							
Bal. of Edwards County	981	955	910	(71)	(45)	(7.8)	(4.9)
Bal. of Belpre township	82	80	76	(6)	(4)	(7.9)	(5.3)
Franklin township	93	90	86	(7)	(4)	(8.1)	(4.7)
Jackson township	98	95	91	(7)	(4)	(7.7)	(4.4)
Kinsley township	160	156	148	(12)	(8)	(8.1)	(5.4)
Lincoln township	143	139	133	(10)	(6)	(7.5)	(4.5)
Logan township	42	41	39	(3)	(2)	(7.7)	(5.1)
North Brown township	67	65	62	(5)	(3)	(8.1)	(4.8)
South Brown township	90	88	84	(6)	(4)	(7.1)	(4.8)
Bal. of Trenton township	86	84	80	(6)	(4)	(7.5)	(5.0)
Bal. of Wayne township	120	117	111	(9)	(6)	(8.1)	(5.4)
<b>Elk County</b>							
Elk Falls city	112	106	106	(6)	--	(5.7)	--
Grenola city	231	217	217	(14)	--	(6.5)	--
Howard city	808	764	764	(44)	--	(5.8)	--
Longton city	394	371	371	(23)	--	(6.2)	--
Moline city	457	431	431	(26)	--	(6.0)	--
Bal. of Elk County	1,259	1,186	1,188	(71)	2	(6.0)	0.2
Bal. of Elk Falls township	84	79	79	(5)	--	(6.3)	--
Bal. of Greenfield township	90	85	85	(5)	--	(5.9)	--
Bal. of Howard township	198	186	187	(11)	1	(5.9)	0.5
Liberty township	117	110	110	(7)	--	(6.4)	--
Bal. of Longton township	136	129	129	(7)	--	(5.4)	--
Oak Valley township	154	146	146	(8)	--	(5.5)	--
Painterhood township	68	64	64	(4)	--	(6.3)	--
Paw Paw township	116	109	110	(6)	1	(5.5)	0.9
Union Center township	116	109	109	(7)	--	(6.4)	--
Bal. of Wildcat township	180	169	169	(11)	--	(6.5)	--
<b>Ellis County</b>							
Ellis city	1,873	1,812	1,858	(15)	46	(0.8)	2.5
Hays city	20,013	19,632	19,726	(287)	94	(1.5)	0.5
Schoenchen city	214	212	212	(2)	--	(0.9)	--
Victoria city	1,208	1,164	1,167	(41)	3	(3.5)	0.3
Bal. of Ellis County	4,199	3,947	3,963	(236)	16	(6.0)	0.4
Big Creek township	1,798	1,664	1,670	(128)	6	(7.7)	0.4
Buckeye township	285	273	274	(11)	1	(4.0)	0.4
Catherine township	318	302	303	(15)	1	(5.0)	0.3
Ellis township	386	367	369	(17)	2	(4.6)	0.5
Freedom township	125	118	119	(6)	1	(5.0)	0.8
Bal. of Herzog township	322	307	309	(13)	2	(4.2)	0.6
Bal. of Lookout township	355	337	339	(16)	2	(4.7)	0.6
Bal. of Victoria township	209	199	199	(10)	--	(5.0)	--
Wheatland township	401	380	381	(20)	1	(5.2)	0.3
<b>Ellsworth County</b>							
Ellsworth city	2,965	2,887	2,885	(80)	(2)	(2.8)	(0.1)
Holyrood city	464	452	451	(13)	(1)	(2.9)	(0.2)
Kanopolis city	543	516	514	(29)	(2)	(5.6)	(0.4)
Lorraine city	136	133	132	(4)	(1)	(3.0)	(0.8)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Ellsworth County (cont'd)</b>							
Wilson city	799	767	767	(32)	--	(4.2)	--
Bal. of Ellsworth County	1,618	1,588	1,583	(35)	(5)	(2.2)	(0.3)
Ash Creek township	58	57	57	(1)	--	(1.8)	--
Black Wolf township	87	86	85	(2)	(1)	(2.4)	(1.2)
Carneiro township	57	56	55	(2)	(1)	(3.6)	(1.8)
Clear Creek township	91	89	89	(2)	--	(2.2)	--
Columbia township	60	58	58	(2)	--	(3.4)	--
Bal. of Ellsworth township	254	250	250	(4)	--	(1.6)	--
Empire township	174	172	172	(2)	--	(1.2)	--
Garfield township	27	27	27	--	--	--	--
Bal. of Green Garden township	75	73	73	(2)	--	(2.7)	--
Langley township	76	76	76	--	--	--	--
Lincoln township	62	61	60	(2)	(1)	(3.3)	(1.7)
Mulberry township	44	43	43	(1)	--	(2.3)	--
Noble township	90	88	87	(3)	(1)	(3.4)	(1.1)
Palacky township	63	62	61	(2)	(1)	(3.3)	(1.6)
Sherman township	65	63	63	(2)	--	(3.2)	--
Thomas township	72	70	70	(2)	--	(2.9)	--
Trivoli township	55	54	54	(1)	--	(1.9)	--
Bal. of Valley township	113	110	110	(3)	--	(2.7)	--
Bal. of Wilson township	95	93	93	(2)	--	(2.2)	--
<b>Finney County</b>							
	40,523	38,988	39,097	(1,426)	109	(3.6)	0.3
Garden City city	28,451	27,098	27,175	(1,276)	77	(4.7)	0.3
Holcomb city	2,026	1,888	1,895	(131)	7	(6.9)	0.4
Bal. of Finney County	10,046	10,002	10,027	(19)	25	(0.2)	0.2
Garden City township	7,400	7,131	7,137	(263)	6	(3.7)	0.1
Garfield township	331	415	421	90	6	21.4	1.4
Ivanhoe township	666	685	687	21	2	3.1	0.3
Pierceville township	551	567	569	18	2	3.2	0.4
Pleasant Valley township	139	164	166	27	2	16.3	1.2
Bal. of Sherlock township	732	788	792	60	4	7.6	0.5
Terry township	227	252	255	28	3	11.0	1.2
<b>Ford County</b>							
	32,458	33,751	33,783	1,325	32	3.9	0.1
Bucklin city	725	734	735	10	1	1.4	0.1
Dodge City city	25,176	26,104	26,101	925	(3)	3.5	--
Ford city	314	330	330	16	--	4.8	--
Spearville city	813	858	872	59	14	6.8	1.6
Bal. of Ford County	5,430	5,725	5,745	315	20	5.5	0.3
Bloom township	113	121	122	9	1	7.4	0.8
Bal. of Bucklin township	175	190	191	16	1	8.4	0.5
Concord township	117	129	130	13	1	10.0	0.8
Dodge township	899	933	934	35	1	3.7	0.1
Enterprise township	1,113	1,166	1,167	54	1	4.6	0.1
Fairview township	346	364	366	20	2	5.5	0.5
Bal. of Ford township	142	157	159	17	2	10.7	1.3
Grandview township	784	812	815	31	3	3.8	0.4
Richland township	931	974	974	43	--	4.4	--
Royal township	105	116	118	13	2	11.0	1.7
Sodville township	110	117	118	8	1	6.8	0.8

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Ford County (cont'd)</b>							
Bal. of Spearville township	337	367	370	33	3	8.9	0.8
Wheatland township	170	181	182	12	1	6.6	0.5
Wilburn township	88	98	99	11	1	11.1	1.0
<b>Franklin County</b>							
Lane city	256	259	257	1	(2)	0.4	(0.8)
Ottawa city	11,921	12,597	12,792	871	195	6.8	1.5
Pomona city	923	942	936	13	(6)	1.4	(0.6)
Princeton city	317	327	325	8	(2)	2.5	(0.6)
Rantoul city	241	245	244	3	(1)	1.2	(0.4)
Richmond city	510	514	512	2	(2)	0.4	(0.4)
Wellsville city	1,606	1,631	1,685	79	54	4.7	3.2
Williamsburg city	351	359	359	8	--	2.2	--
Bal. of Franklin County	8,659	9,373	9,403	744	30	7.9	0.3
Appanoose township	293	326	329	36	3	10.9	0.9
Centropolis township	997	1,057	1,060	63	3	5.9	0.3
Bal. of Cutler township	615	669	672	57	3	8.5	0.4
Bal. of Franklin township	946	1,039	1,045	99	6	9.5	0.6
Greenwood township	429	464	466	37	2	7.9	0.4
Harrison township	445	477	473	28	(4)	5.9	(0.8)
Hayes township	397	433	436	39	3	8.9	0.7
Homewood township	493	531	532	39	1	7.3	0.2
Lincoln township	797	842	842	45	--	5.3	--
Bal. of Ohio township	466	514	518	52	4	10.0	0.8
Ottawa township	868	919	913	45	(6)	4.9	(0.7)
Peoria township	626	675	676	50	1	7.4	0.1
Bal. of Pomona township	251	275	277	26	2	9.4	0.7
Bal. of Pottawatomie township	413	453	456	43	3	9.4	0.7
Bal. of Richmond township	302	339	342	40	3	11.7	0.9
Bal. of Williamsburg township	321	360	366	45	6	12.3	1.6
<b>Geary County</b>							
Grandview Plaza city	1,184	1,039	1,017	(167)	(22)	(16.4)	(2.2)
Junction City city	18,886	16,402	16,106	(2,780)	(296)	(17.3)	(1.8)
Milford city	502	444	434	(68)	(10)	(15.7)	(2.3)
Bal. of Geary County	7,375	6,700	6,617	(758)	(83)	(11.5)	(1.3)
Blakely township	113	120	123	10	3	8.1	2.4
Jackson township	78	87	91	13	4	14.3	4.4
Bal. of Jefferson township	467	436	434	(33)	(2)	(7.6)	(0.5)
Liberty township	225	236	241	16	5	6.6	2.1
Lyon township	298	286	287	(11)	1	(3.8)	0.3
Bal. of Milford township	1,081	968	958	(123)	(10)	(12.8)	(1.0)
Smoky Hill township	4,974	4,420	4,332	(642)	(88)	(14.8)	(2.0)
Wingfield township	139	147	151	12	4	7.9	2.6
<b>Gove County</b>							
Gove City city	105	95	94	(11)	(1)	(11.7)	(1.1)
Grainfield city	327	298	294	(33)	(4)	(11.2)	(1.4)
Grinnell city	329	299	295	(34)	(4)	(11.5)	(1.4)
Oakley city (pt.)	0	1	1	1	--	100.0	--
Park city	151	137	135	(16)	(2)	(11.9)	(1.5)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Gove County (cont'd)</b>							
Quinter city	961	846	833	(128)	(13)	(15.4)	(1.6)
Bal. of Gove County	1,195	1,087	1,069	(126)	(18)	(11.8)	(1.7)
Bal. of Baker township	396	359	354	(42)	(5)	(11.9)	(1.4)
Gaeland township	46	42	41	(5)	(1)	(12.2)	(2.4)
Bal. of Gove township	110	100	98	(12)	(2)	(12.2)	(2.0)
Bal. of Grainfield township	103	94	92	(11)	(2)	(12.0)	(2.2)
Bal. of Grinnell township	151	138	135	(16)	(3)	(11.9)	(2.2)
Jerome township	132	120	119	(13)	(1)	(10.9)	(0.8)
Larrabee township	80	73	72	(8)	(1)	(11.1)	(1.4)
Lewis township	13	12	12	(1)	--	(8.3)	--
Bal. of Payne township	164	149	146	(18)	(3)	(12.3)	(2.1)
<b>Graham County</b>							
Bogue city	179	169	165	(14)	(4)	(8.5)	(2.4)
Hill City city	1,604	1,451	1,437	(167)	(14)	(11.6)	(1.0)
Morland city	164	155	151	(13)	(4)	(8.6)	(2.6)
Bal. of Graham County	999	946	924	(75)	(22)	(8.1)	(2.4)
Allodium township	46	44	43	(3)	(1)	(7.0)	(2.3)
Bryant township	115	108	106	(9)	(2)	(8.5)	(1.9)
Bal. of Gettysburg township	68	64	63	(5)	(1)	(7.9)	(1.6)
Graham township	53	50	49	(4)	(1)	(8.2)	(2.0)
Happy township	72	68	66	(6)	(2)	(9.1)	(3.0)
Bal. of Hill City township	143	135	132	(11)	(3)	(8.3)	(2.3)
Indiana township	42	39	39	(3)	--	(7.7)	--
Millbrook township	150	144	139	(11)	(5)	(7.9)	(3.6)
Morlan township	68	64	63	(5)	(1)	(7.9)	(1.6)
Nicodemus township	52	50	48	(4)	(2)	(8.3)	(4.2)
Pioneer township	57	54	53	(4)	(1)	(7.5)	(1.9)
Bal. of Solomon township	60	57	56	(4)	(1)	(7.1)	(1.8)
Bal. of Wildhorse township	73	69	67	(6)	(2)	(9.0)	(3.0)
<b>Grant County</b>							
Ulysses city	7,909	7,530	7,552	(357)	22	(4.7)	0.3
Bal. of Grant County	5,960	5,650	5,669	(291)	19	(5.1)	0.3
Bal. of Grant County	1,949	1,880	1,883	(66)	3	(3.5)	0.2
<b>Gray County</b>							
Cimarron city	5,904	5,861	5,852	(52)	(9)	(0.9)	(0.2)
Copeland city	1,934	2,029	2,029	95	--	4.7	--
Ensign city	339	321	320	(19)	(1)	(5.9)	(0.3)
Ingalls city	203	199	198	(5)	(1)	(2.5)	(0.5)
Montezuma city	328	312	311	(17)	(1)	(5.5)	(0.3)
Bal. of Gray County	966	964	963	(3)	(1)	(0.3)	(0.1)
Bal. of Cimarron township	2,134	2,036	2,031	(103)	(5)	(5.1)	(0.2)
Bal. of Copeland township	445	406	407	(38)	1	(9.3)	0.2
Bal. of East Hess township	201	195	194	(7)	(1)	(3.6)	(0.5)
Foote township	169	165	164	(5)	(1)	(3.0)	(0.6)
Bal. of Ingalls township	126	123	123	(3)	--	(2.4)	--
Logan township	318	312	311	(7)	(1)	(2.3)	(0.3)
Bal. of Montezuma township	216	211	210	(6)	(1)	(2.9)	(0.5)
Bal. of Montezuma township	659	624	622	(37)	(2)	(5.9)	(0.3)
<b>Greeley County</b>							
Horace city	1,534	1,349	1,331	(203)	(18)	(15.3)	(1.4)
Bal. of Greeley County	143	129	127	(16)	(2)	(12.6)	(1.6)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Greeley County (cont'd)</b>							
Tribune city	835	722	712	(123)	(10)	(17.3)	(1.4)
Bal. of Greeley County	556	498	492	(64)	(6)	(13.0)	(1.2)
<b>Greenwood County</b>							
Climax city	64	62	60	(4)	(2)	(6.7)	(3.3)
Eureka city	2,914	2,739	2,639	(275)	(100)	(10.4)	(3.8)
Fall River city	156	152	146	(10)	(6)	(6.8)	(4.1)
Hamilton city	334	325	312	(22)	(13)	(7.1)	(4.2)
Madison city	857	799	771	(86)	(28)	(11.2)	(3.6)
Severy city	359	352	339	(20)	(13)	(5.9)	(3.8)
Virgil city	113	110	106	(7)	(4)	(6.6)	(3.8)
Bal. of Greenwood County	2,876	2,799	2,694	(182)	(105)	(6.8)	(3.9)
Bachelor township	230	226	217	(13)	(9)	(6.0)	(4.1)
Eureka township	451	433	417	(34)	(16)	(8.2)	(3.8)
Bal. of Fall River township	165	160	154	(11)	(6)	(7.1)	(3.9)
Bal. of Janesville township	214	211	203	(11)	(8)	(5.4)	(3.9)
Bal. of Lane township	54	51	49	(5)	(2)	(10.2)	(4.1)
Bal. of Madison township	298	291	281	(17)	(10)	(6.0)	(3.6)
Otter Creek township	211	206	198	(13)	(8)	(6.6)	(4.0)
Pleasant Grove township	52	51	49	(3)	(2)	(6.1)	(4.1)
Quincy township	163	159	153	(10)	(6)	(6.5)	(3.9)
Salem township	35	34	33	(2)	(1)	(6.1)	(3.0)
Bal. of Salt Springs township	307	301	289	(18)	(12)	(6.2)	(4.2)
Shell Rock township	173	168	162	(11)	(6)	(6.8)	(3.7)
South Salem township	127	123	119	(8)	(4)	(6.7)	(3.4)
Spring Creek township	154	151	145	(9)	(6)	(6.2)	(4.1)
Bal. of Twin Grove township	242	234	225	(17)	(9)	(7.6)	(4.0)
<b>Hamilton County</b>							
Coolidge city	86	85	85	(1)	--	(1.2)	--
Syracuse city	1,824	1,788	1,780	(44)	(8)	(2.5)	(0.4)
Bal. of Hamilton County	760	731	729	(31)	(2)	(4.3)	(0.3)
Bear Creek township	69	72	71	2	(1)	2.8	(1.4)
Bal. of Coolidge township	42	43	43	1	--	2.3	--
Kendall township	101	101	101	--	--	--	--
Lamont township	89	91	91	2	--	2.2	--
Liberty township	38	39	39	1	--	2.6	--
Medway township	53	55	55	2	--	3.6	--
Richland township	27	28	28	1	--	3.6	--
Bal. of Syracuse township	341	302	301	(40)	(1)	(13.3)	(0.3)
<b>Harper County</b>							
Anthony city	2,440	2,302	2,249	(191)	(53)	(8.5)	(2.4)
Attica city	636	604	592	(44)	(12)	(7.4)	(2.0)
Bluff City city	80	76	75	(5)	(1)	(6.7)	(1.3)
Danville city	59	56	55	(4)	(1)	(7.3)	(1.8)
Freeport city	6	8	7	1	(1)	14.3	(14.3)
Harper city	1,567	1,478	1,445	(122)	(33)	(8.4)	(2.3)
Waldron city	17	16	16	(1)	--	(6.3)	--
Bal. of Harper County	1,731	1,541	1,513	(218)	(28)	(14.4)	(1.9)
Bal. of Township No. 1	364	337	331	(33)	(6)	(10.0)	(1.8)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	<b>Pop. 2000</b> <b>4/1/2000</b>	<b>Pop. 2005</b> <b>7/1/2005</b>	<b>Pop. 2006</b> <b>7/1/2006</b>	<b># Growth</b> <b>2000-2006</b>	<b># Growth</b> <b>2005-2006</b>	<b>% Chg</b> <b>2000-2006</b>	<b>% Chg</b> <b>2005-2006</b>
<b>Harper County (cont'd)</b>							
Bal. of Township No. 2	127	119	117	(10)	(2)	(8.5)	(1.7)
Township No. 3	394	305	299	(95)	(6)	(31.8)	(2.0)
Bal. of Township No. 4	146	133	131	(15)	(2)	(11.5)	(1.5)
Bal. of Township No. 5	404	373	367	(37)	(6)	(10.1)	(1.6)
Township No. 6	296	274	268	(28)	(6)	(10.4)	(2.2)
<b>Harvey County</b>							
Burrton city	932	913	901	(31)	(12)	(3.4)	(1.3)
Halstead city	1,873	1,912	1,902	29	(10)	1.5	(0.5)
Hesston city	3,509	3,631	3,656	147	25	4.0	0.7
Newton city	17,190	18,229	18,093	903	(136)	5.0	(0.8)
North Newton city	1,522	1,574	1,567	45	(7)	2.9	(0.4)
Sedgwick city (pt.)	1,326	1,419	1,413	87	(6)	6.2	(0.4)
Walton city	284	295	290	6	(5)	2.1	(1.7)
Bal. of Harvey County	6,233	5,870	5,821	(412)	(49)	(7.1)	(0.8)
Alta township	221	234	233	12	(1)	5.2	(0.4)
Bal. of Burrton township	211	224	223	12	(1)	5.4	(0.4)
Darlington township	601	619	613	12	(6)	2.0	(1.0)
Bal. of Emma township	672	645	637	(35)	(8)	(5.5)	(1.3)
Garden township	294	309	307	13	(2)	4.2	(0.7)
Halstead township	353	366	363	10	(3)	2.8	(0.8)
Highland township	415	431	427	12	(4)	2.8	(0.9)
Lake township	173	185	184	11	(1)	6.0	(0.5)
Lakin township	357	373	370	13	(3)	3.5	(0.8)
Macon township	1,056	533	528	(528)	(5)	(100.0)	(0.9)
Bal. of Newton township	428	438	434	6	(4)	1.4	(0.9)
Pleasant township	439	457	453	14	(4)	3.1	(0.9)
Richland township	360	377	375	15	(2)	4.0	(0.5)
Bal. of Sedgwick township	385	400	396	11	(4)	2.8	(1.0)
Bal. of Walton township	268	279	278	10	(1)	3.6	(0.4)
<b>Haskell County</b>							
Satanta city	1,239	1,179	1,161	(78)	(18)	(6.7)	(1.6)
Sublette city	1,592	1,582	1,561	(31)	(21)	(2.0)	(1.3)
Bal. of Haskell County	1,476	1,471	1,449	(27)	(22)	(1.9)	(1.5)
Bal. of Dudley township	575	574	565	(10)	(9)	(1.8)	(1.6)
Bal. of Haskell township	379	376	371	(8)	(5)	(2.2)	(1.3)
Lockport township	522	521	513	(9)	(8)	(1.8)	(1.6)
<b>Hodgeman County</b>							
Hanston city	259	262	257	(2)	(5)	(0.8)	(1.9)
Jetmore city	903	914	897	(6)	(17)	(0.7)	(1.9)
Bal. of Hodgeman County	923	934	917	(6)	(17)	(0.7)	(1.9)
Benton township	48	49	48	--	(1)	--	(2.1)
Bal. of Center township	218	219	215	(3)	(4)	(1.4)	(1.9)
Hallet township	62	63	62	--	(1)	--	(1.6)
Bal. of Marena township	173	175	172	(1)	(3)	(0.6)	(1.7)
North Roscoe township	51	52	51	--	(1)	--	(2.0)
Sawlog township	93	94	93	--	(1)	--	(1.1)
South Roscoe township	76	77	75	(1)	(2)	(1.3)	(2.7)
Sterling township	144	146	143	(1)	(3)	(0.7)	(2.1)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Hodgeman County (cont'd)</b>							
Valley township	58	59	58	--	(1)	--	(1.7)
<b>Jackson County</b>							
Circleville city	12,657	13,535	13,500	843	(35)	6.2	(0.3)
Delia city	185	185	182	(3)	(3)	(1.6)	(1.6)
Denison city	179	188	185	6	(3)	3.2	(1.6)
Holton city	231	230	229	(2)	(1)	(0.9)	(0.4)
Hoyt city	3,353	3,400	3,359	6	(41)	0.2	(1.2)
Mayetta city	571	600	591	20	(9)	3.4	(1.5)
Netawaka city	312	359	365	53	6	14.5	1.6
Soldier city	170	178	183	13	5	7.1	2.7
Whiting city	122	124	122	--	(2)	--	(1.6)
Bal. of Jackson County	206	216	214	8	(2)	3.7	(0.9)
Adrian township	7,328	8,055	8,070	742	15	9.2	0.2
Banner township	150	173	175	25	2	14.3	1.1
Bal. of Cedar township	300	332	333	33	1	9.9	0.3
Bal. of Douglas township	939	1,011	1,008	69	(3)	6.8	(0.3)
Franklin township	1,564	1,685	1,681	117	(4)	7.0	(0.2)
Bal. of Garfield township	776	833	832	56	(1)	6.7	(0.1)
Grant township	396	433	434	38	1	8.8	0.2
Bal. of Jefferson township	212	243	246	34	3	13.8	1.2
Liberty township	309	343	344	35	1	10.2	0.3
Lincoln township	513	558	558	45	--	8.1	--
Bal. of Netawaka township	1,046	1,162	1,166	120	4	10.3	0.3
Bal. of Soldier township	191	218	220	29	2	13.2	0.9
Straight Creek township	281	316	318	37	2	11.6	0.6
Bal. of Washington township	158	183	185	27	2	14.6	1.1
Bal. of Whiting township	337	385	388	51	3	13.1	0.8
Bal. of Whiting township	156	180	182	26	2	14.3	1.1
<b>Jefferson County</b>							
McLouth city	18,426	19,106	18,848	422	(258)	2.2	(1.4)
Meriden city	868	849	832	(36)	(17)	(4.3)	(2.0)
Nortonville city	706	708	713	7	5	1.0	0.7
Oskaloosa city	620	598	590	(30)	(8)	(5.1)	(1.4)
Perry city	1,165	1,149	1,127	(38)	(22)	(3.4)	(2.0)
Valley Falls city	552	574	567	15	(7)	2.6	(1.2)
Winchester city	901	883	867	(34)	(16)	(3.9)	(1.8)
Bal. of Jefferson County	1,254	1,209	1,190	(64)	(19)	(5.4)	(1.6)
Bal. of Delaware township	579	582	570	(9)	(12)	(1.6)	(2.1)
Fairview township	11,781	12,554	12,392	611	(162)	4.9	(1.3)
Bal. of Jefferson township	780	894	894	114	--	12.8	--
Kaw township	1,510	1,559	1,528	18	(31)	1.2	(2.0)
Bal. of Kentucky township	661	739	736	75	(3)	10.2	(0.4)
Bal. of Norton township	1,409	1,466	1,439	30	(27)	2.1	(1.9)
Bal. of Oskaloosa township	675	725	716	41	(9)	5.7	(1.3)
Bal. of Ozawkie township	335	387	389	54	2	13.9	0.5
Bal. of Rock Creek township	977	1,054	1,044	67	(10)	6.4	(1.0)
Rural township	856	910	897	41	(13)	4.6	(1.4)
Sarcoxi township	2,012	2,102	2,068	56	(34)	2.7	(1.6)
Bal. of Union township	804	854	843	39	(11)	4.6	(1.3)
Bal. of Union township	958	1,000	984	26	(16)	2.6	(1.6)
Bal. of Union township	804	864	854	50	(10)	5.9	(1.2)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	<u>Pop. 2000</u> <u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>Pop. 2005</u> <u>7/1/2005</u>	<u>Pop. 2006</u> <u>7/1/2006</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2000-2006</u>	<u># Growth</u> <u>2005-2006</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2000-2006</u>	<u>% Chg</u> <u>2005-2006</u>
<b>Jewell County</b>	3,791	3,352	3,324	(467)	(28)	(14.0)	(0.8)
Burr Oak city	265	226	224	(41)	(2)	(18.3)	(0.9)
Esbon city	148	133	132	(16)	(1)	(12.1)	(0.8)
Formoso city	129	116	114	(15)	(2)	(13.2)	(1.8)
Jewell city	483	439	435	(48)	(4)	(11.0)	(0.9)
Mankato city	976	843	837	(139)	(6)	(16.6)	(0.7)
Randall city	90	74	74	(16)	--	(21.6)	--
Webber city	37	33	33	(4)	--	(12.1)	--
Bal. of Jewell County	1,663	1,488	1,475	(188)	(13)	(12.7)	(0.9)
Allen township	43	38	38	(5)	--	(13.2)	--
Athens township	74	66	66	(8)	--	(12.1)	--
Browns Creek township	64	57	57	(7)	--	(12.3)	--
Bal. of Buffalo township	91	76	76	(15)	--	(19.7)	--
Bal. of Burr Oak township	73	65	65	(8)	--	(12.3)	--
Calvin township	65	58	58	(7)	--	(12.1)	--
Bal. of Center township	124	113	109	(15)	(4)	(13.8)	(3.7)
Erving township	60	54	53	(7)	(1)	(13.2)	(1.9)
Bal. of Esbon township	74	66	66	(8)	--	(12.1)	--
Bal. of Grant township	91	81	81	(10)	--	(12.3)	--
Harrison township	52	47	46	(6)	(1)	(13.0)	(2.2)
Highland township	49	44	43	(6)	(1)	(14.0)	(2.3)
Holmwood township	49	44	44	(5)	--	(11.4)	--
Ionia township	100	90	89	(11)	(1)	(12.4)	(1.1)
Bal. of Jackson township	86	77	77	(9)	--	(11.7)	--
Limestone township	49	44	44	(5)	--	(11.4)	--
Montana township	93	83	82	(11)	(1)	(13.4)	(1.2)
Odessa township	34	30	30	(4)	--	(13.3)	--
Bal. of Prairie township	82	76	76	(6)	--	(7.9)	--
Richland township	36	33	32	(4)	(1)	(12.5)	(3.1)
Sinclair township	67	60	59	(8)	(1)	(13.6)	(1.7)
Vicksburg township	28	25	25	(3)	--	(12.0)	--
Walnut township	80	72	71	(9)	(1)	(12.7)	(1.4)
Washington township	50	45	44	(6)	(1)	(13.6)	(2.3)
White Mound township	49	44	44	(5)	--	(11.4)	--
<b>Johnson County</b>	451,086	506,562	516,731	65,645	10,169	12.7	2.0
Bonner Springs city (pt.)	1	3	5	4	2	80.0	40.0
De Soto city (pt.)	4,561	5,169	5,243	682	74	13.0	1.4
Edgerton city	1,440	1,692	1,744	304	52	17.4	3.0
Fairway city	3,952	3,840	3,833	(119)	(7)	(3.1)	(0.2)
Gardner city	9,396	14,317	15,597	6,201	1,280	39.8	8.2
Lake Quivira city (pt.)	883	872	876	(7)	4	(0.8)	0.5
Leawood city	27,656	30,145	30,702	3,046	557	9.9	1.8
Lenexa city	40,238	43,434	44,520	4,282	1,086	9.6	2.4
Merriam city	11,008	10,769	10,773	(235)	4	(2.2)	--
Mission city	9,727	9,751	9,736	9	(15)	0.1	(0.2)
Mission Hills city	3,593	3,523	3,526	(67)	3	(1.9)	0.1
Mission Woods city	165	160	159	(6)	(1)	(3.8)	(0.6)
Olathe city	92,962	111,334	114,662	21,700	3,328	18.9	2.9
Overland Park city	149,080	164,811	166,722	17,642	1,911	10.6	1.1
Prairie Village city	22,072	21,454	21,414	(658)	(40)	(3.1)	(0.2)



**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Johnson County (cont'd)</b>							
Roeland Park city	6,817	6,975	6,954	137	(21)	2.0	(0.3)
Shawnee city	47,996	57,628	59,252	11,256	1,624	19.0	2.7
Spring Hill city (pt.)	2,291	3,661	3,931	1,640	270	41.7	6.9
Westwood city	1,533	1,483	1,478	(55)	(5)	(3.7)	(0.3)
Westwood Hills city	378	365	364	(14)	(1)	(3.8)	(0.3)
Bal. of Johnson County	15,042	15,176	15,240	198	64	1.3	0.4
Aubry township	5,440	5,444	5,484	44	40	0.8	0.7
Gardner township	2,143	2,128	2,138	(5)	10	(0.2)	0.5
Lexington township	1,315	1,456	1,489	174	33	11.7	2.2
McCamish township	878	970	991	113	21	11.4	2.1
Olathe township	1,187	1,125	1,108	(79)	(17)	(7.1)	(1.5)
Oxford township	2,020	1,916	1,929	(91)	13	(4.7)	0.7
Spring Hill township	2,059	2,137	2,101	42	(36)	2.0	(1.7)
<b>Kearny County</b>							
Deerfield city	4,531	4,516	4,469	(62)	(47)	(1.4)	(1.1)
Lakin city	884	892	878	(6)	(14)	(0.7)	(1.6)
Bal. of Kearny County	2,316	2,292	2,275	(41)	(17)	(1.8)	(0.7)
Bal. of Deerfield township	1,331	1,332	1,316	(15)	(16)	(1.1)	(1.2)
East Hibbard township	220	220	217	(3)	(3)	(1.4)	(1.4)
Hartland township	131	131	129	(2)	(2)	(1.6)	(1.6)
Kendall township	128	127	126	(2)	(1)	(1.6)	(0.8)
Bal. of Lakin township	157	156	154	(3)	(2)	(1.9)	(1.3)
Southside township	271	271	268	(3)	(3)	(1.1)	(1.1)
West Hibbard township	359	363	358	(1)	(5)	(0.3)	(1.4)
West Hibbard township	65	64	64	(1)	--	(1.6)	--
<b>Kingman County</b>							
Cunningham city	8,673	8,165	7,975	(698)	(190)	(8.8)	(2.4)
Kingman city	514	477	467	(47)	(10)	(10.1)	(2.1)
Nashville city	3,387	3,183	3,110	(277)	(73)	(8.9)	(2.3)
Norwich city	111	106	103	(8)	(3)	(7.8)	(2.9)
Penalosa city	551	522	510	(41)	(12)	(8.0)	(2.4)
Spivey city	27	26	25	(2)	(1)	(8.0)	(4.0)
Zenda city	80	77	75	(5)	(2)	(6.7)	(2.7)
Bal. of Kingman County	123	118	115	(8)	(3)	(7.0)	(2.6)
Allen township	3,880	3,656	3,570	(310)	(86)	(8.7)	(2.4)
Belmont township	109	104	101	(8)	(3)	(7.9)	(3.0)
Bal. of Bennett township	92	84	82	(10)	(2)	(12.2)	(2.4)
Canton township	154	147	143	(11)	(4)	(7.7)	(2.8)
Bal. of Chikaskia township	118	112	110	(8)	(2)	(7.3)	(1.8)
Dale township	60	58	56	(4)	(2)	(7.1)	(3.6)
Bal. of Dresden township	203	191	186	(17)	(5)	(9.1)	(2.7)
Eagle township	126	120	117	(9)	(3)	(7.7)	(2.6)
Bal. of Eureka township	154	146	143	(11)	(3)	(7.7)	(2.1)
Evan township	96	92	90	(6)	(2)	(6.7)	(2.2)
Galesburg township	516	486	477	(39)	(9)	(8.2)	(1.9)
Hoosier township	254	240	234	(20)	(6)	(8.5)	(2.6)
Kingman township	162	154	150	(12)	(4)	(8.0)	(2.7)
Bal. of Liberty township	124	118	115	(9)	(3)	(7.8)	(2.6)
Ninnescah township	67	65	63	(4)	(2)	(6.3)	(3.2)
Peters township	313	297	290	(23)	(7)	(7.9)	(2.4)
Peters township	201	194	190	(11)	(4)	(5.8)	(2.1)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Kingman County (cont'd)</b>							
Richland township	100	95	93	(7)	(2)	(7.5)	(2.2)
Bal. of Rochester township	87	83	81	(6)	(2)	(7.4)	(2.5)
Bal. of Rural township	104	100	97	(7)	(3)	(7.2)	(3.1)
Union township	88	84	82	(6)	(2)	(7.3)	(2.4)
Valley township	102	97	95	(7)	(2)	(7.4)	(2.1)
Vinita township	249	236	230	(19)	(6)	(8.3)	(2.6)
White township	401	353	345	(56)	(8)	(16.2)	(2.3)
<b>Kiowa County</b>							
Greensburg city	3,278	2,984	2,969	(309)	(15)	(10.4)	(0.5)
Haviland city	1,574	1,398	1,389	(185)	(9)	(13.3)	(0.6)
Mullinville city	612	574	572	(40)	(2)	(7.0)	(0.3)
Bal. of Kiowa County	279	258	257	(22)	(1)	(8.6)	(0.4)
813	754	751	(62)	(3)	(8.3)	(0.4)	
<b>Labette County</b>							
Altamont city	22,835	22,169	22,203	(632)	34	(2.8)	0.2
Bartlett city	1,092	1,065	1,064	(28)	(1)	(2.6)	(0.1)
Chetopa city	124	124	124	--	--	--	--
Edna city	1,281	1,231	1,234	(47)	3	(3.8)	0.2
Labette city	423	422	422	(1)	--	(0.2)	--
Mound Valley city	68	68	68	--	--	--	--
Oswego city	418	414	414	(4)	--	(1.0)	--
Parsons city	2,046	1,996	2,000	(46)	4	(2.3)	0.2
Bal. of Labette County	11,514	11,212	11,237	(277)	25	(2.5)	0.2
Canada township	5,869	5,637	5,640	(229)	3	(4.1)	0.1
Bal. of Elm Grove township	217	208	208	(9)	--	(4.3)	--
Fairview township	375	359	359	(16)	--	(4.5)	--
Bal. of Hackberry township	239	230	230	(9)	--	(3.9)	--
Howard township	279	268	269	(10)	1	(3.7)	0.4
Bal. of Labette township	357	343	343	(14)	--	(4.1)	--
Bal. of Liberty township	373	360	360	(13)	--	(3.6)	--
Montana township	327	314	314	(13)	--	(4.1)	--
Bal. of Mound Valley township	179	171	171	(8)	--	(4.7)	--
Bal. of Mount Pleasant township	388	372	372	(16)	--	(4.3)	--
Neosho township	259	248	248	(11)	--	(4.4)	--
North township	222	213	213	(9)	--	(4.2)	--
Osage township	612	590	590	(22)	--	(3.7)	--
Oswego township	703	675	676	(27)	1	(4.0)	0.1
Richland township	310	298	298	(12)	--	(4.0)	--
Walton township	303	291	291	(12)	--	(4.1)	--
726	697	698	(28)	1	(4.0)	0.1	
<b>Lane County</b>							
Dighton city	2,155	1,894	1,797	(358)	(97)	(19.9)	(5.4)
Bal. of Lane County	1,261	1,106	1,050	(211)	(56)	(20.1)	(5.3)
Alamota township	894	788	747	(147)	(41)	(19.7)	(5.5)
Cheyenne township	108	95	90	(18)	(5)	(20.0)	(5.6)
Bal. of Dighton township	336	296	280	(56)	(16)	(20.0)	(5.7)
White Rock township	312	275	261	(51)	(14)	(19.5)	(5.4)
Wilson township	26	23	22	(4)	(1)	(18.2)	(4.5)
112	99	94	(18)	(5)	(19.1)	(5.3)	
<b>Leavenworth County</b>							
Basehor city	68,691	73,113	73,628	4,937	515	6.7	0.7
2,238	3,287	3,523	1,285	236	36.5	6.7	

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Leavenworth County (cont'd)</b>							
Bonner Springs city (pt.)	0	6	8	8	2	100.0	25.0
De Soto city (pt.)	0	1	1	1	--	100.0	--
Easton city	362	357	354	(8)	(3)	(2.3)	(0.8)
Lansing city	9,199	10,214	10,705	1,506	491	14.1	4.6
Leavenworth city	35,420	35,213	34,993	(427)	(220)	(1.2)	(0.6)
Linwood city	374	382	391	17	9	4.3	2.3
Tonganoxie city	2,728	3,774	4,101	1,373	327	33.5	8.0
Bal. of Leavenworth County	18,370	19,879	19,552	1,182	(327)	6.0	(1.7)
Alexandria township	859	1,007	1,019	160	12	15.7	1.2
Delaware township	1,361	1,387	1,027	(334)	(360)	(32.5)	(35.1)
Bal. of Easton township	883	1,014	1,024	141	10	13.8	1.0
Bal. of Fairmount township	4,028	4,206	4,191	163	(15)	3.9	(0.4)
High Prairie township	1,768	1,934	1,939	171	5	8.8	0.3
Kickapoo township	1,760	1,923	1,928	168	5	8.7	0.3
Reno township	1,143	1,284	1,293	150	9	11.6	0.7
Bal. of Sherman township	1,993	2,155	2,156	163	1	7.6	--
Bal. of Stranger township	2,245	2,437	2,441	196	4	8.0	0.2
Bal. of Tonganoxie township	2,330	2,532	2,534	204	2	8.1	0.1
<b>Lincoln County</b>							
Barnard city	123	119	119	(4)	--	(3.4)	--
Beverly city	199	193	192	(7)	(1)	(3.6)	(0.5)
Lincoln Center city	1,349	1,263	1,258	(91)	(5)	(7.2)	(0.4)
Sylvan Grove city	324	301	299	(25)	(2)	(8.4)	(0.7)
Bal. of Lincoln County	1,583	1,535	1,528	(55)	(7)	(3.6)	(0.5)
<b>Linn County</b>							
Blue Mound city	277	291	296	19	5	6.4	1.7
La Cygne city	1,115	1,146	1,155	40	9	3.5	0.8
Linn Valley city	562	581	591	29	10	4.9	1.7
Mound City city	821	820	820	(1)	--	(0.1)	--
Parker city	281	306	305	24	(1)	7.9	(0.3)
Pleasanton city	1,387	1,368	1,370	(17)	2	(1.2)	0.1
Prescott city	280	283	282	2	(1)	0.7	(0.4)
Bal. of Linn County	4,847	5,119	5,143	296	24	5.8	0.5
Bal. of Blue Mound township	223	245	249	26	4	10.4	1.6
Centerville township	389	421	427	38	6	8.9	1.4
Bal. of Liberty township	627	661	664	37	3	5.6	0.5
Bal. of Lincoln township	581	610	603	22	(7)	3.6	(1.2)
Bal. of Mound City township	600	627	627	27	--	4.3	--
Paris township	494	519	521	27	2	5.2	0.4
Bal. of Potosi township	693	720	725	32	5	4.4	0.7
Bal. of Scott township	634	662	665	31	3	4.7	0.5
Bal. of Sheridan township	280	300	304	24	4	7.9	1.3
Stanton township	169	183	185	16	2	8.6	1.1
Valley township	157	171	173	16	2	9.2	1.2
<b>Logan County</b>							
Oakley city (pt.)	2,118	1,932	1,851	(267)	(81)	(14.4)	(4.4)
Russell Springs city	32	30	29	(3)	(1)	(10.3)	(3.4)
Winona city	228	205	195	(33)	(10)	(16.9)	(5.1)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Logan County (cont'd)</b>							
Bal. of Logan County	668	627	600	(68)	(27)	(11.3)	(4.5)
Augustine township	26	24	23	(3)	(1)	(13.0)	(4.3)
Elkader township	15	14	13	(2)	(1)	(15.4)	(7.7)
Lees township	17	16	15	(2)	(1)	(13.3)	(6.7)
Logansport township	14	13	13	(1)	--	(7.7)	--
McAllaster township	29	27	26	(3)	(1)	(11.5)	(3.8)
Monument township	144	135	129	(15)	(6)	(11.6)	(4.7)
Bal. of Oakley township	225	212	202	(23)	(10)	(11.4)	(5.0)
Paxton township	24	23	22	(2)	(1)	(9.1)	(4.5)
Bal. of Russell Springs township	39	37	35	(4)	(2)	(11.4)	(5.7)
Western township	44	41	40	(4)	(1)	(10.0)	(2.5)
Bal. of Winona township	91	85	82	(9)	(3)	(11.0)	(3.7)
<b>Lyon County</b>							
Bal. of Lyon County	35,935	35,609	35,369	(566)	(240)	(1.6)	(0.7)
Admire city	177	178	175	(2)	(3)	(1.1)	(1.7)
Allen city	211	216	213	2	(3)	0.9	(1.4)
Americus city	938	932	914	(24)	(18)	(2.6)	(2.0)
Bushong city	55	52	51	(4)	(1)	(7.8)	(2.0)
Emporia city	26,760	26,456	26,188	(572)	(268)	(2.2)	(1.0)
Hartford city	500	505	496	(4)	(9)	(0.8)	(1.8)
Neosho Rapids city	274	278	273	(1)	(5)	(0.4)	(1.8)
Olpe city	504	509	501	(3)	(8)	(0.6)	(1.6)
Reading city	247	249	245	(2)	(4)	(0.8)	(1.6)
Bal. of Lyon County	6,269	6,234	6,313	44	79	0.7	1.3
Bal. of Agnes City township	202	210	220	18	10	8.2	4.5
Bal. of Americus township	584	582	592	8	10	1.4	1.7
Bal. of Center township	697	702	716	19	14	2.7	2.0
Bal. of Elmendaro township	449	455	466	17	11	3.6	2.4
Emporia township	1,167	1,114	1,115	(52)	1	(4.7)	0.1
Fremont township	842	837	844	2	7	0.2	0.8
Bal. of Ivy township	127	129	132	5	3	3.8	2.3
Bal. of Jackson township	721	723	730	9	7	1.2	1.0
Pike township	899	895	897	(2)	2	(0.2)	0.2
Bal. of Reading township	300	304	312	12	8	3.8	2.6
Waterloo township	281	283	289	8	6	2.8	2.1
<b>McPherson County</b>							
Bal. of McPherson County	29,554	29,523	29,380	(174)	(143)	(0.6)	(0.5)
Canton city	829	812	803	(26)	(9)	(3.2)	(1.1)
Galva city	701	773	792	91	19	11.5	2.4
Inman city	1,142	1,194	1,194	52	--	4.4	--
Lindsborg city	3,321	3,305	3,286	(35)	(19)	(1.1)	(0.6)
McPherson city	13,770	13,695	13,594	(176)	(101)	(1.3)	(0.7)
Marquette city	542	585	588	46	3	7.8	0.5
Moundridge city	1,593	1,643	1,639	46	(4)	2.8	(0.2)
Windom city	137	136	134	(3)	(2)	(2.2)	(1.5)
Bal. of McPherson County	7,519	7,380	7,350	(169)	(30)	(2.3)	(0.4)
Battle Hill township	91	97	98	7	1	7.1	1.0
Bonaville township	66	75	76	10	1	13.2	1.3
Bal. of Canton township	261	265	264	3	(1)	1.1	(0.4)
Bal. of Castle township	88	95	96	8	1	8.3	1.0
Delmore township	138	144	145	7	1	4.8	0.7

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>McPherson County (cont'd)</b>							
Bal. of Empire township	477	477	474	(3)	(3)	(0.6)	(0.6)
Groveland township	234	239	238	4	(1)	1.7	(0.4)
Gypsum Creek township	215	217	217	2	--	0.9	--
Harper township	137	143	143	6	--	4.2	--
Hayes township	287	290	289	2	(1)	0.7	(0.3)
Jackson township	198	202	202	4	--	2.0	--
King City township	544	543	540	(4)	(3)	(0.7)	(0.6)
Little Valley township	475	475	472	(3)	(3)	(0.6)	(0.6)
Lone Tree township	486	485	482	(4)	(3)	(0.8)	(0.6)
McPherson township	618	601	597	(21)	(4)	(3.5)	(0.7)
Bal. of Marquette township	234	187	187	(47)	--	(25.1)	--
Meridian township	341	343	341	--	(2)	--	(0.6)
Bal. of Mound township	511	441	438	(73)	(3)	(16.7)	(0.7)
New Gotland township	354	357	355	1	(2)	0.3	(0.6)
Smoky Hill township	297	300	297	--	(3)	--	(1.0)
South Sharps Creek township	112	119	120	8	1	6.7	0.8
Spring Valley township	373	375	372	(1)	(3)	(0.3)	(0.8)
Bal. of Superior township	498	418	416	(82)	(2)	(19.7)	(0.5)
Turkey Creek township	294	297	296	2	(1)	0.7	(0.3)
Union township	190	195	195	5	--	2.6	--
<b>Marion County</b>							
Burns city	13,361	12,952	12,760	(601)	(192)	(4.7)	(1.5)
Durham city	268	275	274	6	(1)	2.2	(0.4)
Florence city	114	110	108	(6)	(2)	(5.6)	(1.9)
Florence city	671	650	637	(34)	(13)	(5.3)	(2.0)
Goessel city	565	547	538	(27)	(9)	(5.0)	(1.7)
Hillsboro city	2,854	2,731	2,715	(139)	(16)	(5.1)	(0.6)
Lehigh city	215	208	204	(11)	(4)	(5.4)	(2.0)
Lincolnton city	225	218	217	(8)	(1)	(3.7)	(0.5)
Lost Springs city	71	68	67	(4)	(1)	(6.0)	(1.5)
Marion city	2,110	2,028	1,992	(118)	(36)	(5.9)	(1.8)
Peabody city	1,384	1,302	1,277	(107)	(25)	(8.4)	(2.0)
Ramona city	94	91	89	(5)	(2)	(5.6)	(2.2)
Tampa city	144	142	138	(6)	(4)	(4.3)	(2.9)
Bal. of Marion County	4,646	4,582	4,504	(142)	(78)	(3.2)	(1.7)
Bal. of Blaine township	68	70	70	2	--	2.9	--
Bal. of Catlin township	171	169	166	(5)	(3)	(3.0)	(1.8)
Centre township	535	520	506	(29)	(14)	(5.7)	(2.8)
Clark township	149	147	144	(5)	(3)	(3.5)	(2.1)
Bal. of Clear Creek township	367	361	351	(16)	(10)	(4.6)	(2.8)
Bal. of Colfax township	124	124	122	(2)	(2)	(1.6)	(1.6)
Doyle township	75	78	77	2	(1)	2.6	(1.3)
Bal. of Durham Park township	116	116	115	(1)	(1)	(0.9)	(0.9)
East Branch township	188	185	182	(6)	(3)	(3.3)	(1.6)
Fairplay township	121	123	122	1	(1)	0.8	(0.8)
Gale township	220	215	211	(9)	(4)	(4.3)	(1.9)
Grant township	128	132	131	3	(1)	2.3	(0.8)
Bal. of Lehigh township	155	154	152	(3)	(2)	(2.0)	(1.3)
Liberty township	327	319	314	(13)	(5)	(4.1)	(1.6)
Logan township	127	127	125	(2)	(2)	(1.6)	(1.6)
Bal. of Lost Springs township	130	129	127	(3)	(2)	(2.4)	(1.6)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Marion County (cont'd)</b>							
Menno township	317	309	304	(13)	(5)	(4.3)	(1.6)
Bal. of Milton township	80	81	80	--	(1)	--	(1.3)
Moore township	65	67	67	2	--	3.0	--
Bal. of Peabody township	169	167	165	(4)	(2)	(2.4)	(1.2)
Risley township	241	235	232	(9)	(3)	(3.9)	(1.3)
Summit township	82	84	83	1	(1)	1.2	(1.2)
Bal. of West Branch township	459	443	435	(24)	(8)	(5.5)	(1.8)
Wilson township	232	227	223	(9)	(4)	(4.0)	(1.8)
<b>Marshall County</b>							
Axtell city	445	433	431	(14)	(2)	(3.2)	(0.5)
Beattie city	277	267	265	(12)	(2)	(4.5)	(0.8)
Blue Rapids city	1,088	1,048	1,041	(47)	(7)	(4.5)	(0.7)
Frankfort city	855	795	790	(65)	(5)	(8.2)	(0.6)
Marysville city	3,271	3,151	3,143	(128)	(8)	(4.1)	(0.3)
Oketo city	87	84	83	(4)	(1)	(4.8)	(1.2)
Summerfield city	211	204	202	(9)	(2)	(4.5)	(1.0)
Vermillion city	107	98	97	(10)	(1)	(10.3)	(1.0)
Waterville city	681	628	627	(54)	(1)	(8.6)	(0.2)
Bal. of Marshall County	3,943	3,697	3,670	(273)	(27)	(7.4)	(0.7)
Balderson township	106	102	102	(4)	--	(3.9)	--
Bigelow township	66	63	63	(3)	--	(4.8)	--
Blue Rapids township	78	75	75	(3)	--	(4.0)	--
Bal. of Blue Rapids City township	113	109	109	(4)	--	(3.7)	--
Center township	151	146	145	(6)	(1)	(4.1)	(0.7)
Clear Fork township	54	52	52	(2)	--	(3.8)	--
Cleveland township	91	88	87	(4)	(1)	(4.6)	(1.1)
Cottage Hill township	143	137	136	(7)	(1)	(5.1)	(0.7)
Elm Creek township	178	171	170	(8)	(1)	(4.7)	(0.6)
Franklin township	337	327	323	(14)	(4)	(4.3)	(1.2)
Bal. of Guittard township	177	171	170	(7)	(1)	(4.1)	(0.6)
Herkimer township	234	224	223	(11)	(1)	(4.9)	(0.4)
Lincoln township	130	125	124	(6)	(1)	(4.8)	(0.8)
Logan township	335	322	320	(15)	(2)	(4.7)	(0.6)
Marysville township	383	273	267	(116)	(6)	(43.4)	(2.2)
Bal. of Murray township	195	184	183	(12)	(1)	(6.6)	(0.5)
Bal. of Noble township	110	106	105	(5)	(1)	(4.8)	(1.0)
Bal. of Oketo township	164	157	156	(8)	(1)	(5.1)	(0.6)
Bal. of Richland township	130	125	124	(6)	(1)	(4.8)	(0.8)
Rock township	123	119	118	(5)	(1)	(4.2)	(0.8)
Bal. of St. Bridget township	97	93	93	(4)	--	(4.3)	--
Bal. of Vermillion township	157	151	151	(6)	--	(4.0)	--
Walnut township	144	139	138	(6)	(1)	(4.3)	(0.7)
Bal. of Waterville township	116	112	111	(5)	(1)	(4.5)	(0.9)
Wells township	131	126	125	(6)	(1)	(4.8)	(0.8)
<b>Meade County</b>							
Fowler city	567	578	570	3	(8)	0.5	(1.4)
Meade city	1,672	1,629	1,608	(64)	(21)	(4.0)	(1.3)
Plains city	1,163	1,163	1,146	(17)	(17)	(1.5)	(1.5)
Bal. of Meade County	1,229	1,255	1,237	8	(18)	0.6	(1.5)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Meade County (cont'd)</b>							
Cimarron township	93	95	2,436	2,343	2,341	96.2	96.1
Crooked Creek township	92	94	94	2	--	2.1	--
Bal. of Fowler township	182	185	183	1	(2)	0.5	(1.1)
Logan township	100	102	101	1	(1)	1.0	(1.0)
Bal. of Meade Center township	296	303	298	2	(5)	0.7	(1.7)
Mertilla township	221	226	223	2	(3)	0.9	(1.3)
Odee township	50	54	53	3	(1)	5.7	(1.9)
Sand Creek township	38	36	35	(3)	(1)	(8.6)	(2.9)
Bal. of West Plains township	157	160	158	1	(2)	0.6	(1.3)
<b>Miami County</b>							
Fontana city	28,351	30,496	30,900	2,549	404	8.2	1.3
Louisburg city	149	169	208	59	39	28.4	18.8
Osawatomie city	2,576	3,313	3,598	1,022	285	28.4	7.9
Paola city	4,645	4,616	4,588	(57)	(28)	(1.2)	(0.6)
Spring Hill city (pt.)	5,011	5,292	5,339	328	47	6.1	0.9
Bal. of Miami County	436	833	891	455	58	51.1	6.5
Marysville township	15,534	16,273	16,276	742	3	4.6	--
Miami township	2,575	2,650	2,651	76	1	2.9	--
Middle Creek township	506	560	567	61	7	10.8	1.2
Mound township	1,649	1,732	1,740	91	8	5.2	0.5
Bal. of Osage township	705	746	751	46	5	6.1	0.7
Osawatomie township	500	533	506	6	(27)	1.2	(5.3)
Paola township	794	833	838	44	5	5.3	0.6
Richland township	1,100	1,123	1,128	28	5	2.5	0.4
Stanton township	1,758	1,857	1,871	113	14	6.0	0.7
Sugar Creek township	925	983	970	45	(13)	4.6	(1.3)
Ten Mile township	449	491	497	48	6	9.7	1.2
Valley township	1,259	1,325	1,334	75	9	5.6	0.7
Wea township	1,478	1,541	1,539	61	(2)	4.0	(0.1)
	1,836	1,899	1,864	28	(35)	1.5	(1.9)
<b>Mitchell County</b>							
Beloit city	6,932	6,420	6,299	(633)	(121)	(10.0)	(1.9)
Cawker City city	4,019	3,703	3,639	(380)	(64)	(10.4)	(1.8)
Glen Elder city	521	474	464	(57)	(10)	(12.3)	(2.2)
Hunter city	439	399	390	(49)	(9)	(12.6)	(2.3)
Scottsville city	77	72	70	(7)	(2)	(10.0)	(2.9)
Simpson city (pt.)	21	20	20	(1)	--	(5.0)	--
Tipton city	114	103	100	(14)	(3)	(14.0)	(3.0)
Bal. of Mitchell County	243	230	225	(18)	(5)	(8.0)	(2.2)
Asherville township	1,498	1,419	1,391	(107)	(28)	(7.7)	(2.0)
Beloit township	122	115	113	(9)	(2)	(8.0)	(1.8)
Bloomfield township	222	209	206	(16)	(3)	(7.8)	(1.5)
Blue Hill township	83	79	77	(6)	(2)	(7.8)	(2.6)
Carr Creek township	36	34	33	(3)	(1)	(9.1)	(3.0)
Bal. of Cawker township	31	30	29	(2)	(1)	(6.9)	(3.4)
Center township	57	54	53	(4)	(1)	(7.5)	(1.9)
Bal. of Custer township	49	46	45	(4)	(1)	(8.9)	(2.2)
Eureka township	55	52	51	(4)	(1)	(7.8)	(2.0)
Bal. of Glen Elder township	41	39	38	(3)	(1)	(7.9)	(2.6)
Hayes township	114	108	106	(8)	(2)	(7.5)	(1.9)
	21	20	20	(1)	--	(5.0)	--

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Mitchell County (cont'd)</b>							
Bal. of Logan township	54	51	50	(4)	(1)	(8.0)	(2.0)
Bal. of Lulu township	69	66	65	(4)	(1)	(6.2)	(1.5)
Bal. of Pittsburg township	103	97	96	(7)	(1)	(7.3)	(1.0)
Plum Creek township	119	113	110	(9)	(3)	(8.2)	(2.7)
Round Springs township	27	26	25	(2)	(1)	(8.0)	(4.0)
Salt Creek township	39	37	36	(3)	(1)	(8.3)	(2.8)
Solomon Rapids township	80	76	74	(6)	(2)	(8.1)	(2.7)
Turkey Creek township	134	127	125	(9)	(2)	(7.2)	(1.6)
Walnut Creek township	42	40	39	(3)	(1)	(7.7)	(2.6)
<b>Montgomery County</b>							
	36,252	34,570	34,692	(1,560)	122	(4.5)	0.4
Caney city	2,092	1,975	1,994	(98)	19	(4.9)	1.0
Cherryvale city	2,386	2,266	2,271	(115)	5	(5.1)	0.2
Coffeyville city	11,021	10,359	10,387	(634)	28	(6.1)	0.3
Dearing city	415	452	453	38	1	8.4	0.2
Elk City city	305	299	300	(5)	1	(1.7)	0.3
Havana city	86	85	85	(1)	--	(1.2)	--
Independence city	9,846	9,284	9,317	(529)	33	(5.7)	0.4
Liberty city	95	94	94	(1)	--	(1.1)	--
Tyro city	226	222	223	(3)	1	(1.3)	0.4
Bal. of Montgomery County	9,780	9,534	9,568	(212)	34	(2.2)	0.4
Bal. of Caney township	1,017	1,000	1,004	(13)	4	(1.3)	0.4
Cherokee township	541	531	533	(8)	2	(1.5)	0.4
Cherry township	517	507	509	(8)	2	(1.6)	0.4
Drum Creek township	537	528	530	(7)	2	(1.3)	0.4
Bal. of Fawn Creek township	1,536	1,456	1,461	(75)	5	(5.1)	0.3
Independence township	2,342	2,297	2,303	(39)	6	(1.7)	0.3
Bal. of Liberty township	378	371	373	(5)	2	(1.3)	0.5
Bal. of Louisburg township	324	318	319	(5)	1	(1.6)	0.3
Parker township	1,212	1,169	1,174	(38)	5	(3.2)	0.4
Rutland township	302	299	300	(2)	1	(0.7)	0.3
Sycamore township	835	822	825	(10)	3	(1.2)	0.4
West Cherry township	239	236	237	(2)	1	(0.8)	0.4
<b>Morris County</b>							
	6,104	6,049	6,046	(58)	(3)	(1.0)	--
Council Grove city	2,321	2,275	2,280	(41)	5	(1.8)	0.2
Dunlap city	81	82	82	1	--	1.2	--
Dwight city	330	332	331	1	(1)	0.3	(0.3)
Herington city (pt.)	0	1	1	1	--	100.0	--
Latimer city	21	21	21	--	--	--	--
Parkerville city	73	73	73	--	--	--	--
White City city	518	499	500	(18)	1	(3.6)	0.2
Wilsey city	191	191	191	--	--	--	--
Bal. of Morris County	2,569	2,575	2,567	(2)	(8)	(0.1)	(0.3)
Highland township	94	94	94	--	--	--	--
Overland township	60	60	60	--	--	--	--
Bal. of Township No. 1	470	473	471	1	(2)	0.2	(0.4)
Township No. 2	688	689	688	--	(1)	--	(0.1)
Bal. of Township No. 3	173	173	172	(1)	(1)	(0.6)	(0.6)
Bal. of Township No. 4	179	179	179	--	--	--	--
Bal. of Township No. 5	168	168	168	--	--	--	--



**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Morris County (cont'd)</b>							
Bal. of Township No. 6	90	91	90	--	(1)	--	(1.1)
Township No. 7	258	258	257	(1)	(1)	(0.4)	(0.4)
Township No. 8	212	212	211	(1)	(1)	(0.5)	(0.5)
Bal. of Township No. 9	177	178	177	--	(1)	--	(0.6)
<b>Morton County</b>							
	3,496	3,196	3,138	(358)	(58)	(11.4)	(1.8)
Elkhart city	2,233	2,036	2,000	(233)	(36)	(11.7)	(1.8)
Richfield city	48	44	43	(5)	(1)	(11.6)	(2.3)
Rolla city	482	445	437	(45)	(8)	(10.3)	(1.8)
Bal. of Morton County	733	671	658	(75)	(13)	(11.4)	(2.0)
Cimarron township	67	62	61	(6)	(1)	(9.8)	(1.6)
Jones township	17	16	15	(2)	(1)	(13.3)	(6.7)
Bal. of Richfield township	170	158	154	(16)	(4)	(10.4)	(2.6)
Bal. of Rolla township	168	155	152	(16)	(3)	(10.5)	(2.0)
Bal. of Taloga township	204	181	179	(25)	(2)	(14.0)	(1.1)
Westola township	107	99	97	(10)	(2)	(10.3)	(2.1)
<b>Nemaha County</b>							
	10,717	10,443	10,374	(343)	(69)	(3.3)	(0.7)
Bern city	204	200	198	(6)	(2)	(3.0)	(1.0)
Centralia city	534	504	498	(36)	(6)	(7.2)	(1.2)
Corning city	170	167	165	(5)	(2)	(3.0)	(1.2)
Goff city	181	175	173	(8)	(2)	(4.6)	(1.2)
Oneida city	70	69	68	(2)	(1)	(2.9)	(1.5)
Sabetha city (pt.)	2,582	2,498	2,489	(93)	(9)	(3.7)	(0.4)
Seneca city	2,122	2,068	2,064	(58)	(4)	(2.8)	(0.2)
Wetmore city	362	356	352	(10)	(4)	(2.8)	(1.1)
Bal. of Nemaha County	4,492	4,406	4,367	(125)	(39)	(2.9)	(0.9)
Adams township	213	209	207	(6)	(2)	(2.9)	(1.0)
Berwick township	391	385	381	(10)	(4)	(2.6)	(1.0)
Capioma township	161	159	157	(4)	(2)	(2.5)	(1.3)
Center township	200	196	194	(6)	(2)	(3.1)	(1.0)
Clear Creek township	123	120	119	(4)	(1)	(3.4)	(0.8)
Bal. of Gilman township	153	150	149	(4)	(1)	(2.7)	(0.7)
Granada township	122	120	118	(4)	(2)	(3.4)	(1.7)
Bal. of Harrison township	218	210	207	(11)	(3)	(5.3)	(1.4)
Bal. of Home township	122	120	118	(4)	(2)	(3.4)	(1.7)
Bal. of Illinois township	194	191	189	(5)	(2)	(2.6)	(1.1)
Marion township	457	448	443	(14)	(5)	(3.2)	(1.1)
Mitchell township	305	300	297	(8)	(3)	(2.7)	(1.0)
Nemaha township	184	180	178	(6)	(2)	(3.4)	(1.1)
Neuchatel township	117	115	114	(3)	(1)	(2.6)	(0.9)
Red Vermillion township	137	135	133	(4)	(2)	(3.0)	(1.5)
Reilly township	153	150	149	(4)	(1)	(2.7)	(0.7)
Richmond township	538	527	530	(8)	3	(1.5)	0.6
Rock Creek township	302	297	294	(8)	(3)	(2.7)	(1.0)
Bal. of Washington township	261	256	253	(8)	(3)	(3.2)	(1.2)
Bal. of Wetmore township	141	138	137	(4)	(1)	(2.9)	(0.7)
<b>Neosho County</b>							
	16,997	16,529	16,298	(699)	(231)	(4.3)	(1.4)
Chanute city	9,411	9,006	8,887	(524)	(119)	(5.9)	(1.3)
Earlton city	80	80	79	(1)	(1)	(1.3)	(1.3)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Neosho County (cont'd)</b>							
Erie city	1,211	1,167	1,153	(58)	(14)	(5.0)	(1.2)
Galesburg city	150	150	147	(3)	(3)	(2.0)	(2.0)
St. Paul city	646	657	654	8	(3)	1.2	(0.5)
Stark city	106	105	103	(3)	(2)	(2.9)	(1.9)
Thayer city	500	500	492	(8)	(8)	(1.6)	(1.6)
Bal. of Neosho County	4,893	4,864	4,783	(110)	(81)	(2.3)	(1.7)
Big Creek township	456	455	448	(8)	(7)	(1.8)	(1.6)
Bal. of Canville township	491	490	483	(8)	(7)	(1.7)	(1.4)
Bal. of Centerville township	417	417	410	(7)	(7)	(1.7)	(1.7)
Bal. of Chetopa township	394	392	386	(8)	(6)	(2.1)	(1.6)
Bal. of Erie township	313	313	308	(5)	(5)	(1.6)	(1.6)
Bal. of Grant township	278	277	273	(5)	(4)	(1.8)	(1.5)
Bal. of Ladore township	398	399	392	(6)	(7)	(1.5)	(1.8)
Lincoln township	345	344	339	(6)	(5)	(1.8)	(1.5)
Bal. of Mission township	281	281	277	(4)	(4)	(1.4)	(1.4)
Shiloh township	297	297	292	(5)	(5)	(1.7)	(1.7)
Tioga township	885	863	844	(41)	(19)	(4.9)	(2.3)
Walnut Grove township	338	336	331	(7)	(5)	(2.1)	(1.5)
<b>Ness County</b>							
Bazine city	311	263	257	(54)	(6)	(21.0)	(2.3)
Brownell city	48	42	41	(7)	(1)	(17.1)	(2.4)
Ness City city	1,534	1,326	1,299	(235)	(27)	(18.1)	(2.1)
Ransom city	338	292	286	(52)	(6)	(18.2)	(2.1)
Utica city	223	198	194	(29)	(4)	(14.9)	(2.1)
Bal. of Ness County	1,000	888	869	(131)	(19)	(15.1)	(2.2)
Bal. of Bazine township	130	116	113	(17)	(3)	(15.0)	(2.7)
Bal. of Center township	75	67	65	(10)	(2)	(15.4)	(3.1)
Eden township	70	62	61	(9)	(1)	(14.8)	(1.6)
Bal. of Forrester township	67	60	58	(9)	(2)	(15.5)	(3.4)
Franklin township	132	117	115	(17)	(2)	(14.8)	(1.7)
Highpoint township	93	83	81	(12)	(2)	(14.8)	(2.5)
Johnson township	76	67	66	(10)	(1)	(15.2)	(1.5)
Bal. of Nevada township	141	124	122	(19)	(2)	(15.6)	(1.6)
Bal. of Ohio township	122	108	106	(16)	(2)	(15.1)	(1.9)
Bal. of Waring township	94	84	82	(12)	(2)	(14.6)	(2.4)
<b>Norton County</b>							
Almena city	469	454	447	(22)	(7)	(4.9)	(1.6)
Clayton city (pt.)	65	63	62	(3)	(1)	(4.8)	(1.6)
Edmond city	47	45	45	(2)	--	(4.4)	--
Lenora city	306	285	280	(26)	(5)	(9.3)	(1.8)
Norton city	3,012	2,806	2,760	(252)	(46)	(9.1)	(1.7)
Bal. of Norton County	2,054	2,011	1,990	(64)	(21)	(3.2)	(1.1)
Bal. of Almena--Dist. 4 township	145	140	138	(7)	(2)	(5.1)	(1.4)
Center--District 1 township	1,382	1,360	1,351	(31)	(9)	(2.3)	(0.7)
Harrison--District 6 township	12	12	11	(1)	(1)	(9.1)	(9.1)
Bal. of Highland--Dist. 2 township	366	354	348	(18)	(6)	(5.2)	(1.7)
Bal. of Solomon--Dist. 3 township	149	145	142	(7)	(3)	(4.9)	(2.1)
<b>Osage County</b>							
Burlingame city	16,712	17,150	16,958	246	(192)	1.5	(1.1)
	1,017	1,015	1,003	(14)	(12)	(1.4)	(1.2)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Osage County (cont'd)</b>							
Carbondale city	1,478	1,451	1,440	(38)	(11)	(2.6)	(0.8)
Lyndon city	1,038	1,041	1,027	(11)	(14)	(1.1)	(1.4)
Melvern city	429	430	423	(6)	(7)	(1.4)	(1.7)
Olivet city	64	65	64	--	(1)	--	(1.6)
Osage City city	3,034	2,987	2,944	(90)	(43)	(3.1)	(1.5)
Overbrook city	947	971	960	13	(11)	1.4	(1.1)
Quenemo city	468	455	447	(21)	(8)	(4.7)	(1.8)
Scranton city	724	712	701	(23)	(11)	(3.3)	(1.6)
Bal. of Osage County	7,513	8,023	7,949	436	(74)	5.5	(0.9)
Bal. of Agency township	150	168	168	18	--	10.7	--
Arvonias township	136	159	159	23	--	14.5	--
Barclay township	239	270	270	31	--	11.5	--
Bal. of Burlingame township	751	804	795	44	(9)	5.5	(1.1)
Dragoon township	214	234	232	18	(2)	7.8	(0.9)
Bal. of Elk township	776	820	811	35	(9)	4.3	(1.1)
Fairfax township	513	544	538	25	(6)	4.6	(1.1)
Grant township	297	319	317	20	(2)	6.3	(0.6)
Junction township	1,210	1,253	1,239	29	(14)	2.3	(1.1)
Lincoln township	134	152	152	18	--	11.8	--
Bal. of Melvern township	383	413	410	27	(3)	6.6	(0.7)
Bal. of Olivet township	199	230	231	32	1	13.9	0.4
Bal. of Ridgeway township	1,183	1,232	1,216	33	(16)	2.7	(1.3)
Bal. of Scranton township	549	576	570	21	(6)	3.7	(1.1)
Superior township	293	334	331	38	(3)	11.5	(0.9)
Bal. of Valley Brook township	486	515	510	24	(5)	4.7	(1.0)
<b>Osborne County</b>							
Alton city	117	109	107	(10)	(2)	(9.3)	(1.9)
Downs city	1,038	938	922	(116)	(16)	(12.6)	(1.7)
Natoma city	367	329	323	(44)	(6)	(13.6)	(1.9)
Osborne city	1,607	1,440	1,413	(194)	(27)	(13.7)	(1.9)
Portis city	123	116	114	(9)	(2)	(7.9)	(1.8)
Bal. of Osborne County	1,200	1,118	1,099	(101)	(19)	(9.2)	(1.7)
Bal. of Bethany township	76	70	69	(7)	(1)	(10.1)	(1.4)
Bloom township	81	76	73	(8)	(3)	(11.0)	(4.1)
Corinth township	51	48	47	(4)	(1)	(8.5)	(2.1)
Covert township	19	18	17	(2)	(1)	(11.8)	(5.9)
Delhi township	37	34	35	(2)	1	(5.7)	2.9
Grant township	28	26	26	(2)	--	(7.7)	--
Hancock township	21	20	19	(2)	(1)	(10.5)	(5.3)
Hawkeye township	36	33	33	(3)	--	(9.1)	--
Independence township	32	30	29	(3)	(1)	(10.3)	(3.4)
Jackson township	42	39	38	(4)	(1)	(10.5)	(2.6)
Kill Creek township	35	32	32	(3)	--	(9.4)	--
Lawrence township	37	35	34	(3)	(1)	(8.8)	(2.9)
Liberty township	31	29	28	(3)	(1)	(10.7)	(3.6)
Mount Ayr township	41	38	37	(4)	(1)	(10.8)	(2.7)
Bal. of Natoma township	35	33	32	(3)	(1)	(9.4)	(3.1)
Penn township	129	121	120	(9)	(1)	(7.5)	(0.8)
Bal. of Ross township	128	119	117	(11)	(2)	(9.4)	(1.7)
Round Mound township	59	55	54	(5)	(1)	(9.3)	(1.9)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Osborne County (cont'd)</b>							
Bal. of Sumner township	89	84	82	(7)	(2)	(8.5)	(2.4)
Tilden township	116	107	106	(10)	(1)	(9.4)	(0.9)
Valley township	37	34	34	(3)	--	(8.8)	--
Victor township	24	22	22	(2)	--	(9.1)	--
Winfield township	16	15	15	(1)	--	(6.7)	--
<b>Ottawa County</b>							
Bennington city	623	614	616	(7)	2	(1.1)	0.3
Culver city	164	165	166	2	1	1.2	0.6
Delphos city	469	449	451	(18)	2	(4.0)	0.4
Minneapolis city	2,046	2,015	2,027	(19)	12	(0.9)	0.6
Tescott city	339	331	332	(7)	1	(2.1)	0.3
Bal. of Ottawa County	2,522	2,549	2,576	54	27	2.1	1.0
Bal. of Bennington township	493	493	498	5	5	1.0	1.0
Blaine township	111	113	114	3	1	2.6	0.9
Buckeye township	101	102	104	3	2	2.9	1.9
Center township	72	74	75	3	1	4.0	1.3
Chapman township	67	69	70	3	1	4.3	1.4
Concord township	209	210	212	3	2	1.4	0.9
Bal. of Culver township	154	155	156	2	1	1.3	0.6
Durham township	25	27	28	3	1	10.7	3.6
Fountain township	190	190	191	1	1	0.5	0.5
Garfield township	95	97	98	3	1	3.1	1.0
Grant township	93	95	96	3	1	3.1	1.0
Henry township	22	24	24	2	--	8.3	--
Lincoln township	143	144	145	2	1	1.4	0.7
Logan township	82	83	84	2	1	2.4	1.2
Bal. of Morton township	159	160	161	2	1	1.2	0.6
Ottawa township	49	51	52	3	1	5.8	1.9
Richland township	218	218	220	2	2	0.9	0.9
Bal. of Sheridan township	120	121	123	3	2	2.4	1.6
Sherman township	67	69	70	3	1	4.3	1.4
Stanton township	52	54	55	3	1	5.5	1.8
<b>Pawnee County</b>							
Burdett city	256	241	232	(24)	(9)	(10.3)	(3.9)
Garfield city	198	186	179	(19)	(7)	(10.6)	(3.9)
Larned city	4,236	3,874	3,736	(500)	(138)	(13.4)	(3.7)
Rozel city	182	171	164	(18)	(7)	(11.0)	(4.3)
Bal. of Pawnee County	2,361	2,267	2,204	(157)	(63)	(7.1)	(2.9)
Ash Valley township	49	46	44	(5)	(2)	(11.4)	(4.5)
Bal. of Browns Grove township	54	51	49	(5)	(2)	(10.2)	(4.1)
Conkling township	26	25	24	(2)	(1)	(8.3)	(4.2)
Bal. of Garfield township	63	59	57	(6)	(2)	(10.5)	(3.5)
Bal. of Grant township	52	47	46	(6)	(1)	(13.0)	(2.2)
Keysville township	55	52	50	(5)	(2)	(10.0)	(4.0)
Larned township	266	253	240	(26)	(13)	(10.8)	(5.4)
Lincoln township	28	26	25	(3)	(1)	(12.0)	(4.0)
Logan township	48	45	43	(5)	(2)	(11.6)	(4.7)
Morton township	56	53	51	(5)	(2)	(9.8)	(3.9)
Bal. of Orange township	71	68	65	(6)	(3)	(9.2)	(4.6)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Pawnee County (cont'd)</b>							
Pawnee township	82	77	74	(8)	(3)	(10.8)	(4.1)
Pleasant Grove township	230	218	210	(20)	(8)	(9.5)	(3.8)
Pleasant Ridge township	56	53	51	(5)	(2)	(9.8)	(3.9)
Pleasant Valley township	128	120	116	(12)	(4)	(10.3)	(3.4)
River township	87	82	79	(8)	(3)	(10.1)	(3.8)
Santa Fe township	788	783	779	(9)	(4)	(1.2)	(0.5)
Sawmill township	24	23	22	(2)	(1)	(9.1)	(4.5)
Shiley township	28	26	25	(3)	(1)	(12.0)	(4.0)
Valley Center township	57	54	52	(5)	(2)	(9.6)	(3.8)
Walnut township	113	106	102	(11)	(4)	(10.8)	(3.9)
<b>Phillips County</b>							
Agra city	6,001	5,504	5,444	(557)	(60)	(10.2)	(1.1)
Glade city	306	278	275	(31)	(3)	(11.3)	(1.1)
Kirwin city	114	106	105	(9)	(1)	(8.6)	(1.0)
Kirwin city	229	214	211	(18)	(3)	(8.5)	(1.4)
Logan city	603	549	543	(60)	(6)	(11.0)	(1.1)
Long Island city	155	145	143	(12)	(2)	(8.4)	(1.4)
Phillipsburg city	2,668	2,432	2,410	(258)	(22)	(10.7)	(0.9)
Prairie View city	141	131	130	(11)	(1)	(8.5)	(0.8)
Speed city	44	41	41	(3)	--	(7.3)	--
Bal. of Phillips County	1,741	1,608	1,586	(155)	(22)	(9.8)	(1.4)
Arcade township	96	90	89	(7)	(1)	(7.9)	(1.1)
Beaver township	54	50	50	(4)	--	(8.0)	--
Bal. of Belmont township	69	64	64	(5)	--	(7.8)	--
Bow Creek township	44	41	41	(3)	--	(7.3)	--
Crystal township	59	55	54	(5)	(1)	(9.3)	(1.9)
Dayton township	53	50	49	(4)	(1)	(8.2)	(2.0)
Deer Creek township	67	62	62	(5)	--	(8.1)	--
Freedom township	85	79	79	(6)	--	(7.6)	--
Glenwood township	42	39	39	(3)	--	(7.7)	--
Granite township	21	19	19	(2)	--	(10.5)	--
Greenwood township	45	42	42	(3)	--	(7.1)	--
Bal. of Kirwin township	78	73	72	(6)	(1)	(8.3)	(1.4)
Bal. of Logan township	63	56	56	(7)	--	(12.5)	--
Bal. of Long Island township	96	90	89	(7)	(1)	(7.9)	(1.1)
Mound township	129	120	119	(10)	(1)	(8.4)	(0.8)
Phillipsburg township	257	227	216	(41)	(11)	(19.0)	(5.1)
Plainview township	23	22	21	(2)	(1)	(9.5)	(4.8)
Bal. of Plum township	141	132	130	(11)	(2)	(8.5)	(1.5)
Bal. of Prairie View township	79	73	73	(6)	--	(8.2)	--
Rushville township	23	22	21	(2)	(1)	(9.5)	(4.8)
Bal. of Solomon township	112	105	104	(8)	(1)	(7.7)	(1.0)
Sumner township	43	40	40	(3)	--	(7.5)	--
Towanda township	26	24	24	(2)	--	(8.3)	--
Valley township	22	20	20	(2)	--	(10.0)	--
Walnut township	14	13	13	(1)	--	(7.7)	--
<b>Pottawatomie County</b>							
Belvue city	18,209	19,129	19,220	1,011	91	5.3	0.5
Emmett city	228	223	219	(9)	(4)	(4.1)	(1.8)
Havensville city	277	270	265	(12)	(5)	(4.5)	(1.9)
Havensville city	146	147	144	(2)	(3)	(1.4)	(2.1)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Pottawatomie County (cont'd)</b>							
Louisville city	209	211	208	(1)	(3)	(0.5)	(1.4)
Manhattan city (pt.)	3	35	48	45	13	93.8	27.1
Olsburg city	192	191	192	--	1	--	0.5
Onaga city	704	683	673	(31)	(10)	(4.6)	(1.5)
St. George city	434	495	505	71	10	14.1	2.0
St. Marys city (pt.)	2,198	2,252	2,233	35	(19)	1.6	(0.9)
Wamego city	4,246	4,243	4,248	2	5	--	0.1
Westmoreland city	631	655	737	106	82	14.4	11.1
Wheaton city	92	93	91	(1)	(2)	(1.1)	(2.2)
Bal. of Pottawatomie County	8,849	9,631	9,657	808	26	8.4	0.3
Bal. of Belvue township	176	206	212	36	6	17.0	2.8
Blue township	1,802	1,890	1,869	67	(21)	3.6	(1.1)
Bal. of Blue Valley township	147	179	186	39	7	21.0	3.8
Center township	104	124	128	24	4	18.8	3.1
Clear Creek township	113	131	135	22	4	16.3	3.0
Bal. of Emmett township	201	229	232	31	3	13.4	1.3
Bal. of Grant township	147	170	173	26	3	15.0	1.7
Green township	188	218	223	35	5	15.7	2.2
Lincoln township	124	146	151	27	5	17.9	3.3
Bal. of Lone Tree township	147	171	176	29	5	16.5	2.8
Bal. of Louisville township	526	566	567	41	1	7.2	0.2
Bal. of Mill Creek township	296	328	332	36	4	10.8	1.2
Bal. of Pottawatomie township	362	410	416	54	6	13.0	1.4
Bal. of Rock Creek township	159	178	183	24	5	13.1	2.7
St. Clere township	83	104	109	26	5	23.9	4.6
Bal. of St. George township	2,195	2,292	2,260	65	(32)	2.9	(1.4)
Bal. of St. Marys township	591	638	640	49	2	7.7	0.3
Shannon township	235	269	273	38	4	13.9	1.5
Sherman township	126	152	158	32	6	20.3	3.8
Spring Creek township	61	86	93	32	7	34.4	7.5
Union township	164	190	194	30	4	15.5	2.1
Vienna township	92	111	115	23	4	20.0	3.5
Bal. of Wamego township	810	843	832	22	(11)	2.6	(1.3)
<b>Pratt County</b>							
Byers city	50	50	49	(1)	(1)	(2.0)	(2.0)
Coats city	112	111	110	(2)	(1)	(1.8)	(0.9)
Cullison city	98	97	96	(2)	(1)	(2.1)	(1.0)
Iuka city	185	184	182	(3)	(2)	(1.6)	(1.1)
Pratt city	6,570	6,447	6,408	(162)	(39)	(2.5)	(0.6)
Preston city	164	162	161	(3)	(1)	(1.9)	(0.6)
Sawyer city	124	122	121	(3)	(1)	(2.5)	(0.8)
Bal. of Pratt County	2,344	2,323	2,309	(35)	(14)	(1.5)	(0.6)
Bal. of Township No. 6	401	398	395	(6)	(3)	(1.5)	(0.8)
Bal. of Township No. 7	181	180	178	(3)	(2)	(1.7)	(1.1)
Bal. of Township No. 8	131	129	128	(3)	(1)	(2.3)	(0.8)
Bal. of Township No. 9	219	216	215	(4)	(1)	(1.9)	(0.5)
Bal. of Township No. 10	79	78	78	(1)	--	(1.3)	--
Bal. of Township No. 11	372	368	366	(6)	(2)	(1.6)	(0.5)
Township No. 12	961	954	949	(12)	(5)	(1.3)	(0.5)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Rawlins County</b>	2,966	2,672	2,643	(323)	(29)	(12.2)	(1.1)
Atwood city	1,279	1,139	1,126	(153)	(13)	(13.6)	(1.2)
Herndon city	149	136	135	(14)	(1)	(10.4)	(0.7)
McDonald city	159	138	137	(22)	(1)	(16.1)	(0.7)
Bal. of Rawlins County	1,379	1,259	1,245	(134)	(14)	(10.8)	(1.1)
Achilles township	83	76	75	(8)	(1)	(10.7)	(1.3)
Bal. of Atwood township	51	47	47	(4)	--	(8.5)	--
Center township	363	329	325	(38)	(4)	(11.7)	(1.2)
Driftwood township	84	77	76	(8)	(1)	(10.5)	(1.3)
Bal. of Herl township	237	217	214	(23)	(3)	(10.7)	(1.4)
Jefferson township	34	31	31	(3)	--	(9.7)	--
Ludell township	136	124	123	(13)	(1)	(10.6)	(0.8)
Mirage township	43	39	39	(4)	--	(10.3)	--
Bal. of Rocewood township	289	265	262	(27)	(3)	(10.3)	(1.1)
Union township	59	54	53	(6)	(1)	(11.3)	(1.9)
<b>Reno County</b>	64,790	63,558	63,706	(1,084)	148	(1.7)	0.2
Abbyville city	128	126	126	(2)	--	(1.6)	--
Arlington city	459	440	439	(20)	(1)	(4.6)	(0.2)
Buhler city	1,358	1,335	1,340	(18)	5	(1.3)	0.4
Haven city	1,175	1,170	1,173	(2)	3	(0.2)	0.3
Hutchinson city	40,787	40,961	41,085	298	124	0.7	0.3
Langdon city	72	72	72	--	--	--	--
Nickerson city	1,194	1,164	1,165	(29)	1	(2.5)	0.1
Partridge city	259	266	265	6	(1)	2.3	(0.4)
Plevna city	99	99	99	--	--	--	--
Pretty Prairie city	615	600	601	(14)	1	(2.3)	0.2
South Hutchinson city	2,539	2,481	2,486	(53)	5	(2.1)	0.2
Sylvia city	297	297	297	--	--	--	--
Turon city	436	436	435	(1)	(1)	(0.2)	(0.2)
Willowbrook city	36	88	88	52	--	59.1	--
Bal. of Reno County	15,336	14,023	14,035	(1,301)	12	(9.3)	0.1
Bal. of Albion township	222	223	223	1	--	0.4	--
Bal. of Arlington township	162	164	165	3	1	1.8	0.6
Bell township	87	89	90	3	1	3.3	1.1
Castleton township	256	259	261	5	2	1.9	0.8
Bal. of Center township	413	405	406	(7)	1	(1.7)	0.2
Clay township	3,302	2,148	2,141	(1,161)	(7)	(54.2)	(0.3)
Enterprise township	139	140	141	2	1	1.4	0.7
Bal. of Grant township	1,271	1,200	1,199	(72)	(1)	(6.0)	(0.1)
Grove township	64	67	68	4	1	5.9	1.5
Bal. of Haven township	417	403	404	(13)	1	(3.2)	0.2
Hayes township	106	114	117	11	3	9.4	2.6
Huntsville township	118	120	121	3	1	2.5	0.8
Bal. of Langdon township	88	90	91	3	1	3.3	1.1
Lincoln township	703	694	694	(9)	--	(1.3)	--
Bal. of Little River township	523	510	511	(12)	1	(2.3)	0.2
Loda township	119	122	123	4	1	3.3	0.8
Medford township	144	145	146	2	1	1.4	0.7
Medora township	1,594	1,567	1,565	(29)	(2)	(1.9)	(0.1)
Bal. of Miami township	85	89	91	6	2	6.6	2.2

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Reno County (cont'd)</b>							
Ninnescah township	226	226	226	--	--	--	--
Bal. of Plevna township	136	138	139	3	1	2.2	0.7
Bal. of Reno township	1,957	1,919	1,917	(40)	(2)	(2.1)	(0.1)
Bal. of Roscoe township	108	111	112	4	1	3.6	0.9
Salt Creek township	483	484	484	1	--	0.2	--
Sumner township	547	543	543	(4)	--	(0.7)	--
Bal. of Sylvia township	96	98	99	3	1	3.0	1.0
Troy township	112	115	116	4	1	3.4	0.9
Valley township	887	876	877	(10)	1	(1.1)	0.1
Walnut township	114	116	117	3	1	2.6	0.9
Bal. of Westminster township	115	117	118	3	1	2.5	0.8
Yoder township	742	731	730	(12)	(1)	(1.6)	(0.1)
<b>Republic County</b>							
Agenda city	81	73	70	(11)	(3)	(15.7)	(4.3)
Belleville city	2,239	1,956	1,911	(328)	(45)	(17.2)	(2.4)
Courtland city	334	291	313	(21)	22	(6.7)	7.0
Cuba city	231	208	200	(31)	(8)	(15.5)	(4.0)
Munden city	122	110	106	(16)	(4)	(15.1)	(3.8)
Narka city	93	84	81	(12)	(3)	(14.8)	(3.7)
Republic city	161	145	139	(22)	(6)	(15.8)	(4.3)
Scandia city	436	374	360	(76)	(14)	(21.1)	(3.9)
Bal. of Republic County	2,138	1,923	1,853	(285)	(70)	(15.4)	(3.8)
Bal. of Albion township	81	73	70	(11)	(3)	(15.7)	(4.3)
Beaver township	137	123	118	(19)	(5)	(16.1)	(4.2)
Belleville township	231	209	203	(28)	(6)	(13.8)	(3.0)
Bal. of Big Bend township	94	84	81	(13)	(3)	(16.0)	(3.7)
Bal. of Courtland township	116	104	100	(16)	(4)	(16.0)	(4.0)
Bal. of Elk Creek township	94	84	81	(13)	(3)	(16.0)	(3.7)
Bal. of Fairview township	102	92	89	(13)	(3)	(14.6)	(3.4)
Farmington township	81	73	70	(11)	(3)	(15.7)	(4.3)
Freedom township	186	168	162	(24)	(6)	(14.8)	(3.7)
Grant township	77	69	67	(10)	(2)	(14.9)	(3.0)
Jefferson township	107	96	92	(15)	(4)	(16.3)	(4.3)
Liberty township	52	47	45	(7)	(2)	(15.6)	(4.4)
Lincoln township	103	93	90	(13)	(3)	(14.4)	(3.3)
Norway township	163	146	141	(22)	(5)	(15.6)	(3.5)
Bal. of Richland township	87	78	75	(12)	(3)	(16.0)	(4.0)
Bal. of Rose Creek township	101	91	87	(14)	(4)	(16.1)	(4.6)
Bal. of Scandia township	105	94	91	(14)	(3)	(15.4)	(3.3)
Union township	51	46	44	(7)	(2)	(15.9)	(4.5)
Bal. of Washington township	82	74	71	(11)	(3)	(15.5)	(4.2)
White Rock township	88	79	76	(12)	(3)	(15.8)	(3.9)
<b>Rice County</b>							
Alden city	10,761	10,452	10,295	(466)	(157)	(4.5)	(1.5)
Bushon city	168	161	158	(10)	(3)	(6.3)	(1.9)
Bushton city	314	298	293	(21)	(5)	(7.2)	(1.7)
Chase city	490	467	459	(31)	(8)	(6.8)	(1.7)
Frederick city	11	11	11	--	--	--	--
Geneseo city	272	271	267	(5)	(4)	(1.9)	(1.5)
Little River city	536	528	527	(9)	(1)	(1.7)	(0.2)



**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Rice County (cont'd)</b>							
Lyons city	3,732	3,554	3,489	(243)	(65)	(7.0)	(1.9)
Raymond city	95	95	93	(2)	(2)	(2.2)	(2.2)
Sterling city	2,642	2,576	2,549	(93)	(27)	(3.6)	(1.1)
Bal. of Rice County	2,501	2,491	2,449	(52)	(42)	(2.1)	(1.7)
Atlanta township	233	231	228	(5)	(3)	(2.2)	(1.3)
Bell township	18	19	18	--	(1)	--	(5.6)
Center township	136	135	133	(3)	(2)	(2.3)	(1.5)
East Washington township	179	180	177	(2)	(3)	(1.1)	(1.7)
Bal. of Eureka township	54	54	53	(1)	(1)	(1.9)	(1.9)
Bal. of Farmer township	127	126	124	(3)	(2)	(2.4)	(1.6)
Galt township	51	51	50	(1)	(1)	(2.0)	(2.0)
Harrison township	192	191	188	(4)	(3)	(2.1)	(1.6)
Bal. of Lincoln township	87	87	85	(2)	(2)	(2.4)	(2.4)
Mitchell township	131	130	128	(3)	(2)	(2.3)	(1.6)
Odessa township	55	55	54	(1)	(1)	(1.9)	(1.9)
Pioneer township	101	101	99	(2)	(2)	(2.0)	(2.0)
Bal. of Raymond township	90	90	88	(2)	(2)	(2.3)	(2.3)
Rockville township	134	133	131	(3)	(2)	(2.3)	(1.5)
Sterling township	223	223	218	(5)	(5)	(2.3)	(2.3)
Bal. of Union township	205	203	200	(5)	(3)	(2.5)	(1.5)
Bal. of Valley township	108	108	106	(2)	(2)	(1.9)	(1.9)
Bal. of Victoria township	92	91	90	(2)	(1)	(2.2)	(1.1)
West Washington township	138	137	135	(3)	(2)	(2.2)	(1.5)
Wilson township	147	146	144	(3)	(2)	(2.1)	(1.4)
<b>Riley County</b>							
Leonardville city	62,843	62,826	62,527	(316)	(299)	(0.5)	(0.5)
Manhattan city (pt.)	398	354	288	(110)	(66)	(38.2)	(22.9)
Manhattan city (pt.)	44,828	48,633	50,689	5,861	2,056	11.6	4.1
Ogden city	1,762	1,432	1,126	(636)	(306)	(56.5)	(27.2)
Randolph city	175	135	107	(68)	(28)	(63.6)	(26.2)
Riley city	886	692	551	(335)	(141)	(60.8)	(25.6)
Bal. of Riley County	14,794	11,580	9,766	(5,028)	(1,814)	(51.5)	(18.6)
Ashland township	150	122	96	(54)	(26)	(56.3)	(27.1)
Bal. of Bala township	364	242	190	(174)	(52)	(91.6)	(27.4)
Center township	81	69	55	(26)	(14)	(47.3)	(25.5)
Fancy Creek township	126	103	81	(45)	(22)	(55.6)	(27.2)
Grant township	833	645	504	(329)	(141)	(65.3)	(28.0)
Bal. of Jackson township	151	123	97	(54)	(26)	(55.7)	(26.8)
Bal. of Madison township	7,287	6,391	5,711	(1,576)	(680)	(27.6)	(11.9)
Manhattan township	3,275	2,048	1,579	(1,696)	(469)	(107.4)	(29.7)
May Day township	78	65	52	(26)	(13)	(50.0)	(25.0)
Bal. of Ogden township	661	369	288	(373)	(81)	(129.5)	(28.1)
Sherman township	524	404	315	(209)	(89)	(66.3)	(28.3)
Swede Creek township	157	129	102	(55)	(27)	(53.9)	(26.5)
Wildcat township	750	582	470	(280)	(112)	(59.6)	(23.8)
Zeandale township	357	288	226	(131)	(62)	(58.0)	(27.4)
<b>Rooks County</b>							
Damar city	5,685	5,351	5,290	(395)	(61)	(7.5)	(1.2)
Damar city	155	150	148	(7)	(2)	(4.7)	(1.4)
Palco city	248	228	225	(23)	(3)	(10.2)	(1.3)
Plainville city	2,029	1,889	1,866	(163)	(23)	(8.7)	(1.2)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Rooks County (cont'd)</b>							
Stockton city	1,558	1,453	1,439	(119)	(14)	(8.3)	(1.0)
Woodston city	116	111	110	(6)	(1)	(5.5)	(0.9)
Zurich city	126	121	120	(6)	(1)	(5.0)	(0.8)
Bal. of Rooks County	1,453	1,399	1,382	(71)	(17)	(5.1)	(1.2)
Bal. of Township No. 1	164	157	155	(9)	(2)	(5.8)	(1.3)
Bal. of Township No. 2	193	186	184	(9)	(2)	(4.9)	(1.1)
Bal. of Township No. 3	120	116	115	(5)	(1)	(4.3)	(0.9)
Bal. of Township No. 4	24	23	23	(1)	--	(4.3)	--
Township No. 5	74	71	71	(3)	--	(4.2)	--
Township No. 6	60	58	57	(3)	(1)	(5.3)	(1.8)
Bal. of Township No. 7	63	61	60	(3)	(1)	(5.0)	(1.7)
Bal. of Township No. 8	96	92	91	(5)	(1)	(5.5)	(1.1)
Township No. 9	51	49	49	(2)	--	(4.1)	--
Bal. of Township No. 10	71	68	68	(3)	--	(4.4)	--
Bal. of Township No. 11	351	341	334	(17)	(7)	(5.1)	(2.1)
Township No. 12	183	177	175	(8)	(2)	(4.6)	(1.1)
<b>Rush County</b>							
Alexander city	75	70	68	(7)	(2)	(10.3)	(2.9)
Bison city	235	220	214	(21)	(6)	(9.8)	(2.8)
La Crosse city	1,376	1,305	1,274	(102)	(31)	(8.0)	(2.4)
Liebenthal city	111	108	105	(6)	(3)	(5.7)	(2.9)
McCracken city	211	203	197	(14)	(6)	(7.1)	(3.0)
Otis city	325	319	311	(14)	(8)	(4.5)	(2.6)
Rush Center city	176	174	169	(7)	(5)	(4.1)	(3.0)
Timken city	83	81	79	(4)	(2)	(5.1)	(2.5)
Bal. of Rush County	959	926	900	(59)	(26)	(6.6)	(2.9)
Bal. of Alex.--Belle Prairie twnshp.	64	62	60	(4)	(2)	(6.7)	(3.3)
Bal. of Banner township	108	104	101	(7)	(3)	(6.9)	(3.0)
Bal. of Big Timber township	53	51	50	(3)	(1)	(6.0)	(2.0)
Bal. of Center township	80	77	75	(5)	(2)	(6.7)	(2.7)
Garfield township	132	128	124	(8)	(4)	(6.5)	(3.2)
Bal. of Hampton--Fairview twnshp.	93	90	87	(6)	(3)	(6.9)	(3.4)
Illinois township	47	45	44	(3)	(1)	(6.8)	(2.3)
Bal. of La Crosse--Brookdale twnshp.	99	95	93	(6)	(2)	(6.5)	(2.2)
Bal. of Lone Star township	84	81	79	(5)	(2)	(6.3)	(2.5)
Bal. of Pioneer township	101	98	95	(6)	(3)	(6.3)	(3.2)
Pleasantdale township	33	32	31	(2)	(1)	(6.5)	(3.2)
Union township	65	63	61	(4)	(2)	(6.6)	(3.3)
<b>Russell County</b>							
Bunker Hill city	101	95	93	(8)	(2)	(8.6)	(2.2)
Dorrance city	205	189	186	(19)	(3)	(10.2)	(1.6)
Gorham city	360	334	328	(32)	(6)	(9.8)	(1.8)
Lucas city	436	422	416	(20)	(6)	(4.8)	(1.4)
Luray city	203	186	183	(20)	(3)	(10.9)	(1.6)
Paradise city	64	62	60	(4)	(2)	(6.7)	(3.3)
Russell city	4,696	4,342	4,280	(416)	(62)	(9.7)	(1.4)
Waldo city	48	46	45	(3)	(1)	(6.7)	(2.2)
Bal. of Russell County	1,257	1,169	1,149	(108)	(20)	(9.4)	(1.7)
Bal. of Big Creek township	155	143	141	(14)	(2)	(9.9)	(1.4)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Russell County (cont'd)</b>							
Bal. of Center township	154	143	140	(14)	(3)	(10.0)	(2.1)
Fairfield township	42	39	38	(4)	(1)	(10.5)	(2.6)
Bal. of Fairview township	90	82	81	(9)	(1)	(11.1)	(1.2)
Grant township	159	152	150	(9)	(2)	(6.0)	(1.3)
Lincoln township	147	136	133	(14)	(3)	(10.5)	(2.3)
Bal. of Luray township	67	62	61	(6)	(1)	(9.8)	(1.6)
Bal. of Paradise township	105	98	96	(9)	(2)	(9.4)	(2.1)
Bal. of Plymouth township	114	106	104	(10)	(2)	(9.6)	(1.9)
Russell township	89	83	82	(7)	(1)	(8.5)	(1.2)
Bal. of Waldo township	60	56	55	(5)	(1)	(9.1)	(1.8)
Winterset township	75	69	68	(7)	(1)	(10.3)	(1.5)
<b>Saline County</b>							
Assaria city	438	444	446	8	2	1.8	0.4
Brookville city	259	253	253	(6)	--	(2.4)	--
Gypsum city	414	399	398	(16)	(1)	(4.0)	(0.3)
New Cambria city	150	152	159	9	7	5.7	4.4
Salina city	45,679	45,956	46,140	461	184	1.0	0.4
Smolan city	218	211	212	(6)	1	(2.8)	0.5
Solomon city (pt.)	1	1	1	--	--	--	--
Bal. of Saline County	6,438	6,503	6,561	123	58	1.9	0.9
Bal. of Cambria township	300	304	301	1	(3)	0.3	(1.0)
Bal. of Dayton township	133	140	143	10	3	7.0	2.1
Elm Creek township	828	834	842	14	8	1.7	1.0
Bal. of Eureka township	250	256	261	11	5	4.2	1.9
Falun township	260	274	279	19	5	6.8	1.8
Glendale township	104	111	114	10	3	8.8	2.6
Greeley township	809	757	760	(49)	3	(6.4)	0.4
Gypsum township	193	200	202	9	2	4.5	1.0
Liberty township	183	190	192	9	2	4.7	1.0
Ohio township	463	468	472	9	4	1.9	0.8
Pleasant Valley township	422	433	436	14	3	3.2	0.7
Smoky Hill township	324	318	320	(4)	2	(1.3)	0.6
Bal. of Smoky View township	516	522	525	9	3	1.7	0.6
Bal. of Smolan township	531	534	538	7	4	1.3	0.7
Solomon township	311	319	322	11	3	3.4	0.9
Bal. of Spring Creek township	136	152	156	20	4	12.8	2.6
Walnut township	553	560	565	12	5	2.1	0.9
Washington township	122	131	133	11	2	8.3	1.5
<b>Scott County</b>							
Scott City city	3,855	3,474	3,506	(349)	32	(10.0)	0.9
Bal. of Scott County	1,265	1,126	1,137	(128)	11	(11.3)	1.0
Beaver township	302	270	272	(30)	2	(11.0)	0.7
Isbel township	110	98	99	(11)	1	(11.1)	1.0
Keystone township	106	94	95	(11)	1	(11.6)	1.1
Lake township	95	85	85	(10)	--	(11.8)	--
Michigan township	88	78	79	(9)	1	(11.4)	1.3
Scott township	309	274	278	(31)	4	(11.2)	1.4
Valley township	255	227	229	(26)	2	(11.4)	0.9

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Sedgwick County</b>	452,869	466,061	470,895	18,026	4,834	3.8	1.0
Andale city	766	808	828	62	20	7.5	2.4
Bel Aire city	5,836	6,557	6,653	817	96	12.3	1.4
Bentley city	368	442	469	101	27	21.5	5.8
Cheney city	1,783	1,843	1,963	180	120	9.2	6.1
Clearwater city	2,178	2,214	2,293	115	79	5.0	3.4
Colwich city	1,229	1,328	1,364	135	36	9.9	2.6
Derby city	17,807	20,543	21,101	3,294	558	15.6	2.6
Eastborough city	826	790	794	(32)	4	(4.0)	0.5
Garden Plain city	797	823	838	41	15	4.9	1.8
Goddard city	2,037	3,337	3,549	1,512	212	42.6	6.0
Haysville city	8,502	9,817	10,029	1,527	212	15.2	2.1
Kechi city	1,038	1,242	1,610	572	368	35.5	22.9
Maize city	1,868	2,117	2,684	816	567	30.4	21.1
Mount Hope city	830	842	853	23	11	2.7	1.3
Mulvane city (pt.)	4,154	4,574	4,694	540	120	11.5	2.6
Park City city	5,814	7,173	7,412	1,598	239	21.6	3.2
Sedgwick city (pt.)	211	225	227	16	2	7.0	0.9
Valley Center city	4,883	5,508	5,958	1,075	450	18.0	7.6
Viola city	211	213	213	2	--	0.9	--
Wichita city	344,284	354,865	357,698	13,414	2,833	3.8	0.8
Bal. of Sedgwick County	47,447	40,800	39,665	(7,782)	(1,135)	(19.6)	(2.9)
Afton township	1,290	1,357	1,368	78	11	5.7	0.8
Bal. of Attica township	2,922	2,628	2,596	(326)	(32)	(12.6)	(1.2)
Delano township	196	44	48	(148)	4	(308.3)	8.3
Bal. of Eagle township	701	767	775	74	8	9.5	1.0
Erie township	106	170	180	74	10	41.1	5.6
Bal. of Garden Plain township	983	1,051	1,062	79	11	7.4	1.0
Grand River township	607	675	685	78	10	11.4	1.5
Bal. of Grant township	1,577	1,485	1,118	(459)	(367)	(41.1)	(32.8)
Bal. of Greeley township	264	304	308	44	4	14.3	1.3
Bal. of Gypsum township	5,807	5,769	5,668	(139)	(101)	(2.5)	(1.8)
Bal. of Illinois township	1,620	1,693	1,701	81	8	4.8	0.5
Bal. of Kechi township	784	504	442	(342)	(62)	(77.4)	(14.0)
Lincoln township	473	529	538	65	9	12.1	1.7
Bal. of Minneha township	4,258	3,050	3,054	(1,204)	4	(39.4)	0.1
Bal. of Morton township	597	668	648	51	(20)	7.9	(3.1)
Bal. of Ninneseah township	735	797	761	26	(36)	3.4	(4.7)
Bal. of Ohio township	1,146	1,186	1,194	48	8	4.0	0.7
Bal. of Park township	2,132	1,887	1,644	(488)	(243)	(29.7)	(14.8)
Bal. of Payne township	1,119	954	892	(227)	(62)	(25.4)	(7.0)
Bal. of Riveside township	7,429	5,060	5,026	(2,403)	(34)	(47.8)	(0.7)
Bal. of Rockford township	1,869	1,621	1,628	(241)	7	(14.8)	0.4
Bal. of Salem township	4,379	4,373	4,371	(8)	(2)	(0.2)	--
Bal. of Sherman township	596	670	682	86	12	12.6	1.8
Bal. of Union township	927	1,004	908	(19)	(96)	(2.1)	(10.6)
Bal. of Valley Center township	1,214	1,283	1,084	(130)	(199)	(12.0)	(18.4)
Bal. of Viola township	336	402	413	77	11	18.6	2.7
Bal. of Waco township	3,380	869	871	(2,509)	2	(288.1)	0.2
<b>Seward County</b>	22,510	23,274	23,404	894	130	3.8	0.6
Kismet city	484	521	522	38	1	7.3	0.2

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Seward County (cont'd)</b>							
Liberal city	19,666	20,257	20,384	718	127	3.5	0.6
Bal. of Seward County	2,360	2,496	2,498	138	2	5.5	0.1
Bal. of Fargo township	1,200	1,273	1,272	72	(1)	5.7	(0.1)
Liberal township	803	847	849	46	2	5.4	0.2
Seward township	357	376	377	20	1	5.3	0.3
<b>Shawnee County</b>							
Auburn city	1,121	1,131	1,131	10	--	0.9	--
Rossville city	1,014	996	1,012	(2)	16	(0.2)	1.6
Silver Lake city	1,358	1,352	1,358	--	6	--	0.4
Topeka city	122,377	121,946	122,113	(264)	167	(0.2)	0.1
Willard city (pt.)	86	84	83	(3)	(1)	(3.6)	(1.2)
Bal. of Shawnee County	43,915	46,856	46,996	3,081	140	6.6	0.3
Bal. of Auburn township	1,666	1,994	2,032	366	38	18.0	1.9
Bal. of Dover township	1,648	1,948	1,983	335	35	16.9	1.8
Grove township	473	651	672	199	21	29.6	3.1
Menoken township	1,371	1,628	1,657	286	29	17.3	1.8
Mission township	9,070	9,281	9,142	72	(139)	0.8	(1.5)
Monmouth township	2,786	3,150	3,187	401	37	12.6	1.2
Bal. of Rossville township	667	986	1,026	359	40	35.0	3.9
Bal. of Silver Lake township	591	702	714	123	12	17.2	1.7
Soldier township	12,867	13,442	13,471	604	29	4.5	0.2
Tecumseh township	7,822	7,764	7,780	(42)	16	(0.5)	0.2
Topeka township	931	1,003	1,003	72	--	7.2	--
Williamsport township	4,023	4,307	4,329	306	22	7.1	0.5
<b>Sheridan County</b>							
Hoxie city	1,244	1,149	1,153	(91)	4	(7.9)	0.3
Selden city	201	185	185	(16)	--	(8.6)	--
Bal. of Sheridan County	1,368	1,257	1,262	(106)	5	(8.4)	0.4
Adell township	13	12	12	(1)	--	(8.3)	--
Bloomfield township	45	41	41	(4)	--	(9.8)	--
Bowcreek township	54	50	50	(4)	--	(8.0)	--
East Saline township	66	60	61	(5)	1	(8.2)	1.6
Bal. of Kenneth township	186	172	172	(14)	--	(8.1)	--
Logan township	115	106	106	(9)	--	(8.5)	--
Parnell township	104	96	96	(8)	--	(8.3)	--
Prairie Dog township	71	65	65	(6)	--	(9.2)	--
Bal. of Sheridan township	68	62	63	(5)	1	(7.9)	1.6
Solomon township	248	227	229	(19)	2	(8.3)	0.9
Springbrook township	108	99	99	(9)	--	(9.1)	--
Union township	60	55	56	(4)	1	(7.1)	1.8
Valley township	139	128	128	(11)	--	(8.6)	--
West Saline township	91	84	84	(7)	--	(8.3)	--
<b>Sherman County</b>							
Goodland city	6,760	6,153	5,981	(779)	(172)	(13.0)	(2.9)
Kanorado city	4,948	4,485	4,361	(587)	(124)	(13.5)	(2.8)
Bal. of Sherman County	248	228	221	(27)	(7)	(12.2)	(3.2)
Grant township	1,564	1,440	1,399	(165)	(41)	(11.8)	(2.9)
Iowa township	115	105	102	(13)	(3)	(12.7)	(2.9)
Iowa township	44	41	40	(4)	(1)	(10.0)	(2.5)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Sherman County (cont'd)</b>							
Itasca township	321	296	289	(32)	(7)	(11.1)	(2.4)
Lincoln township	95	87	84	(11)	(3)	(13.1)	(3.6)
Llanos township	43	40	38	(5)	(2)	(13.2)	(5.3)
Logan township	246	227	220	(26)	(7)	(11.8)	(3.2)
McPherson township	52	48	46	(6)	(2)	(13.0)	(4.3)
Shermanville township	51	47	45	(6)	(2)	(13.3)	(4.4)
Smoky township	87	81	78	(9)	(3)	(11.5)	(3.8)
Bal. of Stateline township	96	88	86	(10)	(2)	(11.6)	(2.3)
Union township	56	51	50	(6)	(1)	(12.0)	(2.0)
Voltaire township	252	232	226	(26)	(6)	(11.5)	(2.7)
Washington township	106	97	95	(11)	(2)	(11.6)	(2.1)
<b>Smith County</b>							
Athol city	51	47	46	(5)	(1)	(10.9)	(2.2)
Cedar city	26	24	23	(3)	(1)	(13.0)	(4.3)
Gaylord city	145	128	124	(21)	(4)	(16.9)	(3.2)
Kensington city	529	490	480	(49)	(10)	(10.2)	(2.1)
Lebanon city	303	278	272	(31)	(6)	(11.4)	(2.2)
Smith Center city	1,931	1,725	1,684	(247)	(41)	(14.7)	(2.4)
Bal. of Smith County	1,551	1,429	1,395	(156)	(34)	(11.2)	(2.4)
Banner township	54	50	49	(5)	(1)	(10.2)	(2.0)
Beaver township	60	55	54	(6)	(1)	(11.1)	(1.9)
Blaine township	60	55	54	(6)	(1)	(11.1)	(1.9)
Bal. of Cedar township	90	83	81	(9)	(2)	(11.1)	(2.5)
Bal. of Center township	163	153	146	(17)	(7)	(11.6)	(4.8)
Cora township	38	35	34	(4)	(1)	(11.8)	(2.9)
Crystal Plains township	40	37	36	(4)	(1)	(11.1)	(2.8)
Dor township	46	42	41	(5)	(1)	(12.2)	(2.4)
Garfield township	33	30	30	(3)	--	(10.0)	--
German township	34	31	31	(3)	--	(9.7)	--
Harlan township	100	92	90	(10)	(2)	(11.1)	(2.2)
Bal. of Harvey township	104	96	94	(10)	(2)	(10.6)	(2.1)
Bal. of Houston township	61	56	55	(6)	(1)	(10.9)	(1.8)
Bal. of Lane township	83	77	75	(8)	(2)	(10.7)	(2.7)
Lincoln township	73	67	65	(8)	(2)	(12.3)	(3.1)
Logan township	47	43	42	(5)	(1)	(11.9)	(2.4)
Martin township	24	22	22	(2)	--	(9.1)	--
Bal. of Oak township	96	88	86	(10)	(2)	(11.6)	(2.3)
Pawnee township	35	32	31	(4)	(1)	(12.9)	(3.2)
Pleasant township	34	31	31	(3)	--	(9.7)	--
Swan township	42	39	38	(4)	(1)	(10.5)	(2.6)
Valley township	75	69	67	(8)	(2)	(11.9)	(3.0)
Washington township	63	58	57	(6)	(1)	(10.5)	(1.8)
Webster township	47	43	42	(5)	(1)	(11.9)	(2.4)
White Rock township	49	45	44	(5)	(1)	(11.4)	(2.3)
<b>Stafford County</b>							
Hudson city	133	127	126	(7)	(1)	(5.6)	(0.8)
Macksville city	514	494	489	(25)	(5)	(5.1)	(1.0)
Radium city	40	38	38	(2)	--	(5.3)	--
St. John city	1,318	1,215	1,200	(118)	(15)	(9.8)	(1.3)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Stafford County (cont'd)</b>							
Seward city	63	61	60	(3)	(1)	(5.0)	(1.7)
Stafford city	1,161	1,067	1,054	(107)	(13)	(10.2)	(1.2)
Bal. of Stafford County	1,560	1,486	1,468	(92)	(18)	(6.3)	(1.2)
Albano township	56	53	53	(3)	--	(5.7)	--
Byron township	80	77	76	(4)	(1)	(5.3)	(1.3)
Clear Creek township	36	34	34	(2)	--	(5.9)	--
Cleveland township	68	65	64	(4)	(1)	(6.3)	(1.6)
Bal. of Douglas township	108	103	102	(6)	(1)	(5.9)	(1.0)
East Cooper township	90	86	85	(5)	(1)	(5.9)	(1.2)
Fairview township	98	93	92	(6)	(1)	(6.5)	(1.1)
Bal. of Farmington township	77	73	73	(4)	--	(5.5)	--
Bal. of Hayes township	79	75	74	(5)	(1)	(6.8)	(1.4)
Bal. of Lincoln township	103	98	97	(6)	(1)	(6.2)	(1.0)
Bal. of North Seward township	123	118	116	(7)	(2)	(6.0)	(1.7)
Bal. of Ohio township	71	68	67	(4)	(1)	(6.0)	(1.5)
Putnam township	19	18	18	(1)	--	(5.6)	--
Richland township	70	67	66	(4)	(1)	(6.1)	(1.5)
Rose Valley township	74	70	69	(5)	(1)	(7.2)	(1.4)
Bal. of St. John township	57	54	54	(3)	--	(5.6)	--
South Seward township	55	52	52	(3)	--	(5.8)	--
Bal. of Stafford township	130	123	120	(10)	(3)	(8.3)	(2.5)
Union township	41	39	38	(3)	(1)	(7.9)	(2.6)
West Cooper township	64	61	60	(4)	(1)	(6.7)	(1.7)
York township	61	59	58	(3)	(1)	(5.2)	(1.7)
<b>Stanton County</b>							
Johnson City city	2,406	2,245	2,232	(174)	(13)	(7.8)	(0.6)
Manter city	1,528	1,411	1,405	(123)	(6)	(8.8)	(0.4)
Manter city	178	169	168	(10)	(1)	(6.0)	(0.6)
Bal. of Stanton County	700	665	659	(41)	(6)	(6.2)	(0.9)
<b>Stevens County</b>							
Hugoton city	5,463	5,412	5,287	(176)	(125)	(3.3)	(2.4)
Hugoton city	3,708	3,644	3,560	(148)	(84)	(4.2)	(2.4)
Moscow city	247	251	245	(2)	(6)	(0.8)	(2.4)
Bal. of Stevens County	1,508	1,517	1,482	(26)	(35)	(1.8)	(2.4)
<b>Sumner County</b>							
Argonia city	25,946	24,797	24,441	(1,505)	(356)	(6.2)	(1.5)
Argonia city	534	500	491	(43)	(9)	(8.8)	(1.8)
Belle Plaine city	1,708	1,618	1,591	(117)	(27)	(7.4)	(1.7)
Caldwell city	1,284	1,215	1,192	(92)	(23)	(7.7)	(1.9)
Conway Springs city	1,322	1,253	1,233	(89)	(20)	(7.2)	(1.6)
Geuda Springs city (pt.)	199	194	190	(9)	(4)	(4.7)	(2.1)
Hunnewell city	83	80	79	(4)	(1)	(5.1)	(1.3)
Mayfield city	113	110	108	(5)	(2)	(4.6)	(1.9)
Milan city	137	132	130	(7)	(2)	(5.4)	(1.5)
Mulvane city (pt.)	1,001	1,054	1,061	60	7	5.7	0.7
Oxford city	1,173	1,117	1,102	(71)	(15)	(6.4)	(1.4)
South Haven city	390	368	361	(29)	(7)	(8.0)	(1.9)
Wellington city	8,647	8,098	7,991	(656)	(107)	(8.2)	(1.3)
Bal. of Sumner County	9,355	9,058	8,912	(443)	(146)	(5.0)	(1.6)
Avon township	319	310	305	(14)	(5)	(4.6)	(1.6)
Bal. of Belle Plaine township	1,796	1,721	1,688	(108)	(33)	(6.4)	(2.0)
Bluff township	74	75	74	--	(1)	--	(1.4)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Sumner County (cont'd)</b>							
Caldwell township	200	196	194	(6)	(2)	(3.1)	(1.0)
Chikaskia township	69	71	71	2	--	2.8	--
Bal. of Conway township	369	358	352	(17)	(6)	(4.8)	(1.7)
Creek township	241	235	231	(10)	(4)	(4.3)	(1.7)
Bal. of Dixon township	204	199	196	(8)	(3)	(4.1)	(1.5)
Downs township	159	155	153	(6)	(2)	(3.9)	(1.3)
Bal. of Eden township	452	433	426	(26)	(7)	(6.1)	(1.6)
Falls township	187	182	179	(8)	(3)	(4.5)	(1.7)
Bal. of Gore township	1,219	1,167	1,146	(73)	(21)	(6.4)	(1.8)
Greene township	80	83	82	2	(1)	2.4	(1.2)
Guelph township	164	163	161	(3)	(2)	(1.9)	(1.2)
Harmon township	277	268	264	(13)	(4)	(4.9)	(1.5)
Illinois township	178	175	172	(6)	(3)	(3.5)	(1.7)
Jackson township	153	150	148	(5)	(2)	(3.4)	(1.4)
London township	774	741	729	(45)	(12)	(6.2)	(1.6)
Morris township	35	38	38	3	--	7.9	--
Bal. of Osborne township	160	152	150	(10)	(2)	(6.7)	(1.3)
Bal. of Oxford township	230	225	221	(9)	(4)	(4.1)	(1.8)
Palestine township	249	242	237	(12)	(5)	(5.1)	(2.1)
Bal. of Ryan township	102	102	101	(1)	(1)	(1.0)	(1.0)
Seventy--Six township	238	224	221	(17)	(3)	(7.7)	(1.4)
Bal. of South Haven township	197	196	194	(3)	(2)	(1.5)	(1.0)
Bal. of Springdale township	356	346	341	(15)	(5)	(4.4)	(1.5)
Sumner township	150	148	146	(4)	(2)	(2.7)	(1.4)
Valverde township	147	145	143	(4)	(2)	(2.8)	(1.4)
Bal. of Walton township	232	228	225	(7)	(3)	(3.1)	(1.3)
Wellington township	344	330	324	(20)	(6)	(6.2)	(1.9)
<b>Thomas County</b>							
Brewster city	8,180	7,639	7,468	(712)	(171)	(9.5)	(2.3)
Colby city	285	262	255	(30)	(7)	(11.8)	(2.7)
Gem city	5,450	5,030	4,922	(528)	(108)	(10.7)	(2.2)
Menlo city	96	92	90	(6)	(2)	(6.7)	(2.2)
Oakley city (pt.)	57	55	53	(4)	(2)	(7.5)	(3.8)
Rexford city	55	51	50	(5)	(1)	(10.0)	(2.0)
Bal. of Thomas County	157	151	147	(10)	(4)	(6.8)	(2.7)
Barrett township	2,080	1,998	1,951	(129)	(47)	(6.6)	(2.4)
East Hale township	124	119	116	(8)	(3)	(6.9)	(2.6)
Kingery township	137	131	128	(9)	(3)	(7.0)	(2.3)
Bal. of Lacey township	93	89	87	(6)	(2)	(6.9)	(2.3)
Bal. of Menlo township	36	35	34	(2)	(1)	(5.9)	(2.9)
Morgan township	55	53	52	(3)	(1)	(5.8)	(1.9)
North Randall township	755	728	711	(44)	(17)	(6.2)	(2.4)
Rovohl township	107	102	100	(7)	(2)	(7.0)	(2.0)
Bal. of Smith township	143	137	134	(9)	(3)	(6.7)	(2.2)
Bal. of South Randall township	56	53	52	(4)	(1)	(7.7)	(1.9)
Summers township	212	203	198	(14)	(5)	(7.1)	(2.5)
Wendell township	197	189	184	(13)	(5)	(7.1)	(2.7)
Bal. of West Hale township	84	81	79	(5)	(2)	(6.3)	(2.5)
	81	78	76	(5)	(2)	(6.6)	(2.6)
<b>Trego County</b>							
Collyer city	3,319	3,050	2,993	(326)	(57)	(10.9)	(1.9)
	133	125	123	(10)	(2)	(8.1)	(1.6)



**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Trego County (cont'd)</b>							
WaKeeney city	1,924	1,773	1,739	(185)	(34)	(10.6)	(2.0)
Bal. of Trego County	1,262	1,152	1,131	(131)	(21)	(11.6)	(1.9)
Bal. of Collyer township	235	213	209	(26)	(4)	(12.4)	(1.9)
Franklin township	60	55	54	(6)	(1)	(11.1)	(1.9)
Glencoe township	70	63	62	(8)	(1)	(12.9)	(1.6)
Ogallah township	214	194	190	(24)	(4)	(12.6)	(2.1)
Riverside township	117	107	105	(12)	(2)	(11.4)	(1.9)
Bal. of WaKeeney township	474	436	429	(45)	(7)	(10.5)	(1.6)
Wilcox township	92	84	82	(10)	(2)	(12.2)	(2.4)
<b>Wabaunsee County</b>							
Alma city	797	764	758	(39)	(6)	(5.1)	(0.8)
Alta Vista city	442	425	421	(21)	(4)	(5.0)	(1.0)
Eskridge city	589	571	567	(22)	(4)	(3.9)	(0.7)
Harveyville city	267	252	252	(15)	--	(6.0)	--
McFarland city	271	264	268	(3)	4	(1.1)	1.5
Maple Hill city	469	492	492	23	--	4.7	--
Paxico city	211	212	210	(1)	(2)	(0.5)	(1.0)
St. Marys city (pt.)	--	1	1	1	--	100.0	--
Willard city (pt.)	--	--	1	1	1	--	--
Bal. of Wabaunsee County	3,839	3,938	3,925	86	(13)	2.2	(0.3)
Bal. of Alma township	340	344	342	2	(2)	0.6	(0.6)
Farmer township	119	130	132	13	2	9.8	1.5
Bal. of Garfield township	148	153	153	5	--	3.3	--
Bal. of Kaw township	242	247	246	4	(1)	1.6	(0.4)
Bal. of Maple Hill township	461	470	469	8	(1)	1.7	(0.2)
Mill Creek township	293	296	295	2	(1)	0.7	(0.3)
Mission Creek township	495	505	503	8	(2)	1.6	(0.4)
Bal. of Newbury township	563	575	571	8	(4)	1.4	(0.7)
Bal. of Plumb township	373	382	378	5	(4)	1.3	(1.1)
Rock Creek township	84	94	95	11	1	11.6	1.1
Wabaunsee township	455	463	461	6	(2)	1.3	(0.4)
Washington township	83	89	90	7	1	7.8	1.1
Bal. of Wilmington township	183	190	190	7	--	3.7	--
<b>Wallace County</b>							
Sharon Springs city	835	733	726	(109)	(7)	(15.0)	(1.0)
Wallace city	67	62	61	(6)	(1)	(9.8)	(1.6)
Bal. of Wallace County	847	778	770	(77)	(8)	(10.0)	(1.0)
Harrison township	85	78	77	(8)	(1)	(10.4)	(1.3)
Bal. of Sharon Springs township	261	240	238	(23)	(2)	(9.7)	(0.8)
Bal. of Wallace township	108	99	98	(10)	(1)	(10.2)	(1.0)
Weskan township	393	361	357	(36)	(4)	(10.1)	(1.1)
<b>Washington County</b>							
Barnes city	152	142	140	(12)	(2)	(8.6)	(1.4)
Clifton city (pt.)	323	288	284	(39)	(4)	(13.7)	(1.4)
Greenleaf city	357	328	325	(32)	(3)	(9.8)	(0.9)
Haddam city	169	158	156	(13)	(2)	(8.3)	(1.3)
Hanover city	653	593	583	(70)	(10)	(12.0)	(1.7)
Hollenberg city	31	29	28	(3)	(1)	(10.7)	(3.6)
Linn city	425	388	384	(41)	(4)	(10.7)	(1.0)

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Washington County (cont'd)</b>							
Mahaska city	107	100	98	(9)	(2)	(9.2)	(2.0)
Morrowville city	168	157	155	(13)	(2)	(8.4)	(1.3)
Palmer city	108	101	100	(8)	(1)	(8.0)	(1.0)
Vining city (pt.)	21	20	19	(2)	(1)	(10.5)	(5.3)
Washington city	1,223	1,145	1,134	(89)	(11)	(7.8)	(1.0)
Bal. of Washington County	2,746	2,560	2,538	(208)	(22)	(8.2)	(0.9)
Bal. of Barnes township	81	76	75	(6)	(1)	(8.0)	(1.3)
Brantford township	91	85	84	(7)	(1)	(8.3)	(1.2)
Charleston township	99	93	92	(7)	(1)	(7.6)	(1.1)
Bal. of Clifton township	115	108	106	(9)	(2)	(8.5)	(1.9)
Coleman township	64	60	59	(5)	(1)	(8.5)	(1.7)
Farmington township	192	175	173	(19)	(2)	(11.0)	(1.2)
Bal. of Franklin township	108	101	100	(8)	(1)	(8.0)	(1.0)
Grant township	25	23	23	(2)	--	(8.7)	--
Bal. of Greenleaf township	93	87	86	(7)	(1)	(8.1)	(1.2)
Bal. of Haddam township	77	72	71	(6)	(1)	(8.5)	(1.4)
Bal. of Hanover township	231	210	213	(18)	3	(8.5)	1.4
Highland township	22	24	24	2	--	8.3	--
Independence township	169	158	156	(13)	(2)	(8.3)	(1.3)
Kimeo township	75	70	69	(6)	(1)	(8.7)	(1.4)
Lincoln township	84	78	78	(6)	--	(7.7)	--
Bal. of Linn township	174	162	160	(14)	(2)	(8.8)	(1.3)
Little Blue township	93	87	86	(7)	(1)	(8.1)	(1.2)
Logan township	109	102	101	(8)	(1)	(7.9)	(1.0)
Lowe township	76	71	70	(6)	(1)	(8.6)	(1.4)
Bal. of Mill Creek township	106	99	98	(8)	(1)	(8.2)	(1.0)
Sheridan township	119	111	110	(9)	(1)	(8.2)	(0.9)
Bal. of Sherman township	141	131	130	(11)	(1)	(8.5)	(0.8)
Strawberry township	130	121	120	(10)	(1)	(8.3)	(0.8)
Bal. of Union township	54	52	52	(2)	--	(3.8)	--
Washington township	218	204	202	(16)	(2)	(7.9)	(1.0)
<b>Wichita County</b>	2,531	2,309	2,288	(243)	(21)	(10.6)	(0.9)
Leoti city	1,598	1,440	1,426	(172)	(14)	(12.1)	(1.0)
Bal. of Wichita County	933	869	862	(71)	(7)	(8.2)	(0.8)
<b>Wilson County</b>	10,332	9,834	9,889	(443)	55	(4.5)	0.6
Altoona city	485	472	474	(11)	2	(2.3)	0.4
Benedict city	103	100	100	(3)	--	(3.0)	--
Buffalo city	284	277	278	(6)	1	(2.2)	0.4
Coyville city	71	69	69	(2)	--	(2.9)	--
Fredonia city	2,600	2,455	2,472	(128)	17	(5.2)	0.7
Neodesha city	2,848	2,652	2,664	(184)	12	(6.9)	0.5
New Albany city	73	71	71	(2)	--	(2.8)	--
Bal. of Wilson County	3,868	3,738	3,761	(107)	23	(2.8)	0.6
Bal. of Cedar township	216	210	212	(4)	2	(1.9)	0.9
Center township	618	574	578	(40)	4	(6.9)	0.7
Chetopa township	188	183	184	(4)	1	(2.2)	0.5
Bal. of Clifton township	130	124	125	(5)	1	(4.0)	0.8
Colfax township	452	439	442	(10)	3	(2.3)	0.7
Duck Creek township	103	101	101	(2)	--	(2.0)	--
Bal. of Fall River township	325	316	318	(7)	2	(2.2)	0.6

**Appendix F (cont'd)**

**Kansas Certified Population**

**Certified to the Secretary of State by Division of the Budget on July 1, 2007**

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	Pop. 2006 7/1/2006	# Growth 2000-2006	# Growth 2005-2006	% Chg 2000-2006	% Chg 2005-2006
<b>Wilson County (cont'd)</b>							
Bal. of Guilford township	102	100	100	(2)	--	(2.0)	--
Neodesha township	583	569	572	(11)	3	(1.9)	0.5
Newark township	226	219	221	(5)	2	(2.3)	0.9
Pleasant Valley township	201	196	197	(4)	1	(2.0)	0.5
Prairie township	118	115	116	(2)	1	(1.7)	0.9
Talleyrand township	232	225	226	(6)	1	(2.7)	0.4
Bal. of Verdigris township	323	318	319	(4)	1	(1.3)	0.3
Webster township	51	49	50	(1)	1	(2.0)	2.0
<b>Woodson County</b>							
Neosho Falls city	3,788	3,572	3,507	(281)	(65)	(8.0)	(1.9)
Toronto city	179	174	170	(9)	(4)	(5.3)	(2.4)
Yates Center city	312	291	285	(27)	(6)	(9.5)	(2.1)
Bal. of Woodson County	1,599	1,493	1,467	(132)	(26)	(9.0)	(1.8)
Center township	1,698	1,614	1,585	(113)	(29)	(7.1)	(1.8)
Liberty township	594	562	551	(43)	(11)	(7.8)	(2.0)
Bal. of Neosho Falls township	200	191	188	(12)	(3)	(6.4)	(1.6)
North township	358	339	332	(26)	(7)	(7.8)	(2.1)
Perry township	71	69	68	(3)	(1)	(4.4)	(1.5)
Bal. of Toronto township	103	98	97	(6)	(1)	(6.2)	(1.0)
	372	355	349	(23)	(6)	(6.6)	(1.7)
<b>Wyandotte County</b>							
Bonner Springs city (pt.)	157,882	155,750	155,509	(2,373)	(241)	(1.5)	(0.2)
Edwardsville city	6,767	6,933	7,080	313	147	4.4	2.1
Kansas City city	4,146	4,503	4,510	364	7	8.1	0.2
Lake Quivira city (pt.)	146,866	144,210	143,801	(3,065)	(409)	(2.1)	(0.3)
Bal. of Wyandotte County	49	50	50	1	--	2.0	--
	54	54	68	14	14	20.6	20.6

Sources: Kansas Division of the Budget at <http://budget.ks.gov>, Kansas Secretary of State's Office, & U.S. Census Bureau

## Appendix G

### Resident Population for U.S., Regions, States, & Kansas Counties, 2002-2006

Area:	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	Percent Change			
	(As of 7/1/02)	(As of 7/1/03)	(As of 7/1/04)	(As of 7/1/05)	(As of 7/1/06)	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>U.S.</b>	287,973,924	290,809,777	293,655,404	296,410,404	299,398,484	1.0 %	1.0 %	0.9 %	1.0 %
<b>Regions:</b>									
Northeast	54,172,792	54,399,446	54,571,147	54,641,895	54,741,353	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.1
New England	14,134,420	14,205,480	14,238,888	14,239,724	14,269,989	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.2
(Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont)									
Middle Atlantic	40,038,372	40,193,966	40,332,259	40,402,171	40,471,364	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2
(New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania)									
Midwest	65,098,828	65,406,134	65,729,852	65,971,974	66,217,736	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4
East North Cent.	45,634,972	45,837,269	46,031,860	46,156,447	46,275,645	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3
(Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, Wisconsin)									
West North Cent.	19,463,856	19,568,865	19,697,992	19,815,527	19,942,091	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.6
(Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota)									
South	103,197,968	104,538,348	105,944,965	107,505,413	109,083,752	1.3	1.3	1.5	1.4
South Atlantic	53,563,636	54,344,651	55,182,959	56,179,519	57,143,670	1.5	1.5	1.8	1.7
(Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, West Virginia)									
East South Cent.	17,225,247	17,341,608	17,480,032	17,615,260	17,754,447	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8
(Alabama, Kentucky, Mississippi, Tennessee)									
West South Cent.	32,409,085	32,852,089	33,281,974	33,710,634	34,185,635	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.4
(Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Texas)									
West	65,504,336	66,465,849	67,409,440	68,291,122	69,355,643	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.5
Mountain	19,032,790	19,383,929	19,798,992	20,291,305	20,845,987	1.8	2.1	2.5	2.7
(Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah, Wyoming)									
Pacific	46,471,546	47,081,920	47,610,448	47,999,817	48,509,656	1.3	1.1	0.8	1.1
(Alaska, California, Hawaii, Oregon, Washington)									
<b>States:</b>									
Alabama	4,478,896	4,500,752	4,530,182	4,557,808	4,599,030	0.5	0.7	0.6	1.1
Alaska	641,482	648,818	655,435	663,661	670,053	1.1	1.0	1.3	1.0
Arizona	5,441,125	5,580,811	5,743,834	5,939,292	6,166,318	2.6	2.9	3.4	3.6
Arkansas	2,706,268	2,725,714	2,752,629	2,779,154	2,810,872	0.7	1.0	1.0	1.3
California	35,001,986	35,484,453	35,893,799	36,132,147	36,457,549	1.4	1.2	0.7	0.8
Colorado	4,501,051	4,550,688	4,601,403	4,665,177	4,753,377	1.1	1.1	1.4	1.9
Connecticut	3,458,587	3,483,372	3,503,604	3,510,297	3,504,809	0.7	0.6	0.2	0.1
Delaware	805,945	817,491	830,364	843,524	853,476	1.4	1.6	1.6	1.4
Dist. of Columbia	569,157	563,384	553,523	550,521	581,530	(1.0)	(1.8)	(0.5)	(0.1)
Florida	16,691,701	17,019,068	17,397,161	17,789,864	18,089,888	2.0	2.2	2.3	1.8
Georgia	8,544,005	8,684,715	8,829,383	9,072,576	9,363,941	1.6	1.7	2.8	2.5
Hawaii	1,240,663	1,257,608	1,262,840	1,275,194	1,285,498	1.4	0.4	1.0	1.0
Idaho	1,343,124	1,366,332	1,393,262	1,429,096	1,466,465	1.7	2.0	2.6	2.6
Illinois	12,586,447	12,653,544	12,713,634	12,763,371	12,831,970	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5
Indiana	6,156,913	6,195,643	6,237,569	6,271,973	6,313,520	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.8

## Appendix G (cont'd)

### Resident Population for U.S., Regions, States, & Kansas Counties, 2002-2006

Area:	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	Percent Change			
	(As of 7/1/02)	(As of 7/1/03)	(As of 7/1/04)	(As of 7/1/05)	(As of 7/1/06)	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>States (continued):</b>									
Iowa	2,935,840	2,944,062	2,954,451	2,966,334	2,982,085	0.3	% 0.4	% 0.4	% 0.6
Kansas	2,711,769	2,723,507	2,735,502	2,744,687	2,764,075	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.7
Kentucky	4,089,822	4,117,827	4,145,922	4,173,405	4,206,074	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8
Louisiana	4,476,192	4,496,334	4,515,770	4,523,628	4,287,768	0.4	0.4	0.2	(4.9)
Maine	1,294,894	1,305,728	1,317,253	1,321,505	1,321,574	0.8	0.9	0.3	0.3
Maryland	5,450,525	5,508,909	5,558,058	5,600,388	5,615,727	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.5
Massachusetts	6,421,800	6,433,422	6,416,505	6,398,743	6,437,193	0.2	(0.3)	(0.3)	0.1
Michigan	10,043,221	10,079,985	10,112,620	10,120,860	10,095,643	0.4	0.3	0.1	(0.1)
Minnesota	5,024,791	5,059,375	5,100,958	5,132,799	5,167,101	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.8
Mississippi	2,866,733	2,881,281	2,902,966	2,921,088	2,910,540	0.5	0.8	0.6	0.1
Missouri	5,669,544	5,704,484	5,754,618	5,800,310	5,842,713	0.6	0.9	0.8	0.8
Montana	910,372	917,621	926,865	935,670	944,632	0.8	1.0	0.9	1.0
Nebraska	1,727,564	1,739,291	1,747,214	1,758,787	1,768,331	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.6
Nevada	2,167,455	2,241,154	2,334,771	2,414,807	2,495,529	3.4	4.2	3.4	3.5
New Hampshire	1,274,405	1,287,687	1,299,500	1,309,940	1,314,895	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.6
New Jersey	8,575,252	8,638,396	8,698,879	8,717,925	8,724,560	0.7	0.7	0.2	0.2
New Mexico	1,852,044	1,874,614	1,903,289	1,928,384	1,954,599	1.2	1.5	1.3	1.5
New York	19,134,293	19,190,115	19,227,088	19,254,630	19,306,183	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.0
North Carolina	8,305,820	8,407,248	8,541,221	8,683,242	8,856,505	1.2	1.6	1.7	2.1
North Dakota	633,911	633,837	634,366	636,677	635,867	(0.0)	0.1	0.4	0.2
Ohio	11,408,699	11,435,798	11,459,011	11,464,042	11,478,006	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.1
Oklahoma	3,489,700	3,511,532	3,523,553	3,547,884	3,579,212	0.6	0.3	0.7	1.0
Oregon	3,520,355	3,559,596	3,594,586	3,641,056	3,700,758	1.1	1.0	1.3	1.7
Pennsylvania	12,328,827	12,365,455	12,406,292	12,429,616	12,440,621	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3
Rhode Island	1,068,326	1,076,164	1,080,632	1,076,189	1,067,610	0.7	0.4	(0.4)	(0.6)
South Carolina	4,103,770	4,147,152	4,198,068	4,255,083	4,321,249	1.1	1.2	1.4	1.7
South Dakota	760,437	764,309	770,883	775,933	781,919	0.5	0.9	0.7	0.9
Tennessee	5,789,796	5,841,748	5,900,962	5,962,959	6,038,803	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.4
Texas	21,736,925	22,118,509	22,490,022	22,859,968	23,507,783	1.8	1.7	1.6	2.5
Utah	2,318,789	2,351,467	2,389,039	2,469,585	2,550,063	1.4	1.6	3.4	2.4
Vermont	616,408	619,107	621,394	623,050	623,908	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2
Virginia	7,287,829	7,386,330	7,459,827	7,567,465	7,642,884	1.4	1.0	1.4	1.0
Washington	6,067,060	6,131,445	6,203,788	6,287,759	6,395,798	1.1	1.2	1.4	1.7
West Virginia	1,804,884	1,810,354	1,815,354	1,816,856	1,818,470	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2
Wisconsin	5,439,692	5,472,299	5,509,026	5,536,201	5,556,506	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.5
Wyoming	498,830	501,242	506,529	509,294	515,004	0.5	1.1	0.5	1.2

## Appendix G (cont'd)

### Resident Population for U.S., Regions, States, & Kansas Counties, 2002-2006

Area:	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	Percent Change			
	(As of 7/1/02)	(As of 7/1/03)	(As of 7/1/04)	(As of 7/1/05)	(As of 7/1/06)	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Kansas Counties:</b>									
Allen	14,111	13,907	13,949	13,787	13,677	(1.4) %	0.3 %	(1.2) %	(0.8) %
Anderson	8,140	8,208	8,191	8,182	8,051	0.8	(0.2)	(0.1)	(1.6)
Atchison	16,748	16,741	16,848	16,804	16,745	(0.0)	0.6	(0.3)	(0.4)
Barber	5,084	5,034	4,999	4,958	4,974	(1.0)	(0.7)	(0.8)	0.3
Barton	27,618	27,467	27,957	28,105	27,511	(0.5)	1.8	0.5	(2.2)
Bourbon	15,175	15,086	15,066	14,997	14,950	(0.6)	(0.1)	(0.5)	(0.3)
Brown	10,482	10,442	10,362	10,239	10,236	(0.4)	(0.8)	(1.2)	0.0
Butler	60,428	61,127	61,828	62,354	63,147	1.2	1.1	0.9	1.3
Chase	3,067	3,107	3,068	3,081	3,070	1.3	(1.3)	0.4	(0.4)
Chautauqua	4,193	4,185	4,178	4,109	3,953	(0.2)	(0.2)	(1.7)	3.9
Cherokee	21,982	21,815	21,950	21,555	21,451	(0.8)	0.6	(1.8)	(0.5)
Cheyenne	3,035	2,955	2,979	2,946	2,911	(2.6)	0.8	(1.1)	(1.2)
Clark	2,361	2,333	2,343	2,283	2,206	(1.2)	0.4	(2.6)	(3.5)
Clay	8,694	8,573	8,597	8,629	8,625	(1.4)	0.3	0.4	0.0
Cloud	9,950	9,859	9,779	9,759	9,594	(0.9)	(0.8)	(0.2)	(1.7)
Coffey	8,819	8,815	8,759	8,683	8,701	(0.0)	(0.6)	(0.9)	0.2
Comanche	1,964	1,915	1,903	1,935	1,884	(2.5)	(0.6)	1.7	(2.7)
Cowley	36,364	35,860	35,772	35,298	34,931	(1.4)	(0.2)	(1.3)	(1.1)
Crawford	38,109	38,398	38,060	38,222	38,059	0.8	(0.9)	0.4	(0.4)
Decatur	3,377	3,295	3,274	3,191	3,120	(2.4)	(0.6)	(2.5)	(2.3)
Dickinson	19,141	19,255	19,132	19,209	19,322	0.6	(0.6)	0.4	0.6
Doniphan	8,179	8,149	8,062	7,816	7,865	(0.4)	(1.1)	(3.1)	0.6
Douglas	102,200	102,983	102,786	102,914	112,123	0.8	(0.2)	0.1	8.2
Edwards	3,344	3,275	3,308	3,292	3,138	(2.1)	1.0	(0.5)	(4.9)
Elk	3,191	3,167	3,117	3,075	3,077	(0.8)	(1.6)	(1.3)	0.1
Ellis	27,311	27,212	27,060	26,767	26,926	(0.4)	(0.6)	(1.1)	0.6
Ellsworth	6,393	6,347	6,350	6,343	6,332	(0.7)	0.0	(0.1)	(0.2)
Finney	39,432	39,176	39,271	38,988	39,097	(0.6)	0.2	(0.7)	0.3
Ford	32,431	33,012	33,278	33,751	33,783	1.8	0.8	1.4	0.1
Franklin	25,291	25,540	26,049	26,247	26,513	1.0	2.0	0.8	1.0
Geary	26,417	26,313	25,111	24,585	24,174	(0.4)	(4.6)	(2.1)	(1.7)
Gove	2,992	2,910	2,845	2,763	2,721	(2.7)	(2.2)	(2.9)	(1.5)
Graham	2,863	2,808	2,745	2,721	2,677	(1.9)	(2.2)	(0.9)	(1.6)
Grant	7,889	7,745	7,685	7,530	7,552	(1.8)	(0.8)	(2.0)	0.3
Gray	6,046	6,063	5,980	5,861	5,852	0.3	(1.4)	(2.0)	(0.2)
Greeley	1,461	1,420	1,415	1,349	1,331	(2.8)	(0.4)	(4.7)	(1.4)
Greenwood	7,628	7,485	7,538	7,338	7,067	(1.9)	0.7	(2.7)	(3.8)
Hamilton	2,661	2,666	2,654	2,604	2,594	0.2	(0.5)	(1.9)	(0.4)
Harper	6,288	6,206	6,238	6,081	5,952	(1.3)	0.5	(2.5)	(2.2)
Harvey	33,366	33,502	33,769	33,843	33,643	0.4	0.8	0.2	(0.6)

## Appendix G (cont'd)

### Resident Population for U.S., Regions, States, & Kansas Counties, 2002-2006

Area:	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	Percent Change			
	(As of 7/1/02)	(As of 7/1/03)	(As of 7/1/04)	(As of 7/1/05)	(As of 7/1/06)	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Kansas Counties (continued):</b>									
Haskell	4,248	4,246	4,272	4,232	4,171	(0.0) %	0.6 %	(0.9) %	(1.5) %
Hodgeman	2,154	2,151	2,089	2,110	2,071	(0.1)	(2.9)	1.0	(1.9)
Jackson	12,844	13,017	13,169	13,535	13,500	1.3	1.2	2.8	(0.3)
Jefferson	18,700	18,798	18,906	19,106	18,848	0.5	0.6	1.1	(1.4)
Jewell	3,508	3,433	3,422	3,352	3,324	(2.1)	(0.3)	(2.0)	(0.8)
Johnson	476,009	486,515	496,691	506,562	516,731	2.2	2.1	2.0	2.0
Kearny	4,607	4,591	4,515	4,516	4,469	(0.3)	(1.7)	0.0	(1.1)
Kingman	8,399	8,382	8,390	8,165	7,975	(0.2)	0.1	(2.7)	(2.4)
Kiowa	3,116	3,152	3,084	2,984	2,969	1.2	(2.2)	(3.2)	(0.5)
Labette	22,339	22,259	22,269	22,169	22,203	(0.4)	0.0	(0.4)	0.2
Lane	2,001	1,946	1,950	1,894	1,797	(2.7)	0.2	(2.9)	(5.4)
Leavenworth	70,959	71,546	72,439	73,113	73,628	0.8	1.2	0.9	0.7
Lincoln	3,503	3,498	3,416	3,411	3,396	(0.1)	(2.3)	(0.1)	(0.4)
Linn	9,705	9,722	9,775	9,914	9,962	0.2	0.5	1.4	0.5
Logan	2,945	2,855	2,827	2,794	2,675	(3.1)	(1.0)	(1.2)	(4.4)
Lyon	35,774	35,805	35,717	35,609	35,369	0.1	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.7)
McPherson	29,362	29,346	29,413	29,523	29,380	(0.1)	0.2	0.4	(0.5)
Marion	13,311	13,299	13,010	12,952	12,760	(0.1)	(2.2)	(0.4)	(1.5)
Marshall	10,648	10,589	10,402	10,405	10,349	(0.6)	(1.8)	0.0	(0.5)
Meade	4,692	4,662	4,592	4,625	4,561	(0.6)	(1.5)	0.7	(1.4)
Miami	28,950	29,187	29,712	30,496	30,900	0.8	1.8	2.6	1.3
Mitchell	6,693	6,707	6,564	6,420	6,299	0.2	(2.1)	(2.2)	(1.9)
Montgomery	35,229	34,934	34,975	34,570	34,692	(0.8)	0.1	(1.2)	0.4
Morris	6,017	5,995	5,977	6,049	6,046	(0.4)	(0.3)	1.2	0.0
Morton	3,352	3,317	3,269	3,196	3,138	(1.0)	(1.4)	(2.2)	(1.8)
Nemaha	10,472	10,500	10,458	10,443	10,374	0.3	(0.4)	(0.1)	(0.7)
Neosho	16,712	16,580	16,555	16,529	16,298	(0.8)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(1.4)
Ness	3,285	3,158	3,080	3,009	2,946	(3.9)	(2.5)	(2.3)	(2.1)
Norton	5,830	5,796	5,799	5,664	5,584	(0.6)	0.1	(2.3)	(1.4)
Osage	16,804	16,784	17,091	17,150	16,958	(0.1)	1.8	0.3	(1.1)
Osborne	4,281	4,179	4,100	4,050	3,978	(2.4)	(1.9)	(1.2)	(1.8)
Ottawa	6,197	6,177	6,175	6,123	6,168	(0.3)	(0.0)	(0.8)	0.7
Pawnee	6,914	6,796	6,795	6,739	6,515	(1.7)	(0.0)	(0.8)	(3.4)
Phillips	5,760	5,657	5,583	5,504	5,444	(1.8)	(1.3)	(1.4)	(1.1)
Pottawatomie	18,429	18,714	18,871	19,129	19,220	1.5	0.8	1.4	0.5
Pratt	9,572	9,437	9,417	9,496	9,436	(1.4)	(0.2)	0.8	(0.6)
Rawlins	2,883	2,843	2,765	2,672	2,643	(1.4)	(2.7)	(3.4)	(1.1)
Reno	63,993	63,832	63,676	63,558	63,706	(0.3)	(0.2)	(0.2)	0.2
Republic	5,443	5,307	5,224	5,164	5,033	(2.5)	(1.6)	(1.1)	(2.6)
Rice	10,519	10,412	10,497	10,452	10,295	(1.0)	0.8	(0.4)	(1.5)

**Appendix G (cont'd)**

**Resident Population for U.S., Regions, States, & Kansas Counties, 2002-2006**

Area:	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	Percent Change			
	(As of 7/1/02)	(As of 7/1/03)	(As of 7/1/04)	(As of 7/1/05)	(As of 7/1/06)	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Kansas Counties (continued):</b>									
Riley	61,521	62,291	63,069	62,826	62,527	1.3 %	1.2 %	(0.4) %	(0.5) %
Rooks	5,487	5,417	5,386	5,351	5,290	(1.3)	(0.6)	(0.6)	(1.2)
Rush	3,464	3,418	3,466	3,406	3,317	(1.3)	1.4	(1.7)	(2.7)
Russell	7,025	6,907	6,978	6,845	6,740	(1.7)	1.0	(1.9)	(1.6)
Saline	53,902	53,737	53,943	53,919	54,170	(0.3)	0.4	(0.0)	0.5
Scott	4,923	4,806	4,691	4,600	4,643	(2.4)	(2.4)	(1.9)	0.9
Sedgwick	460,643	462,896	463,802	466,061	470,895	0.5	0.2	0.5	1.0
Seward	22,994	23,091	23,237	23,274	23,404	0.4	0.6	0.2	0.6
Shawnee	170,314	170,902	171,716	172,365	172,693	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.2
Sheridan	2,669	2,662	2,614	2,591	2,600	(0.3)	(1.8)	(0.9)	0.3
Sherman	6,422	6,277	6,218	6,153	5,981	(2.3)	(0.9)	(1.0)	(2.9)
Smith	4,294	4,181	4,179	4,121	4,024	(2.6)	(0.0)	(1.4)	(2.4)
Stafford	4,665	4,589	4,512	4,488	4,435	(1.6)	(1.7)	(0.5)	(1.2)
Stanton	2,428	2,404	2,374	2,245	2,232	(1.0)	(1.2)	(5.4)	(0.6)
Stevens	5,340	5,389	5,520	5,412	5,287	0.9	2.4	(2.0)	(2.4)
Sumner	25,484	25,256	25,272	24,797	24,441	(0.9)	0.1	(1.9)	(1.5)
Thomas	8,049	7,933	7,801	7,639	7,468	(1.4)	(1.7)	(2.1)	(2.3)
Trego	3,141	3,103	3,158	3,050	2,993	(1.2)	1.8	(3.4)	(1.9)
Wabaunsee	6,762	6,767	6,938	6,919	6,895	0.1	2.5	(0.3)	(0.3)
Wallace	1,685	1,621	1,579	1,573	1,557	(3.8)	(2.6)	(0.4)	(1.0)
Washington	6,213	6,131	6,107	6,009	5,944	(1.3)	(0.4)	(1.6)	(1.1)
Wichita	2,508	2,447	2,360	2,309	2,288	(2.4)	(3.6)	(2.2)	(0.9)
Wilson	10,115	10,080	9,946	9,834	9,889	(0.3)	(1.3)	(1.1)	0.6
Woodson	3,668	3,631	3,553	3,572	3,507	(1.0)	(2.1)	0.5	(1.9)
Wyandotte	157,715	157,091	156,487	155,750	155,509	(0.4)	(0.4)	(0.5)	(0.2)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau



## Appendix H

### Poverty Thresholds in 2006, by Size of Family & Number of Related Children under 18 Years

Size of Family Unit	Related Children under 18 Years								
	None	One	Two	Three	Four	Five	Six	Seven	Eight or More
One person									
Under 65 Years	\$10,488								
65 Years and Over	9,669								
Two People									
Two with Householder:									
Under 65 Years	13,500	\$13,896							
65 Years and Over	12,186	13,843							
Three People	15,769	16,227	\$16,242						
Four People	20,794	21,134	20,444	\$20,516					
Five People	25,076	25,441	24,662	24,059	\$23,691				
Six People	28,842	28,957	28,360	27,788	26,938	\$26,434			
Seven People	33,187	33,394	32,680	32,182	31,254	30,172	\$28,985		
Eight People	37,117	37,444	36,770	36,180	35,342	34,278	33,171	\$32,890	
Nine People or More	44,649	44,865	44,269	43,768	42,945	41,813	40,790	40,536	\$38,975

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, <http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/poverty/threshld/thresh06.html>

## Appendix I

### Kansas School District Populations, 2004

<b>District Name</b>	<b>Dist. No.</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>Ks Dept. of Education's Enrollment as of 2004</b>	<b>U.S. Census Bureau's Population of Relevant Children 5-17 Years of Age</b>	<b>Estimated No. of Relevant Children 5-17 Yrs of Age in Poverty Who Are Related to the Householder</b>
Abilene	435	Dickinson	1,478	1,366	119
Altoona-Midway	387	Wilson	259	305	29
Andover	385	Butler	3,520	3,371	212
Anthony-Harper	361	Harper	999	858	122
Argonia	359	Sumner	223	226	26
Arkansas City	470	Cowley	3,012	2,751	405
Ashland	220	Clark	233	217	27
Atchison County	377	Atchison	759	866	98
Atchison	409	Atchison	1,676	2,086	287
Attica	511	Harper	136	112	14
Auburn Washburn	437	Shawnee	5,159	5,832	217
Augusta	402	Butler	2,171	2,255	207
Axtell	488	Marshall	338	348	53
B & B	451	Nemaha	246	240	26
Baldwin City	348	Douglas	1,376	1,215	147
Barber Co.	254	Barber	635	569	37
Barnes	223	Washington	468	444	40
Basehor-Linwood	458	Leavenworth	2,102	1,867	168
Baxter Springs	508	Cherokee	899	857	148
Belle Plaine	357	Sumner	850	694	79
Beloit	273	Mitchell	779	816	102
Blue Valley USD 229	229	Johnson	18,906	20,850	560
Blue Valley USD 384	384	Riley	246	264	4
Bluestem	205	Butler	748	840	93
Bonner Springs	204	Wyandotte	2,294	2,173	218
Brewster	314	Thomas	150	117	10
Bucklin	459	Ford	279	314	36
Buhler	313	Reno	2,227	2,218	149
Burlingame Public School	454	Osage	377	286	36
Burlington	244	Coffey	886	721	74
Burrton	369	Harvey	265	268	40
Caldwell	360	Sumner	308	257	46
Caney Valley	436	Montgomery	943	746	100
Canton-Galva	419	McPherson	433	453	68
Cedar Vale	285	Chautauqua	189	180	40
Central Heights	288	Franklin	649	609	130
Central	462	Cowley	363	387	63
Centre	397	Marion	266	266	35
Chanute Public Schools	413	Neosho	1,934	1,747	307
Chapman	473	Dickinson	1,031	1,014	90
Chase County	284	Chase	479	489	70

## Appendix I (cont'd)

### Kansas School District Populations, 2004

<b>District Name</b>	<b>Dist. No.</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>Ks Dept. of Education's Enrollment as of 2004</b>	<b>U.S. Census Bureau's Population of Relevant Children 5-17 Years of Age</b>	<b>Estimated No. of Relevant Children 5-17 Yrs of Age in Poverty Who Are Related to the Householder</b>
Chase-Raymond	401	Rice	167	171	42
Chautauqua Co. Community	286	Chautauqua	449	431	54
Cheney	268	Sedgwick	791	763	15
Cherokee	247	Crawford	846	883	129
Cherryvale	447	Montgomery	645	559	140
Chetopa	505	Labette	302	461	97
Cheylin	103	Cheyenne	161	169	23
Cimarron-Ensign	102	Gray	696	652	61
Circle	375	Butler	1,537	1,767	255
Claflin	354	Barton	331	304	31
Clay Center	379	Clay	1,481	1,335	160
Clearwater	264	Sedgwick	1,280	1,179	63
Clifton-Clyde	224	Washington	338	324	32
Coffeyville	445	Montgomery	1,966	2,227	373
Colby Public Schools	315	Thomas	1,057	1,104	111
Columbus	493	Cherokee	1,337	1,372	238
Commanche County	300	Comanche	309	325	31
Concordia	333	Cloud	1,164	1,037	129
Conway Springs	356	Sumner	708	618	34
Copeland	476	Gray	157	183	30
Crest	479	Anderson	250	275	37
Cunningham	332	Kingman	265	284	24
DeSoto	232	Johnson	4,491	3,766	202
Deerfield	216	Kearny	341	322	50
Derby	260	Sedgwick	6,694	7,424	443
Dexter	471	Cowley	219	151	28
Dighton	482	Lane	264	252	28
Dodge City	443	Ford	5,960	6,512	986
Douglass Public Schools	396	Butler	892	804	49
Durham-Hillsboro-Lehigh	410	Marion	683	668	72
Eastern Heights	324	Phillips	152	164	31
Easton	449	Leavenworth	736	764	61
El Dorado	490	Butler	2,198	2,109	343
Elk Valley	283	Elk	211	168	40
Elkhart	218	Morton	696	474	61
Ell-Saline	307	Saline	463	348	42
Ellinwood Public Schools	355	Barton	579	553	29
Ellis	388	Ellis	383	337	44
Ellsworth	327	Ellsworth	645	557	46
Elwood	486	Doniphan	365	241	49
Emporia	253	Lyon	4,920	4,549	756
Erie-St. Paul	101	Neosho	1,079	829	103

## Appendix I (cont'd)

### Kansas School District Populations, 2004

<u>District Name</u>	<u>Dist. No.</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Ks Dept. of Education's Enrollment as of 2004</u>	<u>U.S. Census Bureau's Population of Relevant Children 5-17 Years of Age</u>	<u>Estimated No. of Relevant Children 5-17 Yrs of Age in Poverty Who Are Related to the Householder</u>
Eudora	491	Douglas	1,263	1,095	71
Eureka	389	Greenwood	716	731	106
Fairfield	310	Reno	395	487	93
Flinthills	492	Butler	333	270	29
Ft. Scott	234	Bourbon	2,046	2,105	393
Fowler	225	Meade	180	172	11
Fredonia	484	Wilson	758	795	146
Frontenac Public Schools	249	Crawford	767	572	15
Ft. Larned	495	Pawnee	936	1,165	110
Ft. Leavenworth	207	Leavenworth	1,915	1,942	55
Galena	499	Cherokee	792	666	164
Garden City	457	Finney	7,670	8,313	1,346
Gardner-Edgerton	231	Johnson	3,401	3,093	250
Garnett	365	Anderson	1,125	1,240	179
Geary County Schools	475	Geary	6,354	5,480	928
Girard	248	Crawford	1,105	938	162
Goddard	265	Sedgwick	4,065	4,278	251
Goessel	411	Marion	296	293	19
Golden Plains	316	Thomas	198	114	7
Goodland	352	Sherman	1,021	999	179
Great Bend	428	Barton	3,237	3,149	496
Greeley County Schools	200	Greeley	297	261	26
Greensburg	422	Kiowa	320	266	42
Grinnell Public Schools	291	Gove	136	119	9
Halstead	440	Harvey	732	820	45
Hamilton	390	Greenwood	131	96	23
Hanston	228	Hodgeman	101	99	6
Haven Public Schools	312	Reno	1,166	1,256	172
Haviland	474	Kiowa	180	127	16
Hays	489	Ellis	3,200	3,043	338
Haysville	261	Sedgwick	4,690	4,289	378
Healy Public Schools	468	Lane	112	66	2
Herington	487	Dickinson	527	480	60
Hesston	460	Harvey	823	847	47
Hiawatha	415	Brown	1,006	974	141
Highland	425	Doniphan	281	196	8
Hill City	281	Graham	439	363	39
Hillcrest Rural Schools	455	Republic	130	97	14
Hoisington	431	Barton	675	661	135
Holcomb	363	Finney	904	874	86
Holton	336	Jackson	1,145	1,006	141
Hoxie Community Schools	412	Sheridan	347	337	45

## Appendix I (cont'd)

### Kansas School District Populations, 2004

<u>District Name</u>	<u>Dist. No.</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Ks Dept. of Education's Enrollment as of 2004</u>	<u>U.S. Census Bureau's Population of Relevant Children 5-17 Years of Age</u>	<u>Estimated No. of Relevant Children 5-17 Yrs of Age in Poverty Who Are Related to the Householder</u>
Hugoton Public Schools	210	Stevens	1,100	977	136
Humboldt	258	Allen	550	431	40
Hutchinson Public Schools	308	Reno	4,890	5,043	930
Independence	446	Montgomery	2036	2,219	361
Ingalls	477	Gray	266	203	16
Inman	448	McPherson	450	466	50
Iola	257	Allen	1513	1,511	281
Jayhawk	346	Linn	618	595	82
Jefferson County North	339	Jefferson	509	435	64
Jefferson West	340	Jefferson	977	857	30
Jetmore	227	Hodgeman	306	255	31
Jewell	279	Jewell	180	144	22
Kansas City	500	Wyandotte	20,868	22,903	5,607
Kaw Valley	321	Pottawatomie	1,090	1,443	175
Kingman-Norwich	331	Kingman	1,262	1,175	155
Kinsely-Offerle	347	Edwards	358	358	38
Kismet-Plains	483	Seward	790	788	117
Labette County	506	Labette	1,709	1,397	152
LaCrosse	395	Rush	358	333	50
Lakin	215	Kearny	729	702	71
Lansing	469	Leavenworth	2,065	2,096	33
Lawrence	497	Douglas	10,022	10,152	1,254
Leavenworth	453	Leavenworth	4,252	4,806	849
Lebo-Waverly	243	Coffey	591	482	37
Leoti	467	Wichita	505	464	55
LeRoy-Gridley	245	Coffey	304	284	32
Lewis	502	Edwards	134	149	30
Liberal	480	Seward	4,483	4,458	729
Lincoln	298	Lincoln	386	384	44
Little River	444	Rice	291	318	44
Logan	326	Phillips	199	174	28
Lorraine	328	Ellsworth	484	443	46
Louisburg	416	Miami	1,449	1,347	55
Lyndon	421	Osage	464	431	32
Lyons	405	Rice	928	697	85
Macksville	351	Stafford	318	256	37
Madison-Virgil	386	Greenwood	281	264	43
Maize	266	Sedgwick	5,815	6,156	211
Manhattan	383	Riley	5,376	5,631	692
Mankato	278	Jewell	222	189	25
Marais Des Cygnes Valley	456	Osage	276	328	60
Marion-Florence	408	Marion	663	624	60

## Appendix I (cont'd)

### Kansas School District Populations, 2004

<b>District Name</b>	<b>Dist. No.</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>Ks Dept. of Education's Enrollment as of 2004</b>	<b>U.S. Census Bureau's Population of Relevant Children 5-17 Years of Age</b>	<b>Estimated No. of Relevant Children 5-17 Yrs of Age in Poverty Who Are Related to the Householder</b>
Marmaton Valley	256	Allen	381	335	51
Marysville	364	Marshall	827	834	44
McLouth	342	Jefferson	565	584	75
McPherson	418	McPherson	2,559	2,544	138
Meade	226	Meade	527	431	39
Midway Schools	433	Doniphan	222	225	28
Mill Creek Valley	329	Wabaunsee	486	582	21
Minneola	219	Clark	279	203	30
Montezuma	371	Gray	257	309	31
Morris County	417	Morris	954	926	103
Moscow Public Schools	209	Stevens	287	182	16
Moundridge	423	McPherson	427	564	20
Mullinville	424	Kiowa	159	74	10
Mulvane	263	Sedgwick	1,937	2,119	251
Nemaha Valley Schools	442	Nemaha	519	613	31
Neodesha	461	Wilson	825	695	108
Ness City	303	Ness	299	230	10
Newton	373	Harvey	3,765	3,636	447
Nickerson	309	Reno	1,158	1,163	144
North Central	221	Washington	130	138	15
North Jackson	335	Jackson	444	382	28
North Lyon Co.	251	Lyon	645	684	56
North Ottawa Co.	239	Ottawa	577	568	32
Northeast	246	Crawford	571	694	148
Northern Valley	212	Norton	197	151	21
Norton Community Schools	211	Norton	713	605	75
Oakley	274	Logan	490	453	45
Oberlin	294	Decatur	465	451	54
Olathe	233	Johnson	22,794	24,240	1,566
Onaga-Havensville-Wheaton	322	Pottawatomie	373	364	47
Osage City	420	Osage	769	731	41
Osawatomie	367	Miami	1,238	1,294	259
Osborne County	392	Osborne	414	368	55
Oskaloosa Public Schools	341	Jefferson	696	670	42
Oswego	504	Labette	545	395	80
Otis-Bison	403	Rush	238	259	33
Ottawa	290	Franklin	2,472	2,490	297
Oxford	358	Sumner	404	378	51
Palco	269	Rooks	158	131	10
Paola	368	Miami	2,167	2,181	167
Paradise	399	Russell	155	134	22

## Appendix I (cont'd)

### Kansas School District Populations, 2004

<b>District Name</b>	<b>Dist. No.</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>Ks Dept. of Education's Enrollment as of 2004</b>	<b>U.S. Census Bureau's Population of Relevant Children 5-17 Years of Age</b>	<b>Estimated No. of Relevant Children 5-17 Yrs of Age in Poverty Who Are Related to the Householder</b>
Parsons	503	Labette	1,619	1,866	329
Pawnee Heights	496	Pawnee	204	126	17
Peabody-Burns	398	Marion	445	462	49
Perry Public Schools	343	Jefferson	1,026	1,005	63
Phillipsburg	325	Phillips	641	568	55
Pike Valley	426	Republic	271	218	31
Piper-Kansas City	203	Wyandotte	1,320	1,396	40
Pittsburg	250	Crawford	2,599	2,949	618
Plainville	270	Rooks	413	418	49
Pleasanton	344	Linn	423	389	87
Prairie Heights	295	Decatur	61	67	10
Prairie View	362	Linn	999	925	78
Pratt	382	Pratt	1,208	1,273	145
Pretty Prairie	311	Reno	322	311	25
Quinter Public Schools	293	Gove	363	277	28
Rawlins County	105	Rawlins	399	339	43
Remington-Whitewater	206	Butler	543	792	61
Renwick	267	Sedgwick	2,070	2,052	90
Republic County	427	Republic	495	421	52
Riley County	378	Riley	661	608	76
Riverton	404	Cherokee	848	685	117
Rock Creek	323	Pottawatomie	762	784	98
Rolla	217	Morton	224	168	21
Rose Hill Public Schools	394	Butler	1,878	1,870	126
Royal Valley	337	Jackson	941	907	86
Rural Vista	481	Dickinson	427	410	66
Russell County	407	Russell	1,028	948	132
Sabetha	441	Nemaha	985	890	89
Salina	305	Saline	7,594	8,267	1,068
Santa Fe Trail	434	Osage	1,282	1,229	143
Satanta	507	Haskell	411	420	51
Scott County	466	Scott	948	843	80
Seaman	345	Shawnee	3,404	3,541	251
Sedgwick Public Schools	439	Harvey	526	425	59
Shawnee Heights	450	Shawnee	3,448	3,513	270
Shawnee Mission Pub. Schools	512	Johnson	29,389	38,781	2,217
Silver Lake	372	Shawnee	751	685	20
Skyline Schools	438	Pratt	461	209	39
Smith Center	237	Smith	490	427	56
Smoky Valley	400	McPherson	969	935	70
Solomon	393	Dickinson	421	379	63

## Appendix I (cont'd)

### Kansas School District Populations, 2004

<b>District Name</b>	<b>Dist. No.</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>Ks Dept. of Education's Enrollment as of 2004</b>	<b>U.S. Census Bureau's Population of Relevant Children 5-17 Years of Age</b>	<b>Estimated No. of Relevant Children 5-17 Yrs of Age in Poverty Who Are Related to the Householder</b>
South Barber Co.	255	Barber	285	256	59
South Brown County	430	Brown	651	658	109
South Haven	509	Sumner	226	188	30
Southeast of Saline	306	Saline	700	659	30
Southern Cloud	334	Cloud	245	245	52
Southern Lyon Co.	252	Lyon	623	609	40
Spearville	381	Ford	355	308	23
Spring Hill	230	Johnson	1,598	1,539	84
St. Francis Community Schools	297	Cheyenne	365	354	34
St. John-Hudson	350	Stafford	440	341	25
Stafford	349	Stafford	338	267	54
Stanton County	452	Stanton	532	455	50
Sterling	376	Rice	522	476	55
Stockton	271	Rooks	385	348	61
Sublette	374	Haskell	510	504	68
Sylvan Grove	299	Lincoln	159	160	18
Syracuse	494	Hamilton	516	466	60
Tonganoxie	464	Leavenworth	1,558	1,529	56
Topeka Public Schools	501	Shawnee	14,049	15,355	3,160
Triplains	275	Logan	110	77	12
Troy Public Schools	429	Doniphan	400	343	33
Turner-Kansas City	202	Wyandotte	3,856	3,722	480
Twin Valley	240	Ottawa	659	461	52
Udall	463	Cowley	396	414	25
Ulysses	214	Grant	1,833	1,578	205
Uniontown	235	Bourbon	477	424	76
Valley Center Pub. Schools	262	Sedgwick	2,394	2,608	38
Valley Falls	338	Jefferson	454	441	25
Valley Heights	498	Marshall	406	380	59
Vermillion	380	Marshall	583	494	88
Victoria	432	Ellis	292	241	18
Wabaunsee East	330	Wabaunsee	508	541	75
Waconda	272	Mitchell	375	428	30
WaKeeney	208	Trego	405	448	52
Wallace Co. Schools	241	Wallace	237	217	26
Wamego	320	Pottawatomie	1,366	1,450	105
Washington Schools	222	Washington	361	329	35
Wathena	406	Doniphan	387	367	56
Wellington	353	Sumner	1,775	1,734	208
Wellsville	289	Franklin	812	818	60
Weskan	242	Wallace	136	81	16
West Elk	282	Elk	471	361	77



## Appendix I (cont'd)

### Kansas School District Populations, 2004

<u>District Name</u>	<u>Dist. No.</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Ks Dept. of Education's Enrollment as of 2004</u>	<u>U.S. Census Bureau's Population of Relevant Children 5-17 Years of Age</u>	<u>Estimated No. of Relevant Children 5-17 Yrs of Age in Poverty Who Are Related to the Householder</u>
West Franklin	287	Franklin	957	1,021	68
West Smith Co.	238	Smith	199	144	9
West Solomon Valley Schools	213	Norton	77	92	4
Western Plains	106	Ness	236	205	34
Wheatland	292	Gove	194	145	26
White Rock	104	Jewell	144	151	20
Wichita	259	Sedgwick	48,760	56,674	10,999
Winfield	465	Cowley	2,679	2,571	457
Woodson	366	Woodson	553	420	71

Sources: Kansas Department of Education and the U.S. Census Bureau [<http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/saipe/district.html>]

## Appendix J

### Health Insurance Coverage Status for the U.S. & Kansas, 1989-2006

(Numbers in Thousands, Number of People as of March of the Following Year)

	Total Pop.	Not Covered				Covered by Private or Gov. Health Ins.				
		Number	Error	Percent	Error	Number	Error	Percent	Error	
<b>U.S.</b>										
2006	296,824	46,995	324	15.8 %	0.1	249,829	318	84.2 %	0.1	
2005	293,834	46,577	322	15.9	0.1	247,257	325	84.1	0.1	
2004	291,166	45,820	320	15.7	0.1	245,860	330	84.3	0.1	
2003	288,280	44,961	318	15.6	0.1	243,320	335	84.4	0.1	
2002	285,933	43,574	314	15.2	0.1	242,360	338	84.8	0.1	
2001	282,082	41,207	307	14.6	0.1	240,875	341	85.4	0.1	
2000 <sup>1</sup>	279,517	39,804	300	14.2	0.1	239,714	247	85.8	0.1	
1999 <sup>2</sup>	276,804	40,228	423	14.5	0.2	236,576	412	85.5	0.2	
1999	274,087	42,554	433	15.5	0.2	231,533	434	84.5	0.2	
1998	271,743	44,281	440	16.3	0.2	227,462	450	83.7	0.2	
1997 <sup>3</sup>	269,094	43,448	437	16.1	0.2	225,646	457	83.9	0.2	
1996	266,792	41,716	429	15.6	0.2	225,077	459	84.4	0.2	
1995	264,314	40,582	424	15.4	0.2	223,733	463	84.6	0.2	
1994 <sup>4</sup>	262,105	39,718	408	15.2	0.2	222,387	531	84.8	0.2	
1993 <sup>5</sup>	259,753	39,713	421	15.3	0.2	220,040	476	84.7	0.2	
1992 <sup>6</sup>	256,830	38,641	416	15.0	0.2	218,189	482	85.0	0.2	
1991 <sup>7</sup>	251,447	35,445	401	14.1	0.2	216,003	489	85.9	0.2	
1990	248,886	34,719	398	13.9	0.2	214,167	495	86.1	0.2	
1989	246,191	33,385	379	13.6	0.2	212,807	550	86.4	0.2	
<b>Kansas</b>										
2006	2,723	335	24	12.3 %	0.9	2,387	24	87.7 %	0.9	
2005	2,695	290	22	10.8	0.8	2,405	22	89.2	0.8	
2004	2,674	297	23	11.1	0.8	2,372	23	88.9	0.8	
2003	2,683	294	19	11.0	0.7	2,389	55	89.0	37.0	
2002	2,685	280	19	10.4	0.7	2,404	55	89.6	37.0	
2001	2,642	301	20	11.4	0.7	2,341	54	88.6	37.0	
2000 <sup>1</sup>	2,653	289	19	10.9	0.7	2,364	55	89.1	37.0	
1999 <sup>2</sup>	2,610	309	32	11.8	1.2	2,301	88	88.2	1.2	
1999	2,618	317	33	12.1	1.2	2,300	88	87.9	1.2	
1998	2,616	270	30	10.3	1.1	2,346	89	89.7	1.1	
1997 <sup>3</sup>	2,590	304	32	11.7	1.2	2,286	88	88.3	1.2	
1996	2,572	292	31	11.4	1.2	2,280	88	88.6	1.2	
1995	2,539	316	33	12.4	1.2	2,223	87	87.6	1.2	
1994 <sup>4</sup>	2,527	326	32	12.9	1.2	2,201	83	87.1	1.2	
1993 <sup>5</sup>	2,500	318	33	12.7	1.2	2,182	86	87.3	1.2	
1992 <sup>6</sup>	2,510	274	31	10.9	1.2	2,236	87	89.1	1.2	
1991 <sup>7</sup>	2,581	295	32	11.4	1.2	2,286	88	88.6	1.2	
1990	2,512	272	31	10.8	1.1	2,240	87	89.2	1.1	
1989	2,434	229	26	9.4	1.0	2,205	80	90.6	1.0	

<sup>1</sup> Implementation of a 28,000 household sample expansion.

<sup>2</sup> Estimates reflect the results of follow-up verification questions and of Census 2000 based population controls.

<sup>3</sup> Beginning with the March 1998 CPS, people with no coverage other than access to Indian Health Service are no longer considered to be uninsured. The effect of this change on the overall estimates of health insurance coverage is negligible; however, the decrease in the number of people covered by Medicaid may be partially due to this change.

<sup>4</sup> Health insurance questions were redesigned. Increases in estimates of employment-based and military health care coverage may be partially due to questionnaire changes. Overall coverage estimates were not affected.

<sup>5</sup> Data collection method changed from paper and pencil to computer-assisted interviewing.

<sup>6</sup> Implementation of Census 1990 based population controls.

<sup>7</sup> Figures are revised to correct for omitted weights from the original March 1992 CPS file.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

**Appendix K**

**Kansas Resident Population, 2000 through 2006**

**By Age, Race, Gender, & Ethnicity**

		<u>Population</u>						
	<u>Age</u>	<u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>7/1/2001</u>	<u>7/1/2002</u>	<u>7/1/2003</u>	<u>7/1/2004</u>	<u>7/1/2005</u>	<u>7/1/2006</u>
Kansas	All Ages	2,688,418	2,700,879	2,712,454	2,724,224	2,733,697	2,744,687	2,764,075
	< 5	188,708	187,221	188,796	188,667	188,536	187,949	194,100
	5-19	609,710	599,792	595,019	587,099	579,663	569,356	582,455
	20-64	1,533,771	1,558,697	1,574,715	1,594,275	1,610,273	1,630,377	1,629,811
	≥ 65	356,229	355,169	353,924	354,183	355,225	357,005	357,709
	≥ 85*	51,770	52,981	53,279	54,259	55,798	57,665	59,518
<b>Race</b>								
White Alone	All Ages	2,414,428	2,424,103	2,430,696	2,438,246	2,444,629	2,452,657	2,462,232
Black Alone	All Ages	157,389	158,301	159,687	160,434	161,016	161,504	164,507
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	All Ages	25,984	25,292	25,441	25,555	25,554	25,786	27,374
Asian Alone	All Ages	48,239	50,092	52,451	54,775	56,415	57,759	60,870
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	All Ages	1,623	1,635	1,708	1,784	1,830	1,867	1,863
Two or More Races	All Ages	40,755	41,456	42,471	43,430	44,253	45,114	47,229
White Alone	< 5	161,385	160,695	162,361	162,531	162,842	162,626	165,293
Black Alone	< 5	13,843	13,345	13,145	12,843	12,428	12,029	14,294
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	< 5	2,130	1,916	1,850	1,753	1,710	1,704	2,088
Asian Alone	< 5	3,866	3,882	4,002	4,148	4,278	4,391	4,790
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	< 5	150	129	122	113	106	100	106
Two or More Races	< 5	7,334	7,254	7,316	7,279	7,172	7,099	7,529
White Alone	5-19	532,534	523,509	518,200	510,391	502,959	493,307	503,966
Black Alone	5-19	43,942	43,274	43,348	42,905	42,556	41,711	42,264
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	5-19	7,218	6,842	6,720	6,570	6,400	6,294	6,721
Asian Alone	5-19	10,601	10,485	10,645	10,763	10,885	10,887	11,527
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	5-19	456	442	445	458	463	461	456
Two or More Races	5-19	14,959	15,240	15,661	16,012	16,400	16,696	17,521
White Alone	20-64	1,381,462	1,402,082	1,413,878	1,429,197	1,442,118	1,458,871	1,455,085
Black Alone	20-64	87,606	89,647	91,122	92,562	93,806	95,332	95,636
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	20-64	15,302	15,240	15,490	15,772	15,922	16,151	16,756
Asian Alone	20-64	31,759	33,547	35,475	37,341	38,514	39,498	41,279
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	20-64	956	998	1,072	1,138	1,177	1,216	1,201
Two or More Races	20-64	16,686	17,183	17,678	18,265	18,736	19,309	19,854
White Alone	≥ 65	339,047	337,817	336,257	336,127	336,710	337,853	337,888
Black Alone	≥ 65	11,998	12,035	12,072	12,124	12,226	12,432	12,313
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	≥ 65	1,334	1,294	1,381	1,460	1,522	1,637	1,809
Asian Alone	≥ 65	2,013	2,178	2,329	2,523	2,738	2,983	3,274
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	≥ 65	61	66	69	75	84	90	100
Two or More Races	≥ 65	1,776	1,779	1,816	1,874	1,945	2,010	2,325
White Alone	≥ 85*	49,882	51,023	51,272	52,208	53,655	55,328	57,272
Black Alone	≥ 85*	1,452	1,487	1,502	1,509	1,555	1,674	1,521
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	≥ 85*	112	120	130	140	154	179	167
Asian Alone	≥ 85*	121	143	158	179	195	223	248
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	≥ 85*	8	8	9	11	12	13	17
Two or More Races	≥ 85*	195	200	208	212	227	248	293

\* The age category of ≥ 85 years is a subset of the ≥ 65 years age category.

**Appendix K (cont'd)**

**Kansas Resident Population, 2000 through 2006**

**By Age, Race, Gender, & Ethnicity**

		<b>As a % of Total Population***</b>						
	<b>Age</b>	<b>4/1/2000</b>	<b>7/1/2001</b>	<b>7/1/2002</b>	<b>7/1/2003</b>	<b>7/1/2004</b>	<b>7/1/2005</b>	<b>7/1/2006</b>
Kansas	All Ages	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %
	< 5	7.0	6.9	7.0	6.9	6.9	6.8	7.0
	5-19	22.7	22.2	21.9	21.6	21.2	20.7	21.1
	20-64	57.1	57.7	58.1	58.5	58.9	59.4	59.0
	≥ 65	13.3	13.2	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	12.9
	≥ 85*	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.2
Race**								
White Alone	All Ages	89.8 %	89.8 %	89.6 %	89.5 %	89.4 %	89.4 %	89.1 %
Black Alone	All Ages	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9	6.0
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	All Ages	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0
Asian Alone	All Ages	1.8	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.2
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	All Ages	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Two or More Races	All Ages	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.7
White Alone	< 5	85.5	85.8	86.0	86.1	86.4	86.5	85.2 %
Black Alone	< 5	7.3	7.1	7.0	6.8	6.6	6.4	7.4
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	< 5	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.1
Asian Alone	< 5	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.5
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	< 5	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Two or More Races	< 5	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.9
White Alone	5-19	87.3	87.3	87.1	86.9	86.8	86.6	86.5 %
Black Alone	5-19	7.2	7.2	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	5-19	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2
Asian Alone	5-19	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.9	1.9	2.0
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	5-19	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Two or More Races	5-19	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.0
White Alone	20-64	90.1	90.0	89.8	89.6	89.6	89.5	89.3 %
Black Alone	20-64	5.7	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.9
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	20-64	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Asian Alone	20-64	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.5
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	20-64	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Two or More Races	20-64	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2
White Alone	≥ 65	95.2	95.1	95.0	94.9	94.8	94.6	94.5 %
Black Alone	≥ 65	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.4
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	≥ 65	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5
Asian Alone	≥ 65	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.9
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	≥ 65	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Two or More Races	≥ 65	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6
White Alone	≥ 85*	96.4	96.3	96.2	96.2	96.2	95.9	96.2 %
Black Alone	≥ 85*	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.6
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	≥ 85*	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Asian Alone	≥ 85*	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	≥ 85*	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Two or More Races	≥ 85*	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5

\* The age category of ≥ 85 years is a subset of the ≥ 65 years age category.

\*\* Percentage of total population for each age group by race is relative to the total population for that age group only.

\*\*\* Totals may not add because of rounding.

**Appendix K (cont'd)**

**Kansas Resident Population, 2000 through 2006**

**By Age, Race, Gender, & Ethnicity**

		<u>Population</u>						
	<u>Age</u>	<u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>7/1/2001</u>	<u>7/1/2002</u>	<u>7/1/2003</u>	<u>7/1/2004</u>	<u>7/1/2005</u>	<u>7/1/2006</u>
Gender								
Male	All Ages	1,328,474	1,336,664	1,343,636	1,351,169	1,357,412	1,363,613	1,371,446
Female	All Ages	1,359,944	1,364,215	1,368,818	1,373,055	1,376,285	1,381,074	1,392,629
Male	< 5	97,012	96,536	97,528	97,826	97,906	97,590	99,144
Female	< 5	91,696	90,685	91,268	90,841	90,630	90,359	94,956
Male	5-19	313,454	308,183	605,649	601,487	297,917	292,814	299,748
Female	5-19	296,256	291,609	289,370	285,612	281,746	276,542	282,707
Male	20-64	772,493	785,921	794,151	804,601	813,051	823,135	822,673
Female	20-64	761,278	772,776	780,554	789,674	797,222	807,242	807,138
Male	≥ 65	145,515	146,024	146,298	147,255	148,538	150,074	149,881
Female	≥ 65	210,714	206,145	207,626	206,928	206,687	206,931	207,828
Male	≥ 85*	14,612	15,185	15,514	16,197	16,925	17,806	18,366
Female	≥ 85*	37,158	37,796	37,765	38,062	38,873	39,859	41,152
Ethnicity								
Non-Hispanic	All Ages	2,500,166	2,503,224	2,507,069	2,510,858	2,512,886	2,516,437	2,526,649
Hispanic	All Ages	188,252	197,655	205,385	213,366	220,811	228,250	237,426
Non-Hispanic	< 5	163,929	132,390	163,759	163,570	163,227	162,782	166,981
Hispanic	< 5	24,779	24,831	25,037	25,097	25,309	25,167	27,119
Non-Hispanic	5-19	552,752	541,594	534,941	525,396	516,340	504,470	513,376
Hispanic	5-19	56,958	58,198	60,078	61,703	63,323	64,886	69,079
Non-Hispanic	20-64	1,433,739	1,450,957	1,461,685	1,475,334	1,486,158	1,500,727	1,497,435
Hispanic	20-64	100,032	107,740	113,030	118,941	124,115	129,650	132,376
Non-Hispanic	≥ 65	349,746	348,283	346,684	346,558	347,161	348,458	348,857
Hispanic	≥ 65	6,483	6,886	7,240	7,625	8,064	8,547	8,852
Non-Hispanic	≥ 85*	51,270	52,403	52,659	53,570	54,993	56,738	58,596
Hispanic	≥ 85*	500	578	620	689	805	927	922

\* The age category of ≥ 85 years is a subset of the ≥ 65 years age category.

**Appendix K (cont'd)**

**Kansas Resident Population, 2000 through 2006**

**By Age, Race, Gender, & Ethnicity**

		<u>As a % of Total Population</u>							
		<u>Age</u>	<u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>7/1/2001</u>	<u>7/1/2002</u>	<u>7/1/2003</u>	<u>7/1/2004</u>	<u>7/1/2005</u>	<u>7/1/2006</u>
Gender									
Male	All Ages	49.4 %	49.5 %	49.5 %	49.6 %	49.7 %	49.7 %	49.6 %	
Female	All Ages	50.6	50.5	50.5	50.4	50.3	50.3	50.4	
Male	< 5	51.4	51.6	51.7	51.9	51.9	51.9	51.1	
Female	< 5	48.6	48.4	48.3	48.1	48.1	48.1	48.9	
Male	5-19	51.4	51.4	101.8	102.5	51.4	51.4	51.5	
Female	5-19	48.6	48.6	48.6	48.6	48.6	48.6	48.5	
Male	20-64	50.4	50.4	50.4	50.5	50.5	50.5	50.5	
Female	20-64	49.6	49.6	49.6	49.5	49.5	49.5	49.5	
Male	≥ 65	40.8	41.1	41.3	41.6	41.8	42.0	41.9	
Female	≥ 65	59.2	58.0	58.7	58.4	58.2	58.0	58.1	
Male	≥ 85*	28.2	28.7	29.1	29.9	30.3	30.9	30.9	
Female	≥ 85*	71.8	71.3	70.9	70.1	69.7	69.1	69.1	
Ethnicity									
Non-Hispanic	All Ages	93.0 %	92.7 %	92.4 %	92.2 %	91.9 %	91.7 %	91.4 %	
Hispanic	All Ages	7.0	7.3	7.6	7.8	8.1	8.3	8.6	
Non-Hispanic	< 5	86.9	70.7	86.7	86.7	86.6	86.6	86.0	
Hispanic	< 5	13.1	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.4	13.4	14.0	
Non-Hispanic	5-19	90.7	90.3	89.9	89.5	89.1	88.6	88.1	
Hispanic	5-19	9.3	9.7	10.1	10.5	10.9	11.4	11.9	
Non-Hispanic	20-64	93.5	93.1	92.8	92.5	92.3	92.0	91.9	
Hispanic	20-64	6.5	6.9	7.2	7.5	7.7	8.0	8.1	
Non-Hispanic	≥ 65	98.2	98.1	98.0	97.8	97.7	97.6	97.5	
Hispanic	≥ 65	1.8	1.9	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	
Non-Hispanic	≥ 85*	99.0	98.9	98.8	98.7	98.6	98.4	98.5	
Hispanic	≥ 85*	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.5	

\* The age category of ≥ 85 years is a subset of the ≥ 65 years age category.

## Appendix L

### Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Allen County</b>												
Total Population	13,601	13,528	13,448	13,366	13,280	13,200	13,108	13,022	12,930	12,845	12,760	12,682
Age 0 thru 4	841	837	833	829	824	819	815	808	800	792	785	777
Age 5 thru 9	728	704	681	658	635	615	593	572	551	530	510	491
Age 10 thru 14	796	767	736	705	677	648	620	593	568	543	519	496
Age 15 thru 19	1,055	1,032	1,007	979	952	927	901	877	854	830	806	783
Age 20 thru 24	893	903	910	915	910	901	895	889	888	887	884	881
Age 25 thru 29	978	1,003	1,034	1,073	1,120	1,168	1,215	1,258	1,291	1,328	1,368	1,411
Age 30 thru 34	664	654	644	635	625	613	601	586	573	560	547	535
Age 35 thru 39	598	565	532	500	470	442	417	393	370	348	326	306
Age 40 thru 44	952	939	925	910	892	875	855	838	821	805	788	770
Age 45 thru 49	1,113	1,125	1,136	1,144	1,151	1,160	1,168	1,178	1,184	1,190	1,195	1,200
Age 50 thru 54	1,042	1,063	1,081	1,097	1,110	1,123	1,131	1,142	1,156	1,169	1,181	1,192
Age 55 thru 59	952	981	1,011	1,042	1,078	1,114	1,149	1,184	1,217	1,251	1,285	1,320
Age 60 thru 64	685	686	686	686	686	684	682	680	677	674	671	667
Age 65 thru 84	1,899	1,866	1,832	1,796	1,758	1,724	1,685	1,649	1,611	1,574	1,536	1,500
Age 85 & Older	405	403	400	397	392	387	381	375	369	364	359	353
<b>Anderson County</b>												
Total Population	8,266	8,292	8,322	8,346	8,369	8,391	8,414	8,432	8,456	8,473	8,492	8,513
Age 0 thru 4	494	493	492	490	488	484	479	475	472	468	464	460
Age 5 thru 9	469	458	445	433	420	410	400	389	378	368	357	346
Age 10 thru 14	549	539	528	517	507	494	483	471	460	449	438	427
Age 15 thru 19	597	594	590	585	581	579	576	572	568	563	558	553
Age 20 thru 24	510	532	557	581	598	616	635	651	673	694	717	739
Age 25 thru 29	573	593	618	648	680	715	748	779	808	838	871	905
Age 30 thru 34	415	412	410	408	404	399	395	393	389	384	379	375
Age 35 thru 39	395	378	360	341	324	310	295	281	268	255	243	230
Age 40 thru 44	651	657	664	670	673	676	677	682	686	688	691	693
Age 45 thru 49	641	659	677	697	718	734	749	763	779	796	812	829
Age 50 thru 54	528	537	545	552	559	569	581	591	600	608	616	623
Age 55 thru 59	491	500	507	513	521	528	537	545	553	560	566	573
Age 60 thru 64	413	413	413	412	413	412	412	412	412	411	409	408
Age 65 thru 84	1,227	1,212	1,197	1,178	1,159	1,138	1,117	1,096	1,077	1,057	1,036	1,016
Age 85 & Older	313	315	319	321	324	327	330	332	333	334	335	336
<b>Atchison County</b>												
Total Population	16,793	16,783	16,783	16,786	16,783	16,784	16,783	16,781	16,781	16,779	16,782	16,783
Age 0 thru 4	1,042	1,037	1,031	1,024	1,017	1,010	1,004	996	988	980	971	961
Age 5 thru 9	952	930	907	884	860	837	813	791	770	749	728	706
Age 10 thru 14	1,066	1,037	1,007	978	949	918	890	859	833	806	780	754
Age 15 thru 19	1,422	1,398	1,373	1,348	1,322	1,299	1,274	1,253	1,231	1,207	1,182	1,158
Age 20 thru 24	1,780	1,855	1,937	2,024	2,103	2,189	2,268	2,347	2,434	2,524	2,618	2,713
Age 25 thru 29	1,060	1,074	1,094	1,119	1,150	1,178	1,209	1,233	1,252	1,272	1,294	1,316
Age 30 thru 34	781	761	743	724	705	684	663	642	622	603	585	566
Age 35 thru 39	879	853	827	801	774	747	724	704	681	659	637	615
Age 40 thru 44	1,033	1,016	996	974	953	938	919	897	879	860	841	822

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Atchison County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 45 thru 49	1,317	1,345	1,375	1,406	1,433	1,455	1,477	1,504	1,530	1,555	1,580	1,605
Age 50 thru 54	1,041	1,052	1,061	1,067	1,070	1,077	1,086	1,097	1,105	1,112	1,118	1,123
Age 55 thru 59	1,016	1,039	1,062	1,085	1,111	1,134	1,157	1,181	1,204	1,227	1,250	1,273
Age 60 thru 64	787	791	795	799	804	806	809	811	813	814	815	816
Age 65 thru 84	2,135	2,109	2,084	2,057	2,031	2,003	1,973	1,942	1,912	1,881	1,850	1,819
Age 85 & Older	482	486	491	496	501	509	517	524	527	530	533	536
<b>Barber County</b>												
Total Population	4,785	4,727	4,664	4,600	4,540	4,479	4,418	4,358	4,294	4,238	4,180	4,123
Age 0 thru 4	164	154	144	134	125	118	110	103	95	88	82	75
Age 5 thru 9	211	197	185	172	160	148	138	127	118	108	100	91
Age 10 thru 14	278	263	247	230	214	199	185	173	160	149	137	126
Age 15 thru 19	367	360	351	342	332	321	309	298	287	277	265	254
Age 20 thru 24	402	436	474	517	565	616	666	716	765	818	874	933
Age 25 thru 29	155	150	145	141	136	131	126	123	117	112	107	101
Age 30 thru 34	136	126	116	106	96	87	79	71	64	58	52	47
Age 35 thru 39	211	197	183	169	157	145	133	123	114	104	95	87
Age 40 thru 44	350	342	331	319	308	297	287	275	264	252	241	229
Age 45 thru 49	448	451	453	452	450	447	441	436	431	426	419	411
Age 50 thru 54	455	467	479	490	499	508	520	529	536	542	547	550
Age 55 thru 59	353	357	361	364	366	364	362	359	356	354	350	346
Age 60 thru 64	277	276	274	273	271	268	264	259	254	249	243	237
Age 65 thru 84	789	760	729	697	666	635	603	572	542	512	483	454
Age 85 & Older	189	191	192	194	195	195	195	194	191	189	185	182
<b>Barton County</b>												
Total Population	27,828	27,723	27,614	27,512	27,419	27,317	27,219	27,133	27,065	26,999	26,927	26,849
Age 0 thru 4	1,641	1,618	1,593	1,567	1,546	1,520	1,493	1,467	1,442	1,417	1,391	1,364
Age 5 thru 9	1,650	1,609	1,569	1,528	1,490	1,453	1,416	1,379	1,342	1,306	1,270	1,234
Age 10 thru 14	1,767	1,717	1,664	1,609	1,558	1,510	1,462	1,417	1,373	1,329	1,285	1,242
Age 15 thru 19	2,209	2,187	2,157	2,126	2,090	2,055	2,022	1,990	1,964	1,935	1,904	1,871
Age 20 thru 24	1,871	1,909	1,945	1,978	2,006	2,026	2,053	2,088	2,119	2,150	2,179	2,206
Age 25 thru 29	1,584	1,594	1,611	1,636	1,669	1,708	1,738	1,761	1,776	1,793	1,812	1,831
Age 30 thru 34	1,157	1,116	1,077	1,039	1,002	961	921	883	847	813	780	748
Age 35 thru 39	1,216	1,144	1,072	1,003	935	876	822	773	726	680	637	595
Age 40 thru 44	2,080	2,053	2,021	1,986	1,952	1,917	1,881	1,848	1,818	1,787	1,754	1,720
Age 45 thru 49	2,463	2,510	2,556	2,602	2,641	2,673	2,707	2,742	2,782	2,821	2,858	2,893
Age 50 thru 54	2,376	2,467	2,566	2,670	2,771	2,873	2,978	3,082	3,193	3,307	3,422	3,539
Age 55 thru 59	1,699	1,735	1,774	1,815	1,863	1,910	1,955	1,998	2,039	2,081	2,123	2,165
Age 60 thru 64	1,298	1,297	1,296	1,296	1,298	1,296	1,295	1,291	1,286	1,282	1,277	1,272
Age 65 thru 84	3,893	3,830	3,765	3,698	3,629	3,563	3,494	3,425	3,361	3,295	3,227	3,158
Age 85 & Older	924	937	948	959	969	976	982	989	997	1,003	1,008	1,011
<b>Bourbon County</b>												
Total Population	15,016	15,014	15,012	15,004	14,977	14,940	14,906	14,877	14,846	14,811	14,783	14,760
Age 0 thru 4	1,030	1,038	1,048	1,059	1,068	1,075	1,082	1,089	1,093	1,097	1,101	1,106



**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Bourbon County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 5 thru 9	812	786	759	731	704	677	652	628	605	582	560	538
Age 10 thru 14	986	971	955	938	919	897	874	854	835	816	797	778
Age 15 thru 19	1,083	1,058	1,031	1,003	980	957	936	915	893	869	847	824
Age 20 thru 24	1,186	1,230	1,275	1,318	1,346	1,378	1,406	1,434	1,471	1,508	1,545	1,583
Age 25 thru 29	940	948	958	970	980	987	1,000	1,012	1,018	1,023	1,029	1,035
Age 30 thru 34	847	850	854	861	864	867	865	864	861	859	857	856
Age 35 thru 39	730	701	672	644	615	588	564	542	519	496	474	452
Age 40 thru 44	935	922	908	894	877	858	838	819	803	786	769	752
Age 45 thru 49	1,088	1,096	1,104	1,108	1,113	1,116	1,121	1,126	1,129	1,131	1,132	1,134
Age 50 thru 54	1,118	1,147	1,174	1,196	1,216	1,238	1,260	1,284	1,309	1,332	1,355	1,378
Age 55 thru 59	1,005	1,032	1,059	1,086	1,117	1,148	1,180	1,208	1,236	1,265	1,294	1,324
Age 60 thru 64	830	851	876	902	929	951	971	990	1,010	1,030	1,052	1,075
Age 65 thru 84	1,924	1,880	1,834	1,787	1,740	1,691	1,642	1,597	1,551	1,505	1,461	1,417
Age 85 & Older	502	504	505	507	509	512	515	515	513	512	510	508
<b>Brown County</b>												
Total Population	10,055	9,999	9,931	9,862	9,793	9,715	9,631	9,555	9,478	9,401	9,326	9,257
Age 0 thru 4	650	646	640	634	630	623	617	611	602	594	586	577
Age 5 thru 9	591	574	556	539	523	507	488	471	454	437	421	405
Age 10 thru 14	612	591	568	545	524	503	482	462	442	423	404	386
Age 15 thru 19	666	647	625	604	581	557	536	517	498	480	461	442
Age 20 thru 24	775	812	853	896	934	971	1,011	1,045	1,085	1,126	1,168	1,212
Age 25 thru 29	452	444	437	431	426	422	417	413	405	397	389	382
Age 30 thru 34	420	404	388	372	356	342	326	312	298	284	270	258
Age 35 thru 39	512	493	473	453	433	415	398	381	365	348	332	316
Age 40 thru 44	664	650	633	615	601	585	568	552	536	520	504	488
Age 45 thru 49	798	804	807	807	805	798	788	782	779	774	768	762
Age 50 thru 54	832	855	879	899	916	936	956	978	998	1,017	1,036	1,054
Age 55 thru 59	731	756	781	807	836	864	892	918	944	970	996	1,023
Age 60 thru 64	529	534	539	544	547	549	549	549	549	549	549	549
Age 65 thru 84	1,379	1,341	1,301	1,262	1,224	1,183	1,142	1,103	1,064	1,025	987	950
Age 85 & Older	444	448	451	454	457	460	461	461	459	457	455	453
<b>Butler County</b>												
Total Population	64,278	64,951	65,196	65,044	65,219	65,810	66,305	66,762	67,160	67,523	67,881	68,231
Age 0 thru 4	3,524	3,487	3,415	3,314	3,230	3,166	3,099	3,032	2,961	2,888	2,811	2,734
Age 5 thru 9	4,037	3,989	3,910	3,815	3,747	3,714	3,682	3,647	3,609	3,573	3,534	3,489
Age 10 thru 14	4,439	4,380	4,285	4,168	4,070	4,006	3,945	3,885	3,830	3,778	3,721	3,657
Age 15 thru 19	5,377	5,450	5,485	5,501	5,537	5,614	5,689	5,766	5,850	5,937	6,019	6,089
Age 20 thru 24	4,874	5,032	5,180	5,297	5,461	5,645	5,787	5,908	6,025	6,129	6,274	6,466
Age 25 thru 29	4,458	4,624	4,797	4,983	5,213	5,490	5,757	5,992	6,214	6,458	6,718	6,988
Age 30 thru 34	3,294	3,254	3,201	3,134	3,095	3,073	3,038	2,994	2,944	2,900	2,856	2,811
Age 35 thru 39	3,700	3,600	3,478	3,344	3,238	3,158	3,074	2,985	2,895	2,809	2,724	2,637
Age 40 thru 44	5,253	5,291	5,297	5,275	5,276	5,313	5,329	5,344	5,358	5,375	5,388	5,392
Age 45 thru 49	5,642	5,720	5,739	5,680	5,579	5,505	5,435	5,375	5,320	5,236	5,136	5,024
Age 50 thru 54	5,124	5,279	5,387	5,423	5,422	5,470	5,534	5,601	5,648	5,665	5,663	5,648

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Butler County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 55 thru 59	4,049	4,205	4,341	4,441	4,529	4,623	4,723	4,825	4,909	4,975	5,030	5,075
Age 60 thru 64	2,404	2,402	2,379	2,330	2,276	2,236	2,194	2,151	2,103	2,048	1,988	1,926
Age 65 thru 84	6,946	7,059	7,112	7,144	7,321	7,531	7,714	7,913	8,111	8,328	8,552	8,783
Age 85 & Older	1,157	1,179	1,190	1,195	1,225	1,266	1,305	1,344	1,383	1,424	1,467	1,512
<b>Chase County</b>												
Total Population	3,108	3,114	3,122	3,129	3,137	3,146	3,152	3,161	3,170	3,179	3,189	3,204
Age 0 thru 4	261	269	279	289	298	300	300	304	309	313	317	321
Age 5 thru 9	161	157	153	149	144	141	137	134	130	125	121	117
Age 10 thru 14	165	158	152	145	138	132	125	119	113	107	102	96
Age 15 thru 19	202	199	196	192	188	186	183	179	176	171	167	163
Age 20 thru 24	277	304	333	365	403	438	480	522	565	612	663	718
Age 25 thru 29	134	131	127	123	120	120	118	115	112	109	105	102
Age 30 thru 34	161	160	159	158	156	153	151	148	145	142	139	136
Age 35 thru 39	132	123	113	103	95	87	80	74	68	62	57	52
Age 40 thru 44	275	278	281	284	285	287	289	290	291	291	291	290
Age 45 thru 49	247	249	251	253	252	253	251	250	249	248	246	244
Age 50 thru 54	219	222	223	222	221	221	221	222	221	221	219	217
Age 55 thru 59	208	211	214	217	220	221	223	224	225	227	227	227
Age 60 thru 64	159	159	160	161	162	164	165	165	165	164	163	163
Age 65 thru 84	407	395	382	370	357	344	330	317	304	291	278	266
Age 85 & Older	100	99	99	98	98	99	99	98	97	96	94	92
<b>Chautauqua County</b>												
Total Population	4,055	4,032	4,012	3,985	3,956	3,927	3,894	3,862	3,832	3,802	3,775	3,747
Age 0 thru 4	162	157	153	148	144	140	135	130	125	120	115	110
Age 5 thru 9	163	154	144	134	125	116	108	100	93	86	79	73
Age 10 thru 14	244	234	224	213	202	191	181	171	162	152	143	134
Age 15 thru 19	308	305	302	297	291	287	282	278	272	266	259	252
Age 20 thru 24	361	395	434	479	525	568	612	655	703	756	812	871
Age 25 thru 29	173	174	176	179	181	183	184	184	183	183	182	181
Age 30 thru 34	122	115	108	100	94	87	81	75	70	65	60	55
Age 35 thru 39	178	169	160	151	141	133	124	117	109	102	95	88
Age 40 thru 44	291	289	287	283	279	274	268	262	257	250	244	237
Age 45 thru 49	309	314	319	324	328	331	331	331	331	331	330	328
Age 50 thru 54	251	247	243	236	229	224	220	216	210	203	197	190
Age 55 thru 59	336	346	357	366	375	381	389	397	404	410	415	419
Age 60 thru 64	211	203	194	184	173	163	152	141	133	125	117	109
Age 65 thru 84	752	736	717	697	677	658	639	619	597	574	551	528
Age 85 & Older	194	194	194	194	192	191	188	186	183	179	176	172
<b>Cherokee County</b>												
Total Population	21,570	21,548	21,511	21,443	21,363	21,267	21,167	21,065	20,969	20,880	20,803	20,733
Age 0 thru 4	1,271	1,252	1,228	1,200	1,176	1,148	1,124	1,101	1,078	1,055	1,032	1,009
Age 5 thru 9	1,410	1,392	1,372	1,351	1,329	1,310	1,287	1,264	1,242	1,220	1,198	1,177
Age 10 thru 14	1,434	1,408	1,380	1,350	1,320	1,289	1,256	1,224	1,194	1,165	1,137	1,110

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Cherokee County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 15 thru 19	1,510	1,491	1,469	1,446	1,424	1,399	1,375	1,352	1,329	1,306	1,283	1,261
Age 20 thru 24	1,180	1,179	1,175	1,167	1,149	1,127	1,107	1,085	1,072	1,059	1,046	1,032
Age 25 thru 29	1,464	1,485	1,510	1,538	1,572	1,616	1,652	1,682	1,705	1,730	1,758	1,788
Age 30 thru 34	1,081	1,050	1,017	981	944	906	871	839	808	778	749	721
Age 35 thru 39	1,369	1,339	1,308	1,276	1,246	1,215	1,185	1,154	1,124	1,095	1,066	1,039
Age 40 thru 44	1,604	1,601	1,597	1,592	1,581	1,572	1,564	1,555	1,545	1,534	1,524	1,514
Age 45 thru 49	1,650	1,671	1,694	1,714	1,730	1,738	1,745	1,754	1,763	1,773	1,784	1,795
Age 50 thru 54	1,617	1,654	1,689	1,718	1,741	1,767	1,797	1,828	1,859	1,889	1,919	1,950
Age 55 thru 59	1,483	1,523	1,563	1,598	1,639	1,678	1,720	1,758	1,798	1,839	1,881	1,923
Age 60 thru 64	1,215	1,234	1,253	1,272	1,289	1,303	1,313	1,325	1,337	1,350	1,364	1,378
Age 65 thru 84	2,759	2,743	2,727	2,708	2,688	2,663	2,633	2,605	2,575	2,546	2,520	2,493
Age 85 & Older	523	526	529	532	535	536	538	539	540	541	542	543
<b>Cheyenne County</b>												
Total Population	2,887	2,867	2,843	2,821	2,797	2,769	2,745	2,716	2,685	2,657	2,629	2,608
Age 0 thru 4	105	101	97	93	88	82	78	74	70	66	62	58
Age 5 thru 9	127	121	114	107	100	94	89	83	78	72	67	63
Age 10 thru 14	185	179	172	166	160	154	147	140	133	127	121	115
Age 15 thru 19	201	199	196	192	187	182	177	171	167	162	157	152
Age 20 thru 24	183	197	213	232	252	275	297	319	340	363	388	415
Age 25 thru 29	59	55	50	46	42	38	35	32	29	26	24	22
Age 30 thru 34	96	91	85	79	73	67	61	56	52	48	44	40
Age 35 thru 39	163	159	155	151	148	144	142	137	133	128	123	119
Age 40 thru 44	186	180	173	165	159	150	143	137	130	124	117	111
Age 45 thru 49	263	268	272	275	275	274	274	273	272	271	270	268
Age 50 thru 54	244	251	258	265	271	278	286	294	299	304	309	314
Age 55 thru 59	202	206	210	215	219	222	223	223	225	226	226	227
Age 60 thru 64	157	159	163	168	173	177	181	185	186	188	190	192
Age 65 thru 84	532	513	492	470	448	425	401	379	358	338	318	299
Age 85 & Older	184	188	193	197	202	207	211	213	213	214	213	213
<b>Clark County</b>												
Total Population	2,259	2,248	2,233	2,222	2,208	2,190	2,176	2,160	2,146	2,130	2,115	2,099
Age 0 thru 4	99	95	91	87	81	76	72	67	64	60	56	52
Age 5 thru 9	127	123	117	112	106	101	96	92	87	82	78	73
Age 10 thru 14	164	162	159	156	153	150	148	145	141	137	133	129
Age 15 thru 19	152	148	144	138	133	128	122	118	113	108	103	98
Age 20 thru 24	166	184	206	231	255	278	303	328	357	388	421	456
Age 25 thru 29	71	68	64	61	58	55	52	48	46	43	40	37
Age 30 thru 34	91	88	84	81	77	73	69	66	62	59	55	52
Age 35 thru 39	99	94	89	83	78	74	70	66	62	58	54	50
Age 40 thru 44	154	150	146	142	138	133	128	123	118	113	108	103
Age 45 thru 49	186	188	190	191	192	192	191	190	190	188	186	184
Age 50 thru 54	172	173	173	173	172	171	170	170	169	167	165	162
Age 55 thru 59	175	181	186	193	201	208	216	222	227	232	237	241
Age 60 thru 64	109	107	105	103	100	96	92	88	85	82	79	75

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Clark County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 65 thru 84	383	376	368	360	352	343	334	324	313	302	291	280
Age 85 & Older	111	111	111	111	112	112	113	113	112	111	109	107
<b>Clay County</b>												
Total Population	8,522	8,481	8,438	8,393	8,339	8,294	8,255	8,215	8,175	8,137	8,101	8,072
Age 0 thru 4	390	380	369	358	346	334	322	311	301	290	280	270
Age 5 thru 9	396	378	360	341	323	306	292	277	263	249	236	223
Age 10 thru 14	504	484	463	442	421	401	380	362	345	328	312	296
Age 15 thru 19	588	581	572	562	551	541	530	519	509	499	488	477
Age 20 thru 24	662	697	737	780	820	858	898	939	981	1,025	1,071	1,119
Age 25 thru 29	484	489	495	503	515	530	549	562	569	577	585	593
Age 30 thru 34	393	381	370	359	346	335	324	313	301	290	279	269
Age 35 thru 39	398	378	358	339	320	303	288	273	258	244	230	217
Age 40 thru 44	571	560	549	536	522	508	496	483	471	458	445	433
Age 45 thru 49	664	661	656	648	638	627	615	605	597	588	578	568
Age 50 thru 54	774	801	828	853	881	911	941	971	999	1,028	1,056	1,085
Age 55 thru 59	610	629	647	667	683	700	715	729	745	761	777	793
Age 60 thru 64	426	428	430	432	434	433	433	433	432	430	429	428
Age 65 thru 84	1,261	1,229	1,193	1,156	1,117	1,079	1,040	1,003	968	933	898	864
Age 85 & Older	401	405	411	417	422	428	432	435	436	437	437	437
<b>Cloud County</b>												
Total Population	9,515	9,427	9,338	9,254	9,168	9,080	8,995	8,902	8,813	8,728	8,647	8,566
Age 0 thru 4	519	518	517	517	518	518	518	516	513	510	507	504
Age 5 thru 9	419	401	383	366	349	332	316	301	286	272	259	246
Age 10 thru 14	506	486	466	446	427	409	390	372	355	339	324	308
Age 15 thru 19	793	775	756	736	717	698	681	663	646	629	611	593
Age 20 thru 24	812	838	866	896	921	946	970	993	1,018	1,044	1,070	1,095
Age 25 thru 29	535	544	556	573	591	610	628	645	656	668	682	696
Age 30 thru 34	321	304	286	268	251	234	220	206	193	181	169	158
Age 35 thru 39	492	476	458	440	423	406	390	375	360	345	331	317
Age 40 thru 44	621	613	605	597	588	578	567	554	544	533	522	511
Age 45 thru 49	689	693	696	698	700	701	702	702	701	700	699	698
Age 50 thru 54	681	686	690	691	690	689	690	691	692	692	691	689
Age 55 thru 59	674	696	718	741	767	791	816	838	860	883	907	931
Age 60 thru 64	450	444	436	428	420	412	402	392	384	375	366	358
Age 65 thru 84	1,580	1,542	1,506	1,472	1,436	1,399	1,363	1,325	1,288	1,251	1,215	1,179
Age 85 & Older	423	411	399	385	370	357	342	329	317	306	294	283
<b>Coffey County</b>												
Total Population	8,727	8,729	8,728	8,724	8,718	8,709	8,704	8,696	8,684	8,672	8,662	8,653
Age 0 thru 4	490	485	479	473	466	460	454	447	438	430	420	411
Age 5 thru 9	460	443	425	407	391	372	353	336	320	304	288	273
Age 10 thru 14	562	548	532	516	499	482	467	451	435	419	403	386
Age 15 thru 19	570	554	536	517	496	474	454	434	417	399	382	364
Age 20 thru 24	730	782	840	905	970	1,038	1,104	1,174	1,244	1,319	1,398	1,480

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Coffey County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 25 thru 29	444	440	437	435	435	433	431	428	422	416	410	404
Age 30 thru 34	419	410	402	392	381	370	357	345	333	321	309	298
Age 35 thru 39	439	415	392	367	346	327	308	290	273	255	239	223
Age 40 thru 44	686	679	670	660	647	632	617	603	590	576	561	546
Age 45 thru 49	755	761	764	764	763	761	760	755	752	748	742	735
Age 50 thru 54	817	853	890	926	957	991	1,025	1,060	1,094	1,127	1,160	1,192
Age 55 thru 59	630	652	673	692	716	738	762	785	805	825	845	863
Age 60 thru 64	473	483	493	503	515	525	535	543	549	555	560	566
Age 65 thru 84	1,013	990	968	946	920	895	870	843	816	788	761	734
Age 85 & Older	239	234	227	221	216	211	207	202	196	190	184	178
<b>Comanche County</b>												
Total Population	1,866	1,848	1,827	1,807	1,784	1,766	1,751	1,735	1,718	1,700	1,682	1,668
Age 0 thru 4	62	58	54	50	46	42	38	36	33	30	28	26
Age 5 thru 9	103	100	97	95	92	90	88	85	83	80	78	75
Age 10 thru 14	105	101	97	94	90	86	82	78	75	72	68	65
Age 15 thru 19	121	121	122	122	122	123	122	121	121	120	120	119
Age 20 thru 24	112	121	131	141	149	159	171	182	193	206	219	233
Age 25 thru 29	70	68	65	62	60	59	58	56	54	52	50	48
Age 30 thru 34	100	101	103	106	107	109	110	112	112	113	114	115
Age 35 thru 39	76	72	68	63	60	57	54	52	49	46	43	41
Age 40 thru 44	123	121	119	117	114	110	108	104	102	99	96	94
Age 45 thru 49	135	135	134	133	131	130	129	129	127	126	124	123
Age 50 thru 54	144	144	143	141	141	141	141	141	141	140	139	138
Age 55 thru 59	146	147	148	149	150	149	149	149	149	149	149	148
Age 60 thru 64	128	128	127	127	125	124	124	123	122	121	119	118
Age 65 thru 84	350	340	329	318	310	303	295	287	278	269	260	252
Age 85 & Older	91	91	90	89	87	84	82	80	79	77	75	73
<b>Cowley County</b>												
Total Population	34,976	34,842	34,694	34,521	34,341	34,158	33,988	33,825	33,662	33,509	33,320	33,157
Age 0 thru 4	2,207	2,192	2,176	2,157	2,140	2,117	2,097	2,074	2,053	2,031	2,007	1,984
Age 5 thru 9	2,047	1,991	1,932	1,871	1,811	1,753	1,700	1,649	1,599	1,549	1,498	1,449
Age 10 thru 14	2,331	2,283	2,232	2,181	2,128	2,072	2,018	1,967	1,918	1,870	1,820	1,772
Age 15 thru 19	2,835	2,802	2,764	2,723	2,685	2,648	2,612	2,577	2,543	2,507	2,467	2,427
Age 20 thru 24	2,910	2,993	3,080	3,169	3,246	3,305	3,370	3,436	3,511	3,590	3,665	3,743
Age 25 thru 29	2,038	2,031	2,028	2,030	2,041	2,043	2,046	2,040	2,032	2,025	2,017	2,010
Age 30 thru 34	1,828	1,791	1,757	1,720	1,684	1,647	1,612	1,575	1,537	1,500	1,463	1,428
Age 35 thru 39	1,798	1,718	1,636	1,556	1,482	1,411	1,345	1,282	1,221	1,162	1,105	1,050
Age 40 thru 44	2,543	2,522	2,499	2,473	2,439	2,406	2,371	2,340	2,311	2,281	2,248	2,216
Age 45 thru 49	2,687	2,708	2,727	2,742	2,749	2,758	2,768	2,781	2,791	2,800	2,805	2,812
Age 50 thru 54	2,631	2,689	2,748	2,802	2,859	2,928	2,997	3,060	3,121	3,184	3,242	3,304
Age 55 thru 59	2,200	2,247	2,292	2,337	2,387	2,435	2,476	2,527	2,573	2,620	2,664	2,710
Age 60 thru 64	1,704	1,719	1,733	1,747	1,760	1,778	1,794	1,804	1,813	1,823	1,831	1,840
Age 65 thru 84	4,244	4,178	4,107	4,027	3,943	3,867	3,791	3,718	3,644	3,572	3,494	3,420
Age 85 & Older	973	978	983	986	987	990	991	995	995	995	994	992

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Crawford County</b>												
Total Population	38,717	38,836	38,938	39,009	39,075	39,150	39,229	39,297	39,368	39,444	39,542	39,624
Age 0 thru 4	2,514	2,526	2,533	2,533	2,527	2,520	2,510	2,500	2,495	2,488	2,480	2,468
Age 5 thru 9	2,218	2,204	2,190	2,175	2,164	2,150	2,138	2,119	2,097	2,076	2,055	2,032
Age 10 thru 14	1,975	1,929	1,881	1,831	1,781	1,731	1,682	1,635	1,588	1,542	1,497	1,451
Age 15 thru 19	2,781	2,724	2,657	2,582	2,515	2,453	2,394	2,337	2,282	2,223	2,164	2,103
Age 20 thru 24	5,061	5,157	5,239	5,304	5,332	5,335	5,345	5,364	5,410	5,450	5,485	5,511
Age 25 thru 29	3,942	4,124	4,335	4,578	4,855	5,174	5,473	5,761	6,031	6,321	6,634	6,965
Age 30 thru 34	2,072	2,052	2,035	2,014	1,988	1,961	1,932	1,904	1,873	1,843	1,814	1,784
Age 35 thru 39	1,890	1,836	1,781	1,727	1,675	1,626	1,576	1,528	1,479	1,430	1,383	1,336
Age 40 thru 44	2,174	2,136	2,093	2,046	1,997	1,947	1,904	1,861	1,818	1,774	1,731	1,686
Age 45 thru 49	2,548	2,569	2,584	2,594	2,596	2,597	2,598	2,597	2,600	2,601	2,601	2,597
Age 50 thru 54	2,366	2,401	2,430	2,448	2,465	2,489	2,511	2,537	2,562	2,583	2,602	2,618
Age 55 thru 59	2,178	2,241	2,302	2,361	2,426	2,485	2,550	2,619	2,683	2,747	2,812	2,875
Age 60 thru 64	1,587	1,600	1,613	1,625	1,638	1,641	1,641	1,638	1,640	1,642	1,644	1,644
Age 65 thru 84	4,164	4,078	3,993	3,907	3,824	3,740	3,664	3,580	3,490	3,402	3,316	3,229
Age 85 & Older	1,247	1,259	1,272	1,284	1,292	1,301	1,311	1,317	1,320	1,322	1,324	1,325
<b>Decatur County</b>												
Total Population	3,032	2,984	2,941	2,894	2,847	2,796	2,742	2,692	2,640	2,586	2,533	2,480
Age 0 thru 4	123	118	114	109	104	99	94	90	85	80	75	71
Age 5 thru 9	117	109	102	94	87	80	74	67	61	56	51	46
Age 10 thru 14	167	157	148	139	130	120	111	103	95	88	81	75
Age 15 thru 19	236	234	231	227	221	216	210	204	199	193	187	180
Age 20 thru 24	197	211	228	248	270	290	310	331	351	371	393	416
Age 25 thru 29	62	57	52	47	43	39	35	31	28	25	22	20
Age 30 thru 34	80	73	67	61	55	49	45	40	36	33	29	26
Age 35 thru 39	140	132	125	117	109	102	94	87	81	75	69	63
Age 40 thru 44	203	196	187	177	167	157	147	139	131	123	115	107
Age 45 thru 49	280	282	283	284	282	280	276	273	270	266	261	256
Age 50 thru 54	279	287	297	306	314	323	329	335	340	345	349	352
Age 55 thru 59	187	186	185	183	184	183	182	182	179	176	173	169
Age 60 thru 64	179	179	180	181	181	181	181	180	178	175	173	170
Age 65 thru 84	628	611	592	573	553	533	512	491	470	448	427	405
Age 85 & Older	154	152	150	148	147	144	142	139	136	132	128	124
<b>Dickinson County</b>												
Total Population	19,257	19,254	19,238	19,217	19,189	19,166	19,156	19,145	19,129	19,131	19,128	19,123
Age 0 thru 4	1,000	986	971	954	937	920	904	889	872	855	838	821
Age 5 thru 9	1,010	979	945	910	876	844	816	787	758	731	703	676
Age 10 thru 14	1,222	1,188	1,150	1,113	1,073	1,036	1,000	966	933	901	869	837
Age 15 thru 19	1,405	1,401	1,393	1,385	1,368	1,348	1,331	1,315	1,302	1,288	1,273	1,256
Age 20 thru 24	1,328	1,396	1,473	1,556	1,656	1,751	1,844	1,935	2,028	2,129	2,235	2,347
Age 25 thru 29	1,037	1,041	1,048	1,058	1,073	1,085	1,094	1,106	1,109	1,113	1,118	1,123
Age 30 thru 34	855	831	807	782	756	729	701	676	652	629	606	583
Age 35 thru 39	1,007	962	915	867	824	788	753	717	683	650	618	586
Age 40 thru 44	1,536	1,531	1,522	1,508	1,486	1,461	1,443	1,429	1,414	1,398	1,380	1,361

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Dickinson County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 45 thru 49	1,673	1,706	1,738	1,766	1,790	1,819	1,849	1,876	1,901	1,927	1,953	1,976
Age 50 thru 54	1,525	1,573	1,621	1,669	1,713	1,758	1,800	1,839	1,884	1,931	1,976	2,021
Age 55 thru 59	1,255	1,285	1,314	1,342	1,373	1,403	1,438	1,475	1,505	1,536	1,566	1,596
Age 60 thru 64	957	960	961	962	961	959	954	947	943	940	935	930
Age 65 thru 84	2,869	2,842	2,812	2,782	2,746	2,710	2,673	2,635	2,597	2,560	2,521	2,479
Age 85 & Older	578	573	568	563	557	555	556	553	548	543	537	531
<b>Doniphan County</b>												
Total Population	7,657	7,596	7,527	7,446	7,374	7,319	7,267	7,219	7,179	7,145	7,115	7,091
Age 0 thru 4	371	360	348	334	321	309	299	289	279	269	260	251
Age 5 thru 9	463	454	443	433	423	416	410	404	399	396	392	388
Age 10 thru 14	511	503	494	486	479	474	470	465	462	460	458	457
Age 15 thru 19	756	750	743	737	732	730	731	735	738	743	748	753
Age 20 thru 24	475	463	452	438	426	412	396	379	364	350	338	329
Age 25 thru 29	429	427	426	428	431	436	437	437	438	441	444	448
Age 30 thru 34	380	371	364	358	352	346	340	334	328	323	319	316
Age 35 thru 39	411	399	387	377	368	361	354	346	338	330	324	319
Age 40 thru 44	481	468	454	441	428	417	406	395	386	378	370	363
Age 45 thru 49	648	648	647	640	629	620	612	605	599	590	580	570
Age 50 thru 54	639	648	655	656	653	651	650	652	653	652	650	646
Age 55 thru 59	526	541	556	568	575	581	590	598	607	615	622	627
Age 60 thru 64	341	339	337	333	328	323	318	313	308	302	296	289
Age 65 thru 84	1,007	1,003	996	989	996	1,004	1,009	1,016	1,023	1,032	1,043	1,056
Age 85 & Older	219	222	225	228	233	239	245	251	257	264	271	279
<b>Douglas County</b>												
Total Population	105,572	106,566	107,276	107,932	108,964	109,957	110,741	111,444	112,048	112,644	113,250	113,841
Age 0 thru 4	5,985	6,052	6,099	6,140	6,195	6,240	6,264	6,276	6,276	6,271	6,264	6,255
Age 5 thru 9	4,973	4,967	4,946	4,932	4,929	4,938	4,952	4,964	4,973	4,990	5,005	5,011
Age 10 thru 14	4,958	4,940	4,901	4,865	4,840	4,813	4,788	4,766	4,755	4,750	4,740	4,722
Age 15 thru 19	9,947	10,005	10,033	10,086	10,209	10,354	10,490	10,621	10,740	10,878	11,012	11,133
Age 20 thru 24	16,352	16,454	16,526	16,565	16,672	16,758	16,771	16,748	16,739	16,712	16,730	16,796
Age 25 thru 29	14,088	14,601	15,151	15,792	16,515	17,243	17,909	18,515	19,067	19,661	20,273	20,885
Age 30 thru 34	6,985	6,915	6,833	6,742	6,671	6,585	6,472	6,336	6,204	6,079	5,953	5,819
Age 35 thru 39	5,531	5,333	5,120	4,913	4,740	4,578	4,408	4,241	4,061	3,889	3,719	3,551
Age 40 thru 44	5,889	5,746	5,581	5,408	5,256	5,088	4,909	4,729	4,568	4,410	4,249	4,085
Age 45 thru 49	6,496	6,487	6,430	6,312	6,147	5,976	5,817	5,673	5,523	5,342	5,148	4,947
Age 50 thru 54	6,697	6,869	6,997	7,057	7,024	7,013	7,018	7,034	7,034	6,989	6,917	6,825
Age 55 thru 59	5,650	5,920	6,184	6,429	6,631	6,795	6,972	7,156	7,321	7,451	7,563	7,659
Age 60 thru 64	3,265	3,322	3,368	3,398	3,409	3,416	3,415	3,409	3,388	3,352	3,308	3,258
Age 65 thru 84	7,527	7,690	7,811	7,959	8,319	8,678	9,004	9,349	9,698	10,086	10,497	10,929
Age 85 & Older	1,229	1,265	1,296	1,334	1,407	1,482	1,552	1,627	1,701	1,784	1,872	1,966
<b>Edwards County</b>												
Total Population	3,198	3,169	3,134	3,102	3,069	3,038	3,009	2,976	2,946	2,915	2,887	2,861
Age 0 thru 4	198	197	196	194	192	190	187	185	183	180	177	175

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Edwards County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 5 thru 9	177	172	167	162	157	151	146	140	136	131	126	121
Age 10 thru 14	187	179	171	163	156	150	144	136	130	124	118	112
Age 15 thru 19	228	225	220	215	209	203	198	193	188	184	179	174
Age 20 thru 24	209	220	231	244	258	269	284	296	309	322	336	351
Age 25 thru 29	107	101	95	89	83	79	74	71	66	62	59	55
Age 30 thru 34	152	149	145	141	137	134	130	125	121	117	113	109
Age 35 thru 39	182	177	171	165	160	156	150	145	140	135	130	125
Age 40 thru 44	240	236	230	224	217	210	204	197	192	186	181	175
Age 45 thru 49	292	297	302	306	310	314	319	323	327	330	334	337
Age 50 thru 54	265	273	282	292	302	312	320	329	337	345	354	363
Age 55 thru 59	196	199	201	204	206	208	211	213	214	216	217	219
Age 60 thru 64	154	150	145	141	136	132	128	125	121	117	113	109
Age 65 thru 84	482	466	450	433	417	401	386	371	356	342	327	314
Age 85 & Older	129	128	128	129	129	129	128	127	126	124	123	122
<b>Elk County</b>												
Total Population	3,040	3,024	3,004	2,988	2,965	2,942	2,912	2,886	2,863	2,842	2,819	2,796
Age 0 thru 4	126	125	123	122	119	115	112	109	106	103	100	97
Age 5 thru 9	114	108	101	95	90	84	79	74	70	65	61	57
Age 10 thru 14	163	156	149	141	133	126	118	112	106	101	95	89
Age 15 thru 19	220	220	219	218	216	213	208	204	201	198	195	191
Age 20 thru 24	202	213	224	236	246	256	264	273	283	294	304	315
Age 25 thru 29	212	223	238	256	275	294	315	336	354	374	395	418
Age 30 thru 34	113	110	106	103	99	95	91	87	84	80	77	73
Age 35 thru 39	129	123	117	111	104	99	94	89	84	79	75	70
Age 40 thru 44	203	202	201	199	198	197	194	191	188	186	183	180
Age 45 thru 49	221	220	218	216	212	207	203	198	194	190	186	182
Age 50 thru 54	223	223	222	221	219	218	217	216	214	212	210	207
Age 55 thru 59	229	232	234	236	238	239	237	236	237	237	236	235
Age 60 thru 64	199	198	197	196	195	197	197	197	195	194	192	190
Age 65 thru 84	555	543	531	518	505	491	477	462	448	434	419	405
Age 85 & Older	131	128	124	120	116	111	106	102	99	95	91	87
<b>Ellis County</b>												
Total Population	26,908	26,934	26,939	26,925	26,908	26,856	26,797	26,739	26,692	26,644	26,602	26,559
Age 0 thru 4	1,622	1,622	1,621	1,618	1,617	1,610	1,599	1,584	1,570	1,555	1,540	1,523
Age 5 thru 9	1,269	1,237	1,204	1,173	1,142	1,107	1,071	1,039	1,003	969	935	901
Age 10 thru 14	1,138	1,079	1,020	959	904	850	800	752	707	663	622	582
Age 15 thru 19	2,027	1,966	1,898	1,825	1,758	1,691	1,625	1,563	1,504	1,444	1,384	1,325
Age 20 thru 24	4,081	4,157	4,219	4,263	4,265	4,251	4,240	4,257	4,276	4,288	4,293	4,289
Age 25 thru 29	2,795	2,925	3,077	3,256	3,458	3,661	3,860	4,025	4,194	4,374	4,565	4,766
Age 30 thru 34	1,217	1,183	1,150	1,117	1,083	1,049	1,014	974	937	901	866	833
Age 35 thru 39	1,199	1,140	1,082	1,025	972	922	871	825	779	734	692	651
Age 40 thru 44	1,474	1,429	1,379	1,326	1,273	1,221	1,173	1,124	1,079	1,034	989	945
Age 45 thru 49	1,863	1,862	1,851	1,832	1,805	1,775	1,746	1,720	1,698	1,673	1,645	1,615
Age 50 thru 54	2,008	2,084	2,162	2,237	2,316	2,393	2,469	2,548	2,623	2,698	2,773	2,847



**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Ellis County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 55 thru 59	1,478	1,530	1,585	1,643	1,704	1,764	1,818	1,872	1,923	1,975	2,027	2,080
Age 60 thru 64	894	886	875	864	854	842	830	816	801	786	771	755
Age 65 thru 84	3,222	3,208	3,187	3,158	3,128	3,094	3,058	3,020	2,979	2,934	2,888	2,840
Age 85 & Older	621	626	629	629	629	626	623	620	619	616	612	607
<b>Ellsworth County</b>												
Total Population	6,287	6,264	6,245	6,225	6,204	6,182	6,160	6,138	6,111	6,089	6,067	6,046
Age 0 thru 4	204	197	190	183	176	168	159	151	144	137	131	125
Age 5 thru 9	234	223	213	202	193	184	176	168	160	152	144	136
Age 10 thru 14	299	285	271	256	243	229	217	204	193	182	172	162
Age 15 thru 19	438	432	425	418	408	399	389	380	372	364	355	346
Age 20 thru 24	549	579	613	651	688	727	766	802	838	878	919	962
Age 25 thru 29	303	296	289	283	277	272	265	258	251	244	236	229
Age 30 thru 34	338	333	328	324	321	317	312	306	299	292	286	279
Age 35 thru 39	366	353	339	325	311	297	286	277	265	253	242	231
Age 40 thru 44	432	420	407	391	376	361	347	334	322	310	297	285
Age 45 thru 49	626	639	653	665	674	682	690	696	704	712	719	725
Age 50 thru 54	534	550	567	582	596	611	625	640	655	669	683	696
Age 55 thru 59	452	466	480	495	512	526	540	557	570	584	598	612
Age 60 thru 64	334	336	338	341	341	340	338	336	334	333	331	329
Age 65 thru 84	951	933	915	898	882	866	849	832	811	791	771	751
Age 85 & Older	227	222	217	211	206	203	201	197	193	188	183	178
<b>Finney County</b>												
Total Population	40,073	40,392	40,693	40,974	41,236	41,463	41,638	41,757	41,833	41,940	42,113	42,314
Age 0 thru 4	3,685	3,655	3,613	3,561	3,500	3,437	3,374	3,307	3,239	3,170	3,103	3,035
Age 5 thru 9	3,776	3,780	3,774	3,761	3,746	3,724	3,693	3,652	3,611	3,570	3,530	3,489
Age 10 thru 14	3,578	3,594	3,605	3,613	3,622	3,616	3,606	3,589	3,563	3,536	3,513	3,489
Age 15 thru 19	3,353	3,349	3,340	3,328	3,316	3,302	3,278	3,246	3,211	3,174	3,139	3,103
Age 20 thru 24	2,311	2,267	2,213	2,145	2,078	2,024	1,970	1,909	1,848	1,787	1,729	1,671
Age 25 thru 29	1,877	1,778	1,678	1,576	1,479	1,386	1,298	1,218	1,140	1,065	996	930
Age 30 thru 34	2,353	2,288	2,216	2,134	2,050	1,968	1,885	1,799	1,722	1,648	1,577	1,507
Age 35 thru 39	2,985	2,968	2,950	2,930	2,897	2,867	2,835	2,796	2,748	2,702	2,658	2,614
Age 40 thru 44	3,301	3,358	3,415	3,468	3,521	3,567	3,601	3,629	3,650	3,672	3,695	3,719
Age 45 thru 49	3,088	3,180	3,274	3,369	3,455	3,533	3,598	3,663	3,724	3,786	3,852	3,919
Age 50 thru 54	2,884	3,051	3,227	3,411	3,598	3,782	3,969	4,155	4,341	4,537	4,744	4,962
Age 55 thru 59	2,276	2,415	2,568	2,735	2,911	3,085	3,265	3,447	3,621	3,806	4,007	4,219
Age 60 thru 64	1,491	1,559	1,631	1,710	1,788	1,866	1,938	2,006	2,072	2,141	2,217	2,295
Age 65 thru 84	2,694	2,719	2,748	2,781	2,812	2,833	2,846	2,852	2,849	2,846	2,847	2,849
Age 85 & Older	421	431	441	452	463	473	482	489	494	500	506	513
<b>Ford County</b>												
Total Population	35,001	35,428	35,812	36,182	36,545	36,921	37,274	37,628	37,964	38,330	38,724	39,104
Age 0 thru 4	3,448	3,518	3,580	3,639	3,704	3,766	3,831	3,892	3,954	4,019	4,085	4,150
Age 5 thru 9	2,991	3,026	3,051	3,073	3,095	3,119	3,142	3,167	3,189	3,212	3,236	3,257
Age 10 thru 14	2,865	2,901	2,933	2,965	2,992	3,020	3,043	3,064	3,086	3,111	3,137	3,161

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Ford County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 15 thru 19	2,590	2,587	2,577	2,567	2,564	2,563	2,562	2,560	2,554	2,547	2,540	2,532
Age 20 thru 24	2,432	2,439	2,434	2,418	2,402	2,386	2,356	2,335	2,322	2,309	2,296	2,280
Age 25 thru 29	2,748	2,775	2,803	2,830	2,859	2,892	2,922	2,947	2,968	2,992	3,017	3,041
Age 30 thru 34	2,566	2,590	2,609	2,619	2,628	2,638	2,646	2,649	2,656	2,664	2,674	2,681
Age 35 thru 39	2,491	2,508	2,522	2,538	2,552	2,573	2,588	2,604	2,612	2,622	2,634	2,645
Age 40 thru 44	2,475	2,512	2,550	2,588	2,627	2,661	2,693	2,725	2,755	2,787	2,821	2,854
Age 45 thru 49	2,156	2,191	2,224	2,255	2,277	2,299	2,325	2,348	2,373	2,398	2,425	2,450
Age 50 thru 54	2,150	2,236	2,324	2,412	2,498	2,589	2,680	2,780	2,877	2,980	3,087	3,197
Age 55 thru 59	1,669	1,740	1,818	1,903	1,994	2,082	2,174	2,270	2,361	2,459	2,564	2,672
Age 60 thru 64	1,120	1,134	1,149	1,166	1,179	1,194	1,206	1,216	1,225	1,236	1,249	1,261
Age 65 thru 84	2,792	2,764	2,734	2,708	2,678	2,647	2,617	2,586	2,550	2,516	2,484	2,452
Age 85 & Older	508	507	504	501	496	492	489	485	482	478	475	471
<b>Franklin County</b>												
Total Population	27,008	27,172	27,276	27,344	27,423	27,519	27,607	27,671	27,694	27,709	27,744	27,787
Age 0 thru 4	1,694	1,691	1,683	1,671	1,656	1,636	1,616	1,594	1,571	1,547	1,523	1,499
Age 5 thru 9	1,605	1,576	1,541	1,505	1,476	1,453	1,435	1,415	1,393	1,372	1,351	1,329
Age 10 thru 14	1,787	1,761	1,727	1,691	1,660	1,629	1,599	1,571	1,547	1,524	1,501	1,476
Age 15 thru 19	2,084	2,098	2,105	2,112	2,117	2,128	2,144	2,166	2,183	2,202	2,219	2,235
Age 20 thru 24	2,126	2,160	2,198	2,231	2,252	2,261	2,251	2,228	2,210	2,189	2,182	2,189
Age 25 thru 29	2,138	2,213	2,302	2,414	2,540	2,678	2,823	2,952	3,065	3,190	3,329	3,477
Age 30 thru 34	1,550	1,546	1,543	1,544	1,544	1,547	1,543	1,536	1,526	1,519	1,515	1,510
Age 35 thru 39	1,522	1,481	1,435	1,391	1,350	1,315	1,285	1,251	1,215	1,181	1,148	1,115
Age 40 thru 44	2,100	2,110	2,116	2,121	2,130	2,135	2,136	2,139	2,143	2,147	2,153	2,156
Age 45 thru 49	2,182	2,216	2,245	2,257	2,246	2,240	2,234	2,224	2,212	2,190	2,163	2,132
Age 50 thru 54	1,841	1,872	1,897	1,905	1,890	1,881	1,876	1,877	1,870	1,854	1,833	1,808
Age 55 thru 59	1,478	1,491	1,497	1,490	1,478	1,460	1,449	1,440	1,425	1,403	1,378	1,350
Age 60 thru 64	1,236	1,247	1,253	1,252	1,239	1,229	1,217	1,204	1,190	1,170	1,149	1,125
Age 65 thru 84	3,044	3,076	3,091	3,108	3,174	3,237	3,292	3,351	3,403	3,461	3,521	3,586
Age 85 & Older	621	634	643	652	671	690	707	723	741	760	779	800
<b>Geary County</b>												
Total Population	23,139	22,530	21,844	21,184	20,700	20,231	19,702	19,136	18,565	17,991	17,427	16,851
Age 0 thru 4	2,738	2,714	2,685	2,656	2,650	2,640	2,619	2,587	2,545	2,503	2,460	2,413
Age 5 thru 9	1,873	1,813	1,747	1,681	1,628	1,577	1,521	1,460	1,402	1,343	1,286	1,228
Age 10 thru 14	1,560	1,498	1,428	1,358	1,301	1,247	1,191	1,135	1,080	1,025	972	919
Age 15 thru 19	1,433	1,363	1,286	1,210	1,155	1,103	1,049	994	940	888	836	786
Age 20 thru 24	1,579	1,470	1,356	1,245	1,144	1,048	959	881	809	741	678	618
Age 25 thru 29	1,938	1,872	1,804	1,744	1,705	1,669	1,617	1,561	1,501	1,442	1,385	1,328
Age 30 thru 34	1,458	1,397	1,335	1,276	1,227	1,179	1,125	1,072	1,018	966	916	867
Age 35 thru 39	1,331	1,251	1,168	1,090	1,025	963	903	846	790	736	685	635
Age 40 thru 44	1,552	1,501	1,442	1,386	1,336	1,287	1,235	1,179	1,130	1,080	1,031	981
Age 45 thru 49	1,576	1,556	1,527	1,498	1,476	1,452	1,426	1,395	1,365	1,333	1,300	1,265
Age 50 thru 54	1,586	1,618	1,643	1,670	1,705	1,744	1,774	1,800	1,822	1,841	1,859	1,872
Age 55 thru 59	1,046	1,042	1,032	1,022	1,022	1,018	1,011	999	988	975	960	944
Age 60 thru 64	807	794	778	763	753	746	734	719	702	685	668	650

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Geary County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 65 thru 84	2,257	2,228	2,193	2,158	2,136	2,111	2,083	2,047	2,007	1,964	1,920	1,872
Age 85 & Older	405	413	420	427	437	447	455	461	466	469	471	473
<b>Gove County</b>												
Total Population	2,667	2,635	2,597	2,557	2,521	2,479	2,435	2,391	2,349	2,304	2,260	2,218
Age 0 thru 4	135	130	125	120	115	110	105	100	95	90	85	81
Age 5 thru 9	142	134	126	118	110	103	96	89	83	77	71	66
Age 10 thru 14	181	175	168	161	154	146	139	132	125	119	112	105
Age 15 thru 19	183	178	172	165	158	151	145	139	132	126	120	113
Age 20 thru 24	180	194	211	229	253	273	293	314	334	354	377	401
Age 25 thru 29	49	44	40	36	32	28	25	22	20	18	15	14
Age 30 thru 34	68	64	59	54	50	47	44	40	37	34	31	28
Age 35 thru 39	85	78	70	62	56	49	44	39	35	31	27	24
Age 40 thru 44	187	182	177	170	161	153	146	139	132	126	119	112
Age 45 thru 49	243	245	247	248	247	246	243	241	239	235	232	228
Age 50 thru 54	236	240	243	246	248	249	250	250	250	249	247	245
Age 55 thru 59	198	203	207	212	216	221	224	227	229	230	231	232
Age 60 thru 64	136	134	130	127	125	122	118	114	110	106	102	98
Age 65 thru 84	527	518	508	498	487	475	461	446	432	416	401	385
Age 85 & Older	117	116	114	111	109	106	102	99	96	93	90	86
<b>Graham County</b>												
Total Population	2,562	2,509	2,459	2,408	2,356	2,304	2,250	2,200	2,153	2,104	2,052	2,001
Age 0 thru 4	84	78	73	68	64	60	56	52	49	45	42	38
Age 5 thru 9	97	90	83	76	70	65	59	55	50	46	42	38
Age 10 thru 14	120	111	103	95	88	80	73	66	60	55	50	45
Age 15 thru 19	157	149	142	134	125	118	110	104	98	92	85	79
Age 20 thru 24	185	196	208	221	233	244	254	266	277	288	299	310
Age 25 thru 29	93	91	89	88	88	86	83	80	78	75	73	70
Age 30 thru 34	59	54	48	43	39	35	31	27	24	22	19	17
Age 35 thru 39	91	81	72	63	55	48	43	38	33	29	26	22
Age 40 thru 44	243	241	239	237	232	226	219	212	207	201	195	188
Age 45 thru 49	215	211	206	201	195	188	182	177	171	165	158	152
Age 50 thru 54	242	251	260	271	281	293	305	313	321	328	335	342
Age 55 thru 59	133	128	123	117	112	107	102	97	92	87	82	77
Age 60 thru 64	147	141	135	128	121	114	107	101	96	90	84	79
Age 65 thru 84	590	584	578	570	560	550	539	528	516	503	488	473
Age 85 & Older	106	103	100	96	93	90	87	84	81	78	74	71
<b>Grant County</b>												
Total Population	7,586	7,592	7,585	7,574	7,563	7,538	7,500	7,468	7,443	7,421	7,387	7,362
Age 0 thru 4	660	658	654	649	645	639	629	619	611	602	592	582
Age 5 thru 9	553	539	523	507	491	475	458	442	426	411	395	380
Age 10 thru 14	579	563	545	527	509	490	472	454	437	420	403	386
Age 15 thru 19	616	606	595	583	571	556	540	526	513	499	485	471
Age 20 thru 24	598	622	646	667	689	707	728	747	765	785	803	823

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2,019
<b>Grant County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 25 thru 29	249	229	209	190	172	154	137	123	112	101	91	82
Age 30 thru 34	446	439	432	423	416	407	399	392	382	373	363	353
Age 35 thru 39	409	391	371	351	331	312	293	276	260	245	230	216
Age 40 thru 44	625	627	629	630	628	625	617	609	604	599	592	585
Age 45 thru 49	608	614	617	618	618	614	609	606	604	601	596	591
Age 50 thru 54	639	664	690	716	742	768	796	820	845	871	895	920
Age 55 thru 59	494	515	538	564	594	626	658	688	715	743	771	802
Age 60 thru 64	330	340	350	363	371	380	385	393	401	408	415	422
Age 65 thru 84	692	695	696	695	694	692	685	679	673	668	661	654
Age 85 & Older	88	90	90	91	92	93	94	94	95	95	95	95
<b>Gray County</b>												
Total Population	5,955	5,994	6,027	6,059	6,088	6,115	6,138	6,155	6,174	6,190	6,201	6,217
Age 0 thru 4	464	467	468	470	470	468	466	463	461	459	455	452
Age 5 thru 9	425	418	410	401	390	380	370	360	350	340	330	320
Age 10 thru 14	467	460	452	443	435	427	419	409	400	390	380	370
Age 15 thru 19	464	461	455	450	443	436	429	422	416	408	400	392
Age 20 thru 24	465	487	510	532	560	587	612	637	662	688	714	741
Age 25 thru 29	357	357	356	354	353	350	346	341	337	334	329	325
Age 30 thru 34	329	323	317	311	303	295	289	282	275	267	259	252
Age 35 thru 39	331	321	311	302	293	286	279	270	261	252	243	234
Age 40 thru 44	401	399	396	393	386	378	369	360	353	347	339	331
Age 45 thru 49	438	443	448	451	454	455	456	460	462	462	462	462
Age 50 thru 54	449	466	484	503	520	540	557	574	592	610	628	645
Age 55 thru 59	370	383	398	412	430	446	462	478	493	509	524	539
Age 60 thru 64	297	313	332	353	375	399	424	447	469	492	517	543
Age 65 thru 84	573	572	569	566	561	556	550	544	537	529	521	513
Age 85 & Older	125	124	121	118	115	112	110	108	106	103	100	98
<b>Greeley County</b>												
Total Population	1,271	1,245	1,218	1,184	1,153	1,124	1,092	1,064	1,032	997	965	935
Age 0 thru 4	44	40	36	32	28	25	22	19	17	15	13	11
Age 5 thru 9	79	75	70	66	62	59	55	52	48	45	41	38
Age 10 thru 14	86	82	77	71	67	62	58	54	50	46	42	39
Age 15 thru 19	104	102	99	96	93	90	87	84	81	78	74	71
Age 20 thru 24	69	69	69	68	67	67	66	65	64	62	61	59
Age 25 thru 29	39	36	34	31	29	28	27	25	23	21	19	18
Age 30 thru 34	33	30	27	25	22	20	18	16	14	12	11	10
Age 35 thru 39	55	50	45	39	34	30	26	23	20	18	15	13
Age 40 thru 44	124	121	118	114	111	106	102	99	95	91	87	83
Age 45 thru 49	149	154	159	164	167	168	170	171	173	173	174	175
Age 50 thru 54	113	115	119	121	124	127	129	131	132	133	134	135
Age 55 thru 59	78	79	79	79	80	81	81	81	80	79	78	77
Age 60 thru 64	68	68	69	70	69	69	68	68	67	66	65	63
Age 65 thru 84	201	196	190	183	177	170	163	157	150	142	136	129
Age 85 & Older	29	28	27	25	23	22	20	19	18	16	15	14

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Greenwood County</b>												
Total Population	7,229	7,185	7,141	7,099	7,044	6,995	6,938	6,886	6,831	6,772	6,717	6,660
Age 0 thru 4	351	344	337	328	319	311	303	294	286	278	270	261
Age 5 thru 9	373	363	353	343	332	321	309	298	287	277	267	257
Age 10 thru 14	399	384	368	352	337	323	309	296	283	270	258	246
Age 15 thru 19	472	462	452	441	428	416	404	393	382	371	360	348
Age 20 thru 24	456	473	492	512	527	541	552	563	579	593	608	623
Age 25 thru 29	511	528	548	573	596	621	650	677	698	720	743	768
Age 30 thru 34	308	301	295	290	283	276	268	262	254	247	240	233
Age 35 thru 39	296	278	259	241	224	209	195	182	170	158	147	137
Age 40 thru 44	527	522	517	511	501	491	481	472	463	454	445	435
Age 45 thru 49	553	556	560	563	567	569	571	574	575	575	575	574
Age 50 thru 54	550	556	560	562	563	567	572	576	579	581	582	583
Age 55 thru 59	537	550	564	579	595	608	620	630	642	653	664	675
Age 60 thru 64	399	400	400	401	401	402	400	399	397	394	392	389
Age 65 thru 84	1,215	1,188	1,159	1,129	1,101	1,074	1,044	1,017	987	957	927	898
Age 85 & Older	282	280	277	274	270	266	260	253	249	244	239	233
<b>Hamilton County</b>												
Total Population	2,653	2,666	2,687	2,702	2,717	2,729	2,735	2,738	2,742	2,747	2,750	2,756
Age 0 thru 4	204	210	215	219	223	225	227	228	230	232	234	235
Age 5 thru 9	155	151	147	143	140	137	134	130	126	122	118	114
Age 10 thru 14	166	161	155	148	142	136	129	124	118	113	107	102
Age 15 thru 19	221	225	227	229	230	230	231	231	232	232	231	230
Age 20 thru 24	210	227	245	263	279	298	318	339	361	384	408	432
Age 25 thru 29	129	125	123	120	117	114	110	106	102	99	95	92
Age 30 thru 34	150	150	152	154	155	155	154	153	151	150	149	148
Age 35 thru 39	129	123	118	112	107	101	96	91	86	82	77	73
Age 40 thru 44	181	180	180	179	178	175	173	171	168	166	163	160
Age 45 thru 49	215	218	222	225	228	233	236	237	239	240	241	242
Age 50 thru 54	208	216	227	239	250	262	274	285	295	305	316	327
Age 55 thru 59	137	139	142	145	150	152	154	157	159	160	162	163
Age 60 thru 64	110	109	108	107	105	103	100	97	95	93	90	88
Age 65 thru 84	340	332	325	317	310	304	296	287	278	268	259	250
Age 85 & Older	98	100	101	102	103	104	103	102	102	101	100	100
<b>Harper County</b>												
Total Population	5,883	5,821	5,762	5,696	5,632	5,564	5,493	5,418	5,346	5,274	5,204	5,130
Age 0 thru 4	302	295	288	279	272	265	257	249	241	233	226	218
Age 5 thru 9	311	300	290	279	269	259	250	240	230	221	211	202
Age 10 thru 14	334	319	304	288	274	260	247	234	222	210	199	187
Age 15 thru 19	404	397	390	381	369	356	344	333	324	314	304	294
Age 20 thru 24	373	385	397	410	420	430	436	444	453	462	470	478
Age 25 thru 29	347	355	366	380	395	411	428	439	449	459	471	482
Age 30 thru 34	188	177	167	156	145	135	125	116	108	100	93	86
Age 35 thru 39	269	256	243	231	219	209	199	188	178	168	158	149
Age 40 thru 44	403	394	385	376	364	353	342	332	322	312	302	291

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Harper County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 45 thru 49	454	453	451	446	442	435	428	423	417	411	405	397
Age 50 thru 54	512	527	542	558	572	588	605	618	632	645	658	670
Age 55 thru 59	410	419	429	439	454	464	473	481	489	497	504	512
Age 60 thru 64	297	291	285	278	271	263	255	248	240	233	226	218
Age 65 thru 84	1,028	1,006	983	958	934	909	883	857	830	804	778	752
Age 85 & Older	251	247	242	237	232	227	221	216	211	205	199	194
<b>Harvey County</b>												
Total Population	34,538	34,810	34,823	34,629	34,659	34,920	35,138	35,347	35,527	35,702	35,885	36,082
Age 0 thru 4	2,193	2,212	2,212	2,195	2,191	2,196	2,198	2,197	2,193	2,187	2,180	2,171
Age 5 thru 9	2,076	2,063	2,035	1,999	1,976	1,972	1,969	1,966	1,960	1,956	1,952	1,945
Age 10 thru 14	2,152	2,124	2,078	2,023	1,984	1,959	1,936	1,911	1,889	1,869	1,848	1,825
Age 15 thru 19	2,602	2,607	2,594	2,570	2,554	2,563	2,574	2,591	2,604	2,620	2,633	2,643
Age 20 thru 24	2,611	2,658	2,694	2,710	2,753	2,803	2,834	2,851	2,869	2,882	2,912	2,965
Age 25 thru 29	2,262	2,328	2,392	2,460	2,551	2,657	2,756	2,842	2,926	3,019	3,118	3,221
Age 30 thru 34	1,751	1,739	1,720	1,693	1,677	1,669	1,660	1,647	1,630	1,617	1,604	1,591
Age 35 thru 39	1,896	1,856	1,805	1,751	1,709	1,683	1,651	1,618	1,583	1,550	1,518	1,485
Age 40 thru 44	2,366	2,363	2,344	2,312	2,294	2,292	2,281	2,272	2,263	2,255	2,247	2,237
Age 45 thru 49	2,678	2,695	2,683	2,636	2,573	2,526	2,490	2,457	2,421	2,372	2,318	2,261
Age 50 thru 54	2,568	2,629	2,665	2,666	2,650	2,662	2,671	2,685	2,695	2,691	2,679	2,662
Age 55 thru 59	2,068	2,123	2,160	2,177	2,191	2,208	2,230	2,251	2,267	2,274	2,275	2,273
Age 60 thru 64	1,403	1,397	1,377	1,342	1,303	1,274	1,248	1,222	1,193	1,160	1,125	1,088
Age 65 thru 84	4,700	4,764	4,782	4,786	4,889	5,024	5,142	5,271	5,400	5,541	5,689	5,844
Age 85 & Older	1,212	1,252	1,282	1,309	1,364	1,432	1,498	1,566	1,634	1,709	1,787	1,871
<b>Haskell County</b>												
Total Population	4,298	4,317	4,334	4,351	4,372	4,385	4,400	4,411	4,416	4,427	4,440	4,454
Age 0 thru 4	327	322	316	309	303	296	290	284	277	271	265	258
Age 5 thru 9	377	379	380	380	381	381	383	384	383	382	381	380
Age 10 thru 14	329	324	316	309	302	295	287	278	271	263	256	249
Age 15 thru 19	338	335	331	327	322	317	311	307	302	297	292	287
Age 20 thru 24	340	349	360	370	380	391	402	410	419	429	439	449
Age 25 thru 29	229	222	214	207	202	196	191	185	178	172	166	160
Age 30 thru 34	296	300	306	314	319	322	324	325	327	329	331	334
Age 35 thru 39	193	184	174	164	154	146	139	132	124	117	111	104
Age 40 thru 44	291	286	282	275	269	263	257	252	246	241	235	229
Age 45 thru 49	356	364	372	380	387	392	398	405	410	415	421	427
Age 50 thru 54	325	337	352	365	377	388	402	415	428	442	456	470
Age 55 thru 59	247	259	270	282	298	315	329	344	358	373	388	405
Age 60 thru 64	174	179	183	188	194	199	203	206	210	214	218	222
Age 65 thru 84	409	409	409	411	412	412	411	410	409	407	405	404
Age 85 & Older	67	68	69	70	72	72	73	74	74	75	76	76
<b>Hodgeman County</b>												
Total Population	2,096	2,098	2,093	2,089	2,084	2,082	2,081	2,078	2,079	2,074	2,070	2,063
Age 0 thru 4	124	126	127	127	128	128	130	130	129	127	126	123

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Hodgeman County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 5 thru 9	81	75	69	62	57	52	48	43	39	35	31	28
Age 10 thru 14	142	136	128	120	112	105	98	92	86	80	74	68
Age 15 thru 19	184	183	181	178	174	170	165	161	157	153	147	141
Age 20 thru 24	182	205	232	266	297	327	360	396	435	477	522	570
Age 25 thru 29	90	89	87	85	83	81	79	77	74	71	68	65
Age 30 thru 34	78	75	72	69	66	63	60	57	54	51	48	44
Age 35 thru 39	84	77	70	63	56	50	45	40	36	32	28	25
Age 40 thru 44	182	181	179	176	172	169	166	162	159	154	149	143
Age 45 thru 49	189	192	194	196	198	199	200	199	199	197	195	192
Age 50 thru 54	180	186	192	197	202	208	212	217	221	223	225	226
Age 55 thru 59	119	120	121	121	122	124	124	124	123	122	120	118
Age 60 thru 64	86	84	81	78	75	72	68	65	62	59	56	53
Age 65 thru 84	298	292	283	275	268	261	254	245	236	226	216	205
Age 85 & Older	77	77	77	76	74	73	72	70	69	67	65	62
<b>Jackson County</b>												
Total Population	14,064	14,247	14,400	14,543	14,715	14,851	14,970	15,063	15,138	15,190	15,239	15,279
Age 0 thru 4	931	942	950	956	967	975	981	982	980	976	971	965
Age 5 thru 9	894	891	884	881	879	875	872	868	864	860	855	848
Age 10 thru 14	933	922	907	892	880	868	857	846	835	824	811	797
Age 15 thru 19	1,041	1,051	1,058	1,067	1,076	1,086	1,095	1,103	1,113	1,123	1,131	1,136
Age 20 thru 24	1,187	1,251	1,326	1,404	1,488	1,561	1,624	1,684	1,742	1,795	1,862	1,943
Age 25 thru 29	848	877	910	947	997	1,051	1,100	1,143	1,180	1,219	1,260	1,302
Age 30 thru 34	699	694	688	681	675	665	653	640	628	616	604	591
Age 35 thru 39	814	803	792	781	774	762	752	741	727	713	699	683
Age 40 thru 44	1,042	1,053	1,062	1,072	1,082	1,094	1,104	1,109	1,112	1,115	1,117	1,117
Age 45 thru 49	1,103	1,115	1,122	1,121	1,110	1,099	1,087	1,073	1,059	1,039	1,016	991
Age 50 thru 54	1,006	1,025	1,038	1,041	1,036	1,023	1,017	1,010	1,004	992	976	957
Age 55 thru 59	967	1,002	1,034	1,060	1,079	1,093	1,108	1,125	1,139	1,147	1,152	1,153
Age 60 thru 64	660	667	670	670	662	655	648	640	631	619	605	590
Age 65 thru 84	1,595	1,603	1,604	1,609	1,638	1,661	1,678	1,695	1,711	1,729	1,747	1,763
Age 85 & Older	344	351	355	361	372	383	394	404	413	423	433	443
<b>Jefferson County</b>												
Total Population	19,541	19,663	19,748	19,819	19,924	20,011	20,072	20,117	20,135	20,141	20,147	20,153
Age 0 thru 4	1,008	994	975	953	936	918	898	878	854	830	805	779
Age 5 thru 9	1,133	1,113	1,091	1,070	1,051	1,034	1,019	1,005	988	972	955	936
Age 10 thru 14	1,265	1,241	1,213	1,184	1,159	1,133	1,109	1,087	1,066	1,045	1,024	1,000
Age 15 thru 19	1,416	1,413	1,402	1,393	1,390	1,388	1,385	1,382	1,380	1,379	1,374	1,367
Age 20 thru 24	1,554	1,620	1,693	1,770	1,838	1,895	1,940	1,974	2,014	2,048	2,095	2,157
Age 25 thru 29	1,277	1,330	1,394	1,475	1,570	1,672	1,767	1,859	1,936	2,022	2,114	2,210
Age 30 thru 34	793	763	732	701	674	645	617	588	561	535	510	485
Age 35 thru 39	1,127	1,092	1,055	1,018	986	954	923	892	860	829	798	766
Age 40 thru 44	1,543	1,543	1,542	1,540	1,538	1,532	1,520	1,502	1,490	1,479	1,465	1,449
Age 45 thru 49	1,709	1,719	1,722	1,713	1,690	1,663	1,639	1,616	1,590	1,555	1,517	1,477
Age 50 thru 54	1,544	1,563	1,576	1,577	1,565	1,557	1,549	1,541	1,528	1,507	1,482	1,453

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Jefferson County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 55 thru 59	1,379	1,410	1,436	1,453	1,457	1,457	1,460	1,463	1,463	1,457	1,445	1,430
Age 60 thru 64	1,057	1,071	1,079	1,081	1,081	1,077	1,070	1,064	1,054	1,040	1,023	1,005
Age 65 thru 84	2,339	2,384	2,421	2,464	2,544	2,620	2,691	2,764	2,832	2,906	2,983	3,062
Age 85 & Older	397	407	417	427	445	466	485	502	519	537	557	577
<b>Jewell County</b>												
Total Population	3,182	3,127	3,072	3,009	2,940	2,872	2,801	2,733	2,661	2,597	2,538	2,474
Age 0 thru 4	87	80	74	67	61	56	52	48	43	39	36	32
Age 5 thru 9	133	125	118	110	102	93	86	79	72	67	61	56
Age 10 thru 14	167	158	149	140	130	121	113	105	98	90	84	77
Age 15 thru 19	216	210	204	198	189	181	172	164	156	149	142	134
Age 20 thru 24	168	177	188	200	218	235	251	264	276	289	303	318
Age 25 thru 29	61	55	50	45	40	37	33	30	27	24	21	19
Age 30 thru 34	82	76	70	64	59	53	48	43	39	35	31	28
Age 35 thru 39	95	86	76	67	59	51	44	39	34	29	26	22
Age 40 thru 44	236	230	223	216	208	201	193	186	178	171	163	155
Age 45 thru 49	267	263	256	248	237	226	213	203	194	185	176	167
Age 50 thru 54	364	376	389	400	409	420	430	437	443	450	455	460
Age 55 thru 59	276	282	289	296	303	306	307	309	309	310	311	311
Age 60 thru 64	214	213	212	210	206	202	198	194	188	183	179	173
Age 65 thru 84	674	656	637	615	591	567	543	520	496	473	451	428
Age 85 & Older	142	140	137	133	128	123	118	112	108	103	99	94
<b>Johnson County</b>												
Total Population	536,736	545,212	552,605	559,441	565,898	572,521	578,987	585,030	590,110	594,942	599,916	604,890
Age 0 thru 4	37,189	37,606	37,885	38,071	38,217	38,359	38,451	38,486	38,446	38,353	38,242	38,123
Age 5 thru 9	35,681	35,840	35,871	35,894	35,947	36,071	36,249	36,430	36,589	36,778	36,948	37,089
Age 10 thru 14	36,067	36,210	36,223	36,224	36,231	36,295	36,408	36,531	36,648	36,796	36,924	37,023
Age 15 thru 19	36,411	37,115	37,748	38,457	39,089	39,821	40,642	41,505	42,329	43,207	44,086	44,951
Age 20 thru 24	34,092	34,686	35,312	35,818	36,431	36,909	37,059	36,864	36,656	36,412	36,413	36,655
Age 25 thru 29	37,210	37,661	38,149	38,785	39,514	40,235	40,869	41,396	41,816	42,302	42,845	43,390
Age 30 thru 34	38,092	38,358	38,648	38,978	39,255	39,509	39,690	39,781	39,824	39,936	40,097	40,243
Age 35 thru 39	38,437	38,125	37,782	37,557	37,369	37,239	37,091	36,872	36,512	36,194	35,909	35,612
Age 40 thru 44	44,232	44,676	45,128	45,690	46,231	46,811	47,342	47,751	48,092	48,488	48,929	49,352
Age 45 thru 49	43,575	43,943	44,097	43,892	43,249	42,601	42,029	41,523	40,945	40,167	39,297	38,348
Age 50 thru 54	40,547	41,485	42,170	42,430	42,159	42,007	42,013	42,091	42,105	41,882	41,510	41,025
Age 55 thru 59	36,881	38,815	40,755	42,582	44,136	45,527	47,026	48,623	50,121	51,444	52,681	53,829
Age 60 thru 64	21,953	22,598	23,225	23,773	24,113	24,454	24,766	25,078	25,284	25,396	25,461	25,480
Age 65 thru 84	47,901	49,218	50,357	51,630	53,717	55,827	57,869	59,957	61,957	64,110	66,366	68,780
Age 85 & Older	8,468	8,876	9,255	9,660	10,240	10,856	11,483	12,142	12,786	13,477	14,208	14,990
<b>Kearny County</b>												
Total Population	4,616	4,648	4,671	4,690	4,705	4,719	4,740	4,754	4,770	4,783	4,798	4,817
Age 0 thru 4	326	321	314	305	297	288	280	271	264	257	249	242
Age 5 thru 9	373	369	363	357	351	346	340	334	328	321	315	308
Age 10 thru 14	392	386	379	370	361	353	345	338	330	322	314	306



**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2,019
<b>Kearny County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 15 thru 19	408	410	412	414	416	415	415	413	414	413	412	411
Age 20 thru 24	366	384	400	419	432	445	461	476	493	510	527	545
Age 25 thru 29	259	260	262	264	265	267	271	272	271	271	271	271
Age 30 thru 34	233	227	221	214	208	202	195	189	182	176	170	164
Age 35 thru 39	241	231	221	210	198	187	179	170	162	154	146	138
Age 40 thru 44	352	353	354	354	353	351	348	346	344	341	339	336
Age 45 thru 49	375	385	395	405	414	423	433	442	450	458	467	475
Age 50 thru 54	326	338	349	360	373	384	395	406	418	429	440	452
Age 55 thru 59	277	290	304	319	336	353	370	387	404	421	439	459
Age 60 thru 64	176	179	182	185	186	189	191	193	194	196	197	199
Age 65 thru 84	469	472	473	473	475	477	479	481	481	480	479	479
Age 85 & Older	43	43	42	41	40	39	38	36	35	34	33	32
<b>Kingman County</b>												
Total Population	8,138	8,122	8,103	8,075	8,049	8,017	7,979	7,936	7,886	7,842	7,803	7,760
Age 0 thru 4	362	348	333	317	302	287	273	259	246	232	220	207
Age 5 thru 9	449	433	417	399	382	365	348	332	316	301	285	270
Age 10 thru 14	531	512	491	468	446	424	402	381	362	343	325	306
Age 15 thru 19	670	671	670	669	664	656	649	640	631	622	613	602
Age 20 thru 24	564	603	647	695	750	815	870	927	981	1,040	1,103	1,170
Age 25 thru 29	309	302	295	287	280	272	262	252	242	233	224	215
Age 30 thru 34	296	282	269	256	242	229	217	205	193	181	170	160
Age 35 thru 39	379	358	338	317	296	276	257	239	223	208	193	179
Age 40 thru 44	611	602	591	576	560	543	528	511	496	481	465	448
Age 45 thru 49	801	829	859	890	921	944	966	988	1,009	1,030	1,051	1,070
Age 50 thru 54	617	631	644	655	666	683	698	710	718	726	733	739
Age 55 thru 59	577	598	620	644	670	689	710	731	748	766	784	801
Age 60 thru 64	373	366	357	347	336	324	313	301	291	280	269	258
Age 65 thru 84	1,286	1,271	1,254	1,236	1,217	1,196	1,175	1,151	1,124	1,096	1,069	1,040
Age 85 & Older	313	316	318	319	317	314	311	309	306	303	299	295
<b>Kiowa County</b>												
Total Population	2,851	2,800	2,745	2,691	2,631	2,577	2,522	2,467	2,413	2,363	2,317	2,261
Age 0 thru 4	176	174	171	169	166	164	160	157	154	151	148	144
Age 5 thru 9	133	125	117	110	103	96	90	84	78	73	68	63
Age 10 thru 14	170	163	156	149	143	135	128	122	116	110	105	99
Age 15 thru 19	194	187	180	173	165	158	152	145	138	132	126	120
Age 20 thru 24	181	181	181	181	180	179	177	174	173	172	170	168
Age 25 thru 29	137	135	133	132	130	129	126	124	121	118	116	113
Age 30 thru 34	103	98	93	88	84	81	77	73	69	65	62	58
Age 35 thru 39	95	86	78	71	64	58	53	48	44	39	36	32
Age 40 thru 44	164	158	151	144	136	128	120	113	107	101	96	90
Age 45 thru 49	233	231	227	223	217	212	207	202	197	193	188	183
Age 50 thru 54	269	273	275	276	277	278	278	279	279	280	280	279
Age 55 thru 59	242	251	261	272	283	295	308	319	329	340	351	361
Age 60 thru 64	134	131	128	124	120	116	112	108	104	100	97	93

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Kiowa County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 65 thru 84	495	481	466	450	434	418	404	389	375	360	346	331
Age 85 & Older	125	126	128	129	129	130	130	130	129	129	128	127
<b>Labette County</b>												
Total Population	21,855	21,753	21,643	21,535	21,423	21,302	21,183	21,060	20,949	20,845	20,745	20,643
Age 0 thru 4	1,266	1,249	1,230	1,210	1,189	1,166	1,144	1,121	1,101	1,080	1,060	1,039
Age 5 thru 9	1,259	1,223	1,186	1,150	1,115	1,083	1,049	1,015	984	953	922	892
Age 10 thru 14	1,415	1,379	1,339	1,299	1,258	1,214	1,176	1,138	1,103	1,069	1,034	1,000
Age 15 thru 19	1,659	1,638	1,616	1,596	1,574	1,553	1,529	1,508	1,487	1,465	1,442	1,419
Age 20 thru 24	1,508	1,537	1,567	1,595	1,621	1,638	1,654	1,674	1,696	1,718	1,740	1,761
Age 25 thru 29	1,460	1,477	1,500	1,531	1,569	1,616	1,659	1,694	1,718	1,745	1,774	1,805
Age 30 thru 34	986	952	918	883	848	812	777	742	712	682	654	626
Age 35 thru 39	1,235	1,190	1,143	1,096	1,051	1,007	969	932	895	859	824	790
Age 40 thru 44	1,696	1,696	1,697	1,700	1,698	1,699	1,695	1,689	1,683	1,678	1,673	1,667
Age 45 thru 49	1,590	1,596	1,601	1,604	1,609	1,609	1,608	1,607	1,606	1,605	1,604	1,602
Age 50 thru 54	1,599	1,622	1,640	1,653	1,661	1,670	1,684	1,695	1,712	1,727	1,740	1,751
Age 55 thru 59	1,559	1,603	1,646	1,690	1,735	1,777	1,821	1,868	1,914	1,961	2,008	2,055
Age 60 thru 64	1,192	1,219	1,250	1,285	1,321	1,353	1,381	1,408	1,435	1,464	1,495	1,525
Age 65 thru 84	2,712	2,650	2,586	2,518	2,448	2,378	2,310	2,242	2,178	2,116	2,054	1,993
Age 85 & Older	719	722	724	725	726	727	727	727	725	723	721	718
<b>Lane County</b>												
Total Population	1,800	1,766	1,730	1,693	1,659	1,624	1,582	1,541	1,502	1,462	1,425	1,390
Age 0 thru 4	89	86	84	81	78	76	73	70	67	64	61	59
Age 5 thru 9	76	70	64	59	53	48	43	39	36	32	29	26
Age 10 thru 14	115	110	105	100	95	90	84	79	74	69	65	61
Age 15 thru 19	117	113	109	104	99	94	90	86	82	78	74	70
Age 20 thru 24	117	122	128	134	142	151	159	166	172	178	184	191
Age 25 thru 29	49	47	44	41	39	38	36	34	32	30	28	27
Age 30 thru 34	44	39	34	30	26	22	19	16	14	12	11	9
Age 35 thru 39	101	96	90	85	80	75	71	66	62	58	54	50
Age 40 thru 44	146	142	139	135	131	127	122	117	112	108	103	99
Age 45 thru 49	164	166	166	166	166	166	164	163	161	159	158	156
Age 50 thru 54	161	163	164	165	165	164	163	161	160	159	157	155
Age 55 thru 59	137	138	140	142	144	143	141	140	140	139	138	137
Age 60 thru 64	109	108	108	107	107	107	107	106	105	103	101	100
Age 65 thru 84	298	289	279	270	261	252	241	231	220	210	201	191
Age 85 & Older	77	77	76	74	73	71	69	67	65	63	61	59
<b>Leavenworth County</b>												
Total Population	75,188	75,657	75,945	76,165	76,379	76,610	76,827	76,971	76,984	76,977	77,007	77,066
Age 0 thru 4	4,551	4,529	4,485	4,426	4,365	4,308	4,249	4,187	4,115	4,040	3,963	3,888
Age 5 thru 9	4,679	4,621	4,545	4,468	4,395	4,329	4,271	4,213	4,158	4,107	4,055	4,000
Age 10 thru 14	5,108	5,075	5,025	4,974	4,933	4,888	4,850	4,815	4,780	4,751	4,720	4,686
Age 15 thru 19	5,317	5,350	5,367	5,388	5,405	5,443	5,492	5,544	5,585	5,632	5,677	5,719
Age 20 thru 24	5,657	5,778	5,905	6,021	6,138	6,204	6,218	6,207	6,189	6,164	6,180	6,238

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Leavenworth County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 25 thru 29	5,363	5,455	5,559	5,700	5,846	6,005	6,160	6,293	6,398	6,518	6,651	6,788
Age 30 thru 34	4,656	4,581	4,513	4,458	4,413	4,369	4,312	4,239	4,159	4,088	4,024	3,962
Age 35 thru 39	5,333	5,159	4,980	4,816	4,650	4,490	4,331	4,174	4,024	3,882	3,746	3,612
Age 40 thru 44	7,131	7,222	7,317	7,436	7,563	7,700	7,828	7,928	8,014	8,112	8,221	8,330
Age 45 thru 49	6,194	6,219	6,211	6,150	6,026	5,895	5,779	5,681	5,576	5,444	5,300	5,148
Age 50 thru 54	5,627	5,740	5,821	5,849	5,812	5,796	5,803	5,812	5,804	5,766	5,710	5,641
Age 55 thru 59	4,633	4,775	4,902	5,000	5,062	5,109	5,166	5,226	5,276	5,303	5,316	5,316
Age 60 thru 64	3,069	3,105	3,129	3,134	3,116	3,100	3,088	3,076	3,049	3,010	2,965	2,915
Age 65 thru 84	6,943	7,099	7,221	7,364	7,634	7,910	8,171	8,427	8,671	8,934	9,211	9,510
Age 85 & Older	927	949	965	981	1,021	1,064	1,109	1,149	1,186	1,226	1,268	1,313
<b>Lincoln County</b>												
Total Population	3,373	3,361	3,351	3,335	3,322	3,304	3,289	3,270	3,248	3,228	3,210	3,192
Age 0 thru 4	162	159	157	153	149	145	140	135	130	126	121	117
Age 5 thru 9	164	159	154	148	142	135	129	124	118	112	106	101
Age 10 thru 14	172	163	154	145	137	128	120	113	105	98	91	85
Age 15 thru 19	222	218	214	209	203	197	191	184	178	172	166	160
Age 20 thru 24	245	264	285	307	332	358	384	411	437	464	493	523
Age 25 thru 29	173	176	180	185	189	196	199	202	204	206	208	210
Age 30 thru 34	121	115	110	105	100	94	87	82	77	72	68	63
Age 35 thru 39	148	141	135	128	121	114	109	103	97	92	86	81
Age 40 thru 44	209	201	193	183	173	163	153	144	136	128	120	112
Age 45 thru 49	337	343	347	350	354	356	359	360	361	361	361	359
Age 50 thru 54	354	372	390	409	427	442	458	474	489	505	520	534
Age 55 thru 59	240	247	253	260	267	274	281	286	291	294	298	301
Age 60 thru 64	167	165	163	160	158	155	153	149	145	140	136	132
Age 65 thru 84	564	548	532	515	498	481	465	447	429	411	393	375
Age 85 & Older	95	90	84	78	72	66	61	56	51	47	43	39
<b>Linn County</b>												
Total Population	10,141	10,165	10,180	10,194	10,220	10,237	10,246	10,246	10,227	10,211	10,200	10,196
Age 0 thru 4	525	517	508	497	485	471	458	447	434	422	409	396
Age 5 thru 9	599	593	587	582	581	583	583	579	576	573	570	567
Age 10 thru 14	594	580	564	549	534	518	505	494	482	470	458	446
Age 15 thru 19	648	642	635	629	622	616	610	604	599	594	589	583
Age 20 thru 24	747	778	813	850	886	919	948	970	988	1006	1,031	1,063
Age 25 thru 29	747	781	823	876	932	993	1,054	1,114	1,167	1,226	1,291	1,360
Age 30 thru 34	519	520	523	528	535	539	542	542	542	543	544	545
Age 35 thru 39	473	454	434	415	398	383	370	357	342	328	314	301
Age 40 thru 44	756	760	765	771	777	782	783	781	782	784	786	786
Age 45 thru 49	749	743	734	719	698	674	652	634	614	592	569	546
Age 50 thru 54	764	767	766	756	739	725	715	706	694	679	661	641
Age 55 thru 59	734	742	748	748	747	741	735	730	723	713	701	688
Age 60 thru 64	577	578	577	573	562	551	539	527	515	502	487	472
Age 65 thru 84	1,450	1,448	1,439	1,434	1,449	1,461	1,466	1,472	1,476	1,481	1,487	1,494
Age 85 & Older	259	262	264	267	275	281	286	289	293	298	303	308

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Logan County</b>												
Total Population	2,726	2,700	2,667	2,630	2,595	2,563	2,526	2,492	2,456	2,419	2,384	2,355
Age 0 thru 4	143	139	134	128	123	118	114	109	104	100	95	91
Age 5 thru 9	166	163	159	155	153	150	147	144	140	136	133	129
Age 10 thru 14	154	147	139	131	124	117	111	104	99	93	87	82
Age 15 thru 19	205	202	198	194	189	184	178	174	169	165	160	156
Age 20 thru 24	74	70	65	61	57	52	48	44	41	38	36	33
Age 25 thru 29	142	140	138	136	132	129	125	122	119	116	113	110
Age 30 thru 34	130	128	127	126	126	125	123	121	119	116	114	112
Age 35 thru 39	100	92	84	76	69	64	59	54	50	45	41	38
Age 40 thru 44	206	203	198	192	186	180	174	169	164	159	154	149
Age 45 thru 49	281	289	298	305	311	318	325	332	338	344	350	357
Age 50 thru 54	242	249	256	263	269	276	280	285	290	295	300	305
Age 55 thru 59	170	173	177	181	186	190	194	199	202	205	208	212
Age 60 thru 64	124	121	118	114	111	108	104	100	96	93	89	86
Age 65 thru 84	487	481	472	463	453	445	436	427	417	406	396	387
Age 85 & Older	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	108	108	108	108	108
<b>Lyon County</b>												
Total Population	35,780	35,796	35,820	35,830	35,842	35,866	35,889	35,897	35,893	35,888	35,898	35,909
Age 0 thru 4	2,554	2,562	2,568	2,572	2,574	2,577	2,576	2,571	2,566	2,561	2,555	2,548
Age 5 thru 9	2,115	2,071	2,025	1,977	1,933	1,890	1,848	1,808	1,765	1,721	1,679	1,636
Age 10 thru 14	2,114	2,065	2,015	1,963	1,912	1,862	1,812	1,761	1,713	1,665	1,618	1,571
Age 15 thru 19	2,794	2,734	2,667	2,598	2,534	2,470	2,407	2,346	2,289	2,229	2,169	2,110
Age 20 thru 24	4,209	4,231	4,246	4,248	4,221	4,190	4,171	4,153	4,143	4,129	4,112	4,092
Age 25 thru 29	3,143	3,207	3,283	3,373	3,483	3,607	3,713	3,802	3,881	3,964	4,053	4,146
Age 30 thru 34	1,873	1,840	1,811	1,777	1,738	1,699	1,655	1,609	1,569	1,530	1,493	1,455
Age 35 thru 39	1,788	1,714	1,640	1,567	1,499	1,435	1,377	1,320	1,262	1,205	1,151	1,098
Age 40 thru 44	2,373	2,343	2,311	2,275	2,236	2,201	2,164	2,127	2,092	2,056	2,019	1,981
Age 45 thru 49	2,691	2,736	2,780	2,822	2,857	2,888	2,916	2,948	2,981	3,011	3,042	3,072
Age 50 thru 54	2,529	2,615	2,704	2,789	2,874	2,955	3,042	3,133	3,226	3,320	3,414	3,508
Age 55 thru 59	2,009	2,076	2,147	2,220	2,301	2,386	2,475	2,569	2,651	2,736	2,824	2,914
Age 60 thru 64	1,469	1,506	1,546	1,590	1,635	1,678	1,718	1,754	1,791	1,829	1,869	1,909
Age 65 thru 84	3,239	3,204	3,171	3,139	3,111	3,080	3,052	3,019	2,978	2,937	2,896	2,856
Age 85 & Older	880	892	906	920	934	948	963	977	986	995	1,004	1,013
<b>Marion County</b>												
Total Population	12,966	12,954	12,932	12,890	12,840	12,791	12,744	12,702	12,660	12,609	12,563	12,517
Age 0 thru 4	596	585	572	556	540	525	511	497	484	470	457	443
Age 5 thru 9	629	605	579	550	525	499	476	452	431	411	390	371
Age 10 thru 14	852	837	820	801	781	761	739	722	704	685	666	648
Age 15 thru 19	1,024	1,022	1,019	1,015	1,004	992	981	972	963	953	943	931
Age 20 thru 24	989	1,032	1,079	1,128	1,175	1,219	1,263	1,309	1,355	1,402	1,451	1,502
Age 25 thru 29	614	618	623	631	641	651	659	666	669	672	676	680
Age 30 thru 34	519	504	489	473	455	438	420	405	390	374	360	345
Age 35 thru 39	609	583	555	526	497	471	447	424	403	382	361	341
Age 40 thru 44	903	893	880	863	846	826	809	792	777	761	744	727

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Marion County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 45 thru 49	1,118	1,146	1,174	1,199	1,227	1,255	1,279	1,302	1,326	1,348	1,371	1,394
Age 50 thru 54	993	1,024	1,054	1,082	1,107	1,139	1,172	1,205	1,235	1,265	1,294	1,323
Age 55 thru 59	813	828	841	852	862	870	881	891	901	910	918	926
Age 60 thru 64	691	695	698	700	705	708	707	705	704	702	701	699
Age 65 thru 84	2,034	1,999	1,966	1,933	1,895	1,858	1,821	1,784	1,744	1,704	1,665	1,625
Age 85 & Older	582	583	583	581	580	579	579	576	574	570	566	562
<b>Marshall County</b>												
Total Population	10,163	10,084	10,008	9,920	9,830	9,744	9,656	9,566	9,475	9,383	9,300	9,218
Age 0 thru 4	513	505	498	492	485	477	466	457	446	435	425	414
Age 5 thru 9	437	415	394	372	352	334	317	299	281	265	249	234
Age 10 thru 14	527	495	463	429	397	366	337	312	290	268	248	228
Age 15 thru 19	803	794	783	770	753	736	722	706	693	678	662	646
Age 20 thru 24	703	733	764	796	832	875	908	940	973	1,006	1,041	1,077
Age 25 thru 29	495	498	505	515	526	536	550	562	565	568	573	578
Age 30 thru 34	319	300	283	265	248	232	216	201	187	174	162	150
Age 35 thru 39	390	360	329	299	270	244	221	201	183	166	150	136
Age 40 thru 44	827	821	813	801	787	773	758	743	730	715	700	685
Age 45 thru 49	924	937	948	954	956	955	955	955	956	955	954	951
Age 50 thru 54	932	969	1,008	1,047	1,088	1,132	1,171	1,211	1,249	1,287	1,326	1,366
Age 55 thru 59	635	641	647	652	656	656	660	661	661	661	660	659
Age 60 thru 64	551	552	554	557	560	562	562	559	556	553	550	547
Age 65 thru 84	1,722	1,685	1,647	1,606	1,565	1,520	1,477	1,432	1,387	1,343	1,299	1,256
Age 85 & Older	385	379	372	365	355	346	336	327	318	309	301	291
<b>McPherson County</b>												
Total Population	29,959	30,087	30,195	30,290	30,385	30,479	30,572	30,647	30,716	30,796	30,893	30,996
Age 0 thru 4	1,649	1,638	1,624	1,608	1,591	1,569	1,551	1,532	1,511	1,490	1,468	1,447
Age 5 thru 9	1,583	1,548	1,511	1,473	1,438	1,405	1,369	1,333	1,296	1,259	1,224	1,189
Age 10 thru 14	1,688	1,633	1,572	1,508	1,443	1,382	1,323	1,264	1,213	1,162	1,112	1,063
Age 15 thru 19	2,461	2,450	2,432	2,409	2,379	2,345	2,313	2,285	2,259	2,230	2,200	2,168
Age 20 thru 24	2,596	2,678	2,762	2,846	2,926	3,011	3,104	3,191	3,268	3,349	3,433	3,519
Age 25 thru 29	1,869	1,906	1,950	2,004	2,068	2,122	2,164	2,202	2,238	2,276	2,317	2,360
Age 30 thru 34	1,360	1,335	1,312	1,289	1,266	1,248	1,229	1,207	1,178	1,151	1,126	1,101
Age 35 thru 39	1,378	1,315	1,250	1,185	1,123	1,066	1,014	963	914	866	820	776
Age 40 thru 44	2,045	2,017	1,981	1,938	1,892	1,846	1,798	1,753	1,714	1,674	1,633	1,591
Age 45 thru 49	2,579	2,639	2,695	2,746	2,793	2,837	2,879	2,919	2,963	3,006	3,049	3,090
Age 50 thru 54	2,444	2,544	2,642	2,738	2,830	2,934	3,039	3,143	3,248	3,356	3,465	3,576
Age 55 thru 59	2,003	2,090	2,182	2,276	2,379	2,470	2,563	2,658	2,755	2,856	2,962	3,070
Age 60 thru 64	1,380	1,405	1,430	1,457	1,487	1,517	1,544	1,567	1,586	1,606	1,627	1,648
Age 65 thru 84	4,033	4,002	3,971	3,940	3,906	3,871	3,833	3,789	3,741	3,692	3,644	3,595
Age 85 & Older	891	887	881	873	864	856	849	841	832	823	813	803
<b>Meade County</b>												
Total Population	4,703	4,723	4,745	4,765	4,781	4,799	4,813	4,829	4,840	4,848	4,862	4,877
Age 0 thru 4	326	324	320	315	310	306	301	296	292	286	281	276

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Meade County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 5 thru 9	363	361	358	354	350	344	339	334	329	324	319	313
Age 10 thru 14	374	372	370	368	366	364	361	357	353	348	344	339
Age 15 thru 19	342	343	344	345	343	340	337	336	334	332	329	326
Age 20 thru 24	312	327	343	359	377	395	416	438	456	475	495	516
Age 25 thru 29	262	261	261	261	260	261	262	261	259	257	255	253
Age 30 thru 34	235	229	223	215	206	198	189	181	174	167	160	153
Age 35 thru 39	298	296	295	294	296	298	299	300	298	295	293	292
Age 40 thru 44	293	288	281	273	263	252	242	234	227	219	211	203
Age 45 thru 49	405	418	431	444	460	474	487	498	510	522	534	546
Age 50 thru 54	375	398	426	456	484	516	547	579	611	645	681	719
Age 55 thru 59	189	190	191	193	193	193	193	193	192	191	191	190
Age 60 thru 64	158	154	150	145	140	136	131	127	122	118	114	110
Age 65 thru 84	620	611	602	593	584	574	563	551	540	528	516	504
Age 85 & Older	151	151	150	150	149	148	146	144	143	141	139	137
<b>Miami County</b>												
Total Population	31,739	32,037	32,310	32,570	32,794	32,986	33,151	33,279	33,347	33,395	33,451	33,514
Age 0 thru 4	2,082	2,097	2,106	2,110	2,110	2,113	2,109	2,105	2,091	2,075	2,057	2,037
Age 5 thru 9	1,917	1,891	1,860	1,829	1,797	1,769	1,745	1,719	1,693	1,668	1,641	1,612
Age 10 thru 14	2,107	2,076	2,037	1,997	1,959	1,918	1,882	1,847	1,815	1,783	1,750	1,714
Age 15 thru 19	2,272	2,274	2,269	2,265	2,260	2,257	2,255	2,257	2,256	2,256	2,253	2,246
Age 20 thru 24	2,716	2,867	3,045	3,239	3,430	3,597	3,738	3,847	3,961	4,076	4,223	4,403
Age 25 thru 29	2,196	2,275	2,371	2,492	2,633	2,791	2,955	3,108	3,228	3,361	3,506	3,660
Age 30 thru 34	1,557	1,521	1,485	1,449	1,416	1,382	1,344	1,307	1,268	1,232	1,197	1,161
Age 35 thru 39	1,984	1,936	1,884	1,835	1,782	1,736	1,693	1,644	1,596	1,550	1,504	1,457
Age 40 thru 44	2,667	2,683	2,697	2,712	2,725	2,727	2,719	2,713	2,712	2,711	2,709	2,703
Age 45 thru 49	2,717	2,742	2,759	2,755	2,725	2,692	2,659	2,630	2,593	2,543	2,486	2,424
Age 50 thru 54	2,491	2,556	2,613	2,650	2,646	2,640	2,637	2,637	2,638	2,624	2,600	2,568
Age 55 thru 59	1,895	1,923	1,944	1,951	1,947	1,938	1,937	1,937	1,924	1,903	1,875	1,842
Age 60 thru 64	1,492	1,510	1,525	1,532	1,518	1,499	1,481	1,462	1,444	1,419	1,390	1,357
Age 65 thru 84	3,110	3,143	3,167	3,200	3,279	3,347	3,405	3,464	3,515	3,570	3,626	3,684
Age 85 & Older	536	543	548	554	567	580	592	602	613	624	634	646
<b>Mitchell County</b>												
Total Population	6,253	6,194	6,134	6,066	5,999	5,933	5,861	5,785	5,711	5,639	5,569	5,494
Age 0 thru 4	273	265	257	249	242	234	226	218	210	202	195	187
Age 5 thru 9	286	274	262	249	237	226	215	204	193	183	173	164
Age 10 thru 14	359	343	327	310	293	277	261	246	233	220	208	195
Age 15 thru 19	647	643	637	630	622	614	606	596	587	577	567	556
Age 20 thru 24	323	328	332	336	342	345	348	350	352	354	355	357
Age 25 thru 29	211	203	196	190	182	177	170	164	157	150	144	138
Age 30 thru 34	222	212	203	193	184	176	165	155	147	139	131	123
Age 35 thru 39	251	234	218	201	186	171	159	147	136	126	116	107
Age 40 thru 44	472	467	462	456	448	440	431	421	412	403	395	385
Age 45 thru 49	522	527	530	531	531	532	532	532	531	530	528	525
Age 50 thru 54	562	577	591	603	613	623	632	643	653	663	672	680

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Mitchell County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 55 thru 59	494	513	532	553	577	601	625	647	667	689	710	732
Age 60 thru 64	358	363	369	375	380	384	388	391	393	395	397	399
Age 65 thru 84	1,012	988	965	942	920	897	874	849	823	797	773	747
Age 85 & Older	261	257	253	248	242	236	229	222	217	211	205	199
<b>Montgomery County</b>												
Total Population	33,739	33,468	33,192	32,909	32,626	32,338	32,040	31,741	31,442	31,152	30,875	30,600
Age 0 thru 4	2,038	2,016	1,995	1,973	1,952	1,923	1,894	1,866	1,838	1,810	1,782	1,755
Age 5 thru 9	1,843	1,781	1,718	1,656	1,595	1,540	1,484	1,432	1,379	1,328	1,278	1,229
Age 10 thru 14	2,124	2,069	2,011	1,950	1,891	1,832	1,774	1,713	1,660	1,607	1,556	1,505
Age 15 thru 19	2,436	2,384	2,330	2,274	2,221	2,166	2,115	2,066	2,017	1,968	1,918	1,869
Age 20 thru 24	2,291	2,335	2,379	2,420	2,447	2,469	2,479	2,491	2,518	2,544	2,570	2,593
Age 25 thru 29	1,976	1,974	1,977	1,987	2,008	2,036	2,068	2,085	2,087	2,091	2,098	2,106
Age 30 thru 34	1,577	1,528	1,481	1,431	1,379	1,329	1,278	1,232	1,186	1,142	1,099	1,058
Age 35 thru 39	1,820	1,753	1,685	1,620	1,560	1,501	1,445	1,393	1,338	1,285	1,233	1,184
Age 40 thru 44	2,308	2,274	2,238	2,201	2,160	2,121	2,081	2,036	1,997	1,958	1,919	1,880
Age 45 thru 49	2,453	2,442	2,428	2,410	2,389	2,368	2,344	2,322	2,300	2,276	2,254	2,230
Age 50 thru 54	2,670	2,723	2,773	2,820	2,861	2,909	2,962	3,016	3,064	3,112	3,160	3,206
Age 55 thru 59	2,323	2,362	2,399	2,433	2,475	2,504	2,536	2,570	2,603	2,636	2,668	2,699
Age 60 thru 64	2,024	2,067	2,116	2,172	2,228	2,281	2,325	2,368	2,410	2,454	2,501	2,549
Age 65 thru 84	4,714	4,613	4,510	4,405	4,301	4,198	4,094	3,992	3,889	3,788	3,689	3,591
Age 85 & Older	1,142	1,147	1,152	1,157	1,159	1,161	1,161	1,159	1,156	1,153	1,150	1,146
<b>Morris County</b>												
Total Population	6,007	5,992	5,971	5,951	5,931	5,912	5,901	5,889	5,875	5,860	5,849	5,841
Age 0 thru 4	269	260	251	241	231	223	215	208	199	191	182	174
Age 5 thru 9	305	293	281	269	258	247	237	225	215	205	194	184
Age 10 thru 14	353	339	324	309	293	277	261	248	235	222	210	198
Age 15 thru 19	442	440	436	432	426	420	417	411	405	398	391	383
Age 20 thru 24	473	515	564	621	680	743	808	868	935	1,007	1,086	1,170
Age 25 thru 29	298	299	301	304	308	312	318	323	323	323	323	323
Age 30 thru 34	216	206	195	184	175	165	157	149	140	132	124	116
Age 35 thru 39	302	288	273	259	244	230	217	205	194	182	171	160
Age 40 thru 44	439	435	429	420	411	401	393	386	378	369	359	349
Age 45 thru 49	495	497	496	492	490	486	481	478	474	468	462	455
Age 50 thru 54	553	573	594	614	630	644	656	669	685	700	714	726
Age 55 thru 59	395	400	403	407	410	413	417	421	422	422	422	422
Age 60 thru 64	345	350	354	359	364	370	373	376	378	379	380	380
Age 65 thru 84	865	838	810	779	750	721	692	664	636	609	581	554
Age 85 & Older	257	259	260	261	261	260	259	258	256	253	250	247
<b>Morton County</b>												
Total Population	3,140	3,121	3,096	3,075	3,055	3,025	2,992	2,958	2,924	2,893	2,862	2,832
Age 0 thru 4	224	220	214	208	201	193	186	178	172	166	160	154
Age 5 thru 9	245	241	237	234	229	224	218	213	207	202	196	191
Age 10 thru 14	236	231	225	220	215	210	206	200	193	187	181	175

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2,019
<b>Morton County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 15 thru 19	220	215	209	203	196	189	181	173	167	161	154	148
Age 20 thru 24	183	185	187	188	192	194	195	196	197	197	198	198
Age 25 thru 29	105	98	91	84	78	71	65	59	54	50	45	42
Age 30 thru 34	131	125	119	113	107	101	95	90	85	80	75	71
Age 35 thru 39	162	155	147	140	132	126	120	115	109	103	98	92
Age 40 thru 44	215	208	200	192	184	176	166	157	150	143	136	129
Age 45 thru 49	331	346	363	382	402	417	433	450	466	482	499	517
Age 50 thru 54	215	217	218	218	218	217	217	216	215	214	213	211
Age 55 thru 59	213	218	223	229	236	243	250	255	259	263	268	272
Age 60 thru 64	153	153	152	151	151	150	148	148	146	144	142	140
Age 65 thru 84	435	436	436	436	435	432	429	424	419	415	410	404
Age 85 & Older	72	73	75	77	79	82	83	84	85	86	87	88
<b>Nemaha County</b>												
Total Population	10,432	10,424	10,407	10,389	10,368	10,352	10,332	10,306	10,281	10,271	10,256	10,240
Age 0 thru 4	605	590	574	555	537	518	499	482	466	449	432	415
Age 5 thru 9	688	673	657	641	623	605	588	570	552	535	517	500
Age 10 thru 14	727	709	690	669	647	626	606	587	568	548	529	509
Age 15 thru 19	788	783	776	767	754	742	728	714	703	691	677	663
Age 20 thru 24	777	832	894	964	1,049	1,131	1,211	1,291	1372	1462	1,557	1,659
Age 25 thru 29	444	439	435	433	433	435	434	431	425	420	415	409
Age 30 thru 34	364	346	327	308	290	271	254	237	222	208	195	182
Age 35 thru 39	512	488	462	437	411	388	367	349	329	311	293	275
Age 40 thru 44	831	836	840	842	838	837	833	827	824	820	815	808
Age 45 thru 49	836	856	875	893	908	922	937	951	964	977	989	999
Age 50 thru 54	761	791	822	854	887	920	951	981	1,011	1,043	1,073	1,103
Age 55 thru 59	552	564	576	588	600	612	625	636	645	654	663	670
Age 60 thru 64	423	420	415	411	405	397	390	382	374	367	359	351
Age 65 thru 84	1,694	1,674	1,652	1,628	1,600	1,573	1,543	1,510	1,478	1,447	1,414	1,380
Age 85 & Older	430	423	412	399	386	375	366	358	348	339	328	317
<b>Neosho County</b>												
Total Population	16,406	16,358	16,297	16,238	16,181	16,113	16,046	15,974	15,911	15,847	15,788	15,733
Age 0 thru 4	1,004	1,001	997	994	991	988	988	986	980	974	968	963
Age 5 thru 9	882	855	826	797	772	746	720	694	670	647	623	601
Age 10 thru 14	1,013	983	952	920	888	856	825	796	769	741	715	689
Age 15 thru 19	1,264	1,248	1,229	1,208	1,184	1,161	1,138	1,116	1,098	1,078	1,057	1,036
Age 20 thru 24	1,154	1,184	1,212	1,241	1,262	1,284	1,301	1,319	1343	1366	1,389	1,412
Age 25 thru 29	1,050	1,065	1,084	1,109	1,141	1,178	1,213	1,241	1,262	1,283	1,308	1,334
Age 30 thru 34	777	755	734	713	692	670	649	629	608	588	569	550
Age 35 thru 39	913	883	851	821	789	758	730	702	676	650	625	600
Age 40 thru 44	1,130	1,113	1,093	1,071	1,051	1,031	1,009	987	968	948	928	908
Age 45 thru 49	1,330	1,353	1,375	1,397	1,417	1,431	1,446	1,462	1,478	1,495	1,511	1,527
Age 50 thru 54	1,229	1,254	1,277	1,297	1,313	1,332	1,354	1,376	1,397	1,418	1,438	1,457
Age 55 thru 59	1,110	1,147	1,185	1,225	1,269	1,306	1,344	1,381	1,421	1,462	1,504	1,547
Age 60 thru 64	800	799	797	794	794	791	788	783	779	774	769	765



**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Neosho County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 65 thru 84	2,239	2,203	2,166	2,128	2,090	2,050	2,007	1,966	1,925	1,885	1,845	1,805
Age 85 & Older	511	515	519	523	528	531	534	536	537	538	539	539
<b>Ness County</b>												
Total Population	2,807	2,740	2,667	2,598	2,534	2,470	2,394	2,322	2,247	2,173	2,100	2,030
Age 0 thru 4	123	117	110	105	99	94	88	83	78	73	68	63
Age 5 thru 9	120	112	104	96	89	82	75	68	62	57	52	47
Age 10 thru 14	151	142	134	126	119	112	104	98	90	84	78	72
Age 15 thru 19	154	146	137	127	117	108	99	91	84	77	71	65
Age 20 thru 24	145	150	156	163	173	181	190	197	202	208	213	219
Age 25 thru 29	36	31	26	22	18	15	12	10	9	7	6	5
Age 30 thru 34	85	79	73	68	64	60	56	53	48	44	41	37
Age 35 thru 39	84	74	64	55	47	40	34	29	25	21	18	15
Age 40 thru 44	254	249	244	237	229	221	212	202	194	186	177	169
Age 45 thru 49	275	274	272	269	267	264	259	255	250	245	238	232
Age 50 thru 54	272	278	284	290	293	298	300	302	303	303	303	303
Age 55 thru 59	205	206	207	208	211	211	211	211	209	206	204	201
Age 60 thru 64	154	150	144	139	135	130	124	117	112	106	100	95
Age 65 thru 84	606	592	575	560	545	530	512	493	472	452	432	412
Age 85 & Older	143	140	137	133	128	124	118	113	109	104	99	95
<b>Norton County</b>												
Total Population	5,616	5,601	5,579	5,554	5,526	5,491	5,455	5,420	5,387	5,355	5,322	5,288
Age 0 thru 4	225	220	214	209	203	196	189	182	177	171	165	160
Age 5 thru 9	245	236	227	218	208	198	188	179	171	163	155	147
Age 10 thru 14	308	300	291	282	273	265	256	247	238	230	222	214
Age 15 thru 19	369	367	364	362	356	350	345	340	335	330	324	319
Age 20 thru 24	478	498	518	538	563	590	614	639	662	686	711	737
Age 25 thru 29	324	320	317	315	312	309	305	300	296	291	287	282
Age 30 thru 34	311	305	299	292	285	276	267	259	251	244	236	229
Age 35 thru 39	352	341	329	316	303	289	277	267	256	246	235	225
Age 40 thru 44	467	467	465	461	459	458	457	454	451	448	445	440
Age 45 thru 49	488	499	510	519	526	529	533	539	545	551	557	562
Age 50 thru 54	400	406	411	415	419	422	424	425	428	430	432	433
Age 55 thru 59	367	376	384	393	401	408	415	422	429	436	443	450
Age 60 thru 64	296	300	304	308	313	318	324	328	331	333	336	339
Age 65 thru 84	706	683	661	638	615	592	569	546	525	504	483	462
Age 85 & Older	280	283	285	288	290	291	292	293	292	292	291	289
<b>Osage County</b>												
Total Population	17,476	17,589	17,664	17,740	17,837	17,923	17,987	18,045	18,080	18,112	18,143	18,172
Age 0 thru 4	826	807	783	758	734	714	691	668	645	621	597	574
Age 5 thru 9	1,056	1,044	1,028	1,015	1,004	993	984	975	965	957	948	937
Age 10 thru 14	1,170	1,153	1,132	1,113	1,094	1,077	1,060	1,044	1,030	1,017	1,002	986
Age 15 thru 19	1,343	1,361	1,377	1,399	1,421	1,445	1,469	1,495	1,520	1,547	1,574	1,598
Age 20 thru 24	1,223	1,260	1,300	1,339	1,381	1,419	1,447	1,460	1,479	1,493	1,516	1,551

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Osage County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 25 thru 29	1,165	1,207	1,256	1,319	1,396	1,470	1,543	1,613	1,672	1,738	1,809	1,883
Age 30 thru 34	844	839	837	836	837	837	836	830	821	815	808	802
Age 35 thru 39	871	836	798	761	727	695	665	637	608	581	554	527
Age 40 thru 44	1,434	1,446	1,456	1,466	1,475	1,484	1,488	1,485	1,488	1,492	1,495	1,495
Age 45 thru 49	1,518	1,540	1,557	1,565	1,561	1,551	1,540	1,536	1,526	1,510	1,490	1,467
Age 50 thru 54	1,332	1,359	1,380	1,393	1,390	1,392	1,397	1,403	1,404	1,398	1,389	1,376
Age 55 thru 59	1,139	1,159	1,175	1,186	1,193	1,192	1,195	1,200	1,199	1,193	1,184	1,172
Age 60 thru 64	891	893	892	885	870	853	834	817	801	782	761	739
Age 65 thru 84	2,190	2,203	2,205	2,210	2,244	2,275	2,300	2,330	2,357	2,389	2,422	2,455
Age 85 & Older	474	482	488	495	510	526	538	552	565	579	594	610
<b>Osborne County</b>												
Total Population	3,888	3,831	3,772	3,708	3,646	3,579	3,511	3,447	3,382	3,315	3,253	3,192
Age 0 thru 4	160	154	148	142	137	131	125	119	113	107	102	96
Age 5 thru 9	156	146	135	125	115	106	98	90	83	76	70	64
Age 10 thru 14	226	214	201	188	176	164	153	141	132	122	113	105
Age 15 thru 19	314	312	310	307	302	296	290	286	280	274	268	261
Age 20 thru 24	240	251	265	279	297	315	336	355	370	386	403	421
Age 25 thru 29	108	103	98	92	87	81	75	70	66	61	57	53
Age 30 thru 34	103	95	89	82	75	69	64	59	54	49	45	41
Age 35 thru 39	137	124	112	101	90	80	71	63	57	51	45	40
Age 40 thru 44	321	318	313	306	301	295	287	280	273	266	258	250
Age 45 thru 49	355	357	358	359	358	356	352	349	345	341	337	332
Age 50 thru 54	369	385	401	418	430	441	451	461	473	483	494	504
Age 55 thru 59	226	223	219	215	211	207	203	200	195	190	184	179
Age 60 thru 64	242	244	246	248	252	256	257	257	256	255	253	252
Age 65 thru 84	720	697	673	647	621	595	568	542	516	491	467	443
Age 85 & Older	211	208	204	199	194	187	181	175	169	163	157	151
<b>Ottawa County</b>												
Total Population	6,238	6,279	6,317	6,347	6,374	6,399	6,421	6,442	6,459	6,479	6,499	6,522
Age 0 thru 4	320	318	316	312	309	304	298	292	286	281	275	268
Age 5 thru 9	319	310	300	289	278	267	257	246	236	226	216	207
Age 10 thru 14	385	377	367	357	347	338	330	321	311	301	291	281
Age 15 thru 19	456	456	454	449	439	429	419	412	405	398	390	381
Age 20 thru 24	446	486	531	581	638	687	743	797	856	921	990	1,065
Age 25 thru 29	297	294	292	289	288	287	285	283	279	274	270	265
Age 30 thru 34	323	321	320	319	317	314	310	306	301	296	290	285
Age 35 thru 39	297	281	264	247	230	215	201	189	177	165	153	143
Age 40 thru 44	548	554	559	560	562	563	563	562	562	560	558	555
Age 45 thru 49	565	578	590	600	607	615	622	630	636	642	647	651
Age 50 thru 54	535	555	575	596	613	632	650	667	684	701	717	733
Age 55 thru 59	406	413	420	426	431	438	446	452	456	460	463	466
Age 60 thru 64	367	376	385	394	406	418	424	432	438	444	450	456
Age 65 thru 84	821	814	805	796	784	774	762	749	734	718	703	686
Age 85 & Older	153	146	139	132	125	118	111	104	98	92	86	80

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2,019
<b>Pawnee County</b>												
Total Population	6,558	6,476	6,398	6,317	6,244	6,168	6,092	6,018	5,933	5,859	5,790	5,721
Age 0 thru 4	287	276	265	253	241	230	219	210	200	191	181	173
Age 5 thru 9	355	346	338	331	325	318	312	304	296	288	280	272
Age 10 thru 14	338	321	304	287	271	255	240	226	212	200	188	177
Age 15 thru 19	568	561	553	545	537	527	517	507	497	487	477	467
Age 20 thru 24	481	498	516	535	558	582	608	635	655	677	701	725
Age 25 thru 29	299	289	280	271	266	260	253	245	236	228	221	213
Age 30 thru 34	316	307	298	289	277	265	253	243	232	223	213	204
Age 35 thru 39	315	295	275	256	239	224	211	198	185	172	161	149
Age 40 thru 44	500	493	485	476	470	462	452	442	432	423	413	404
Age 45 thru 49	525	517	509	499	486	472	459	448	437	426	415	404
Age 50 thru 54	622	642	664	686	705	730	752	774	793	814	835	857
Age 55 thru 59	434	438	441	444	450	453	455	457	458	459	461	461
Age 60 thru 64	388	391	395	398	401	403	404	403	403	403	404	403
Age 65 thru 84	834	802	770	738	707	676	645	614	586	558	531	505
Age 85 & Older	296	300	305	309	311	311	312	312	311	310	309	307
<b>Phillips County</b>												
Total Population	5,289	5,221	5,142	5,066	4,990	4,912	4,832	4,752	4,670	4,589	4,511	4,433
Age 0 thru 4	265	258	250	243	235	229	220	212	204	196	189	181
Age 5 thru 9	272	262	252	242	232	222	212	203	194	185	176	167
Age 10 thru 14	296	281	265	250	234	219	204	191	179	168	157	146
Age 15 thru 19	404	401	397	393	387	382	378	372	366	359	353	346
Age 20 thru 24	251	256	260	264	271	279	283	285	288	292	295	298
Age 25 thru 29	149	139	130	120	112	103	96	90	83	77	71	66
Age 30 thru 34	189	179	169	158	149	139	129	120	112	104	97	90
Age 35 thru 39	257	243	228	215	201	188	177	167	156	146	137	128
Age 40 thru 44	392	387	381	376	368	360	351	342	334	325	317	308
Age 45 thru 49	414	411	406	400	395	387	380	372	365	357	349	341
Age 50 thru 54	494	504	512	519	523	526	529	532	536	539	541	543
Age 55 thru 59	460	478	497	518	542	565	590	611	631	651	672	694
Age 60 thru 64	290	287	283	279	275	270	264	260	254	249	243	237
Age 65 thru 84	948	932	915	897	880	864	846	827	806	785	764	743
Age 85 & Older	208	203	197	192	186	179	173	168	162	156	150	145
<b>Pottawatomie County</b>												
Total Population	19,721	19,912	20,105	20,306	20,498	20,691	20,878	21,068	21,253	21,449	21,650	21,845
Age 0 thru 4	1,338	1,334	1,328	1,321	1,319	1,314	1,309	1,302	1,293	1,284	1,274	1,262
Age 5 thru 9	1,277	1,257	1,234	1,209	1,184	1,158	1,134	1,109	1,085	1,060	1,035	1,010
Age 10 thru 14	1,381	1,361	1,337	1,310	1,283	1,254	1,226	1,201	1,175	1,149	1,123	1,095
Age 15 thru 19	1,506	1,507	1,506	1,504	1,496	1,489	1,480	1,472	1,466	1,458	1,448	1,436
Age 20 thru 24	1,562	1,648	1,744	1,849	1,954	2,063	2,165	2,269	2,379	2,496	2,620	2,748
Age 25 thru 29	1,307	1,332	1,363	1,402	1,444	1,488	1,529	1,565	1,593	1,624	1,656	1,688
Age 30 thru 34	1,012	995	979	963	947	927	904	884	862	842	822	802
Age 35 thru 39	1,074	1,036	996	957	920	887	858	829	797	766	735	705
Age 40 thru 44	1,545	1,550	1,554	1,555	1,550	1,542	1,537	1,530	1,525	1,519	1,512	1,502

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Pottawatomie County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 45 thru 49	1,607	1,645	1,680	1,713	1,741	1,766	1,788	1,815	1,843	1,870	1,896	1,920
Age 50 thru 54	1,535	1,598	1,660	1,720	1,778	1,842	1,912	1,981	2,051	2,121	2,191	2,262
Age 55 thru 59	1,286	1,350	1,419	1,492	1,569	1,646	1,726	1,807	1,888	1,973	2,062	2,153
Age 60 thru 64	825	840	855	869	882	896	904	914	925	936	946	955
Age 65 thru 84	2,053	2,043	2,032	2,022	2,007	1,993	1,978	1,961	1,941	1,921	1,900	1,877
Age 85 & Older	413	416	418	420	424	426	428	429	430	430	430	430
<b>Pratt County</b>												
Total Population	9,463	9,456	9,440	9,418	9,394	9,369	9,342	9,320	9,298	9,283	9,264	9,249
Age 0 thru 4	519	513	504	494	484	473	463	453	442	431	419	406
Age 5 thru 9	511	499	486	474	462	450	438	425	411	398	384	370
Age 10 thru 14	508	487	466	444	422	401	379	359	340	322	304	286
Age 15 thru 19	701	682	659	634	612	589	569	548	528	507	486	464
Age 20 thru 24	991	1,061	1,138	1,220	1,302	1,381	1,462	1,547	1,635	1,727	1,823	1,922
Age 25 thru 29	464	462	460	460	460	459	458	457	452	447	441	435
Age 30 thru 34	377	365	354	342	330	317	304	292	279	267	255	243
Age 35 thru 39	422	399	376	354	333	313	295	278	261	244	228	213
Age 40 thru 44	626	610	591	569	546	524	503	482	463	444	425	406
Age 45 thru 49	820	825	827	824	820	818	813	808	803	796	788	779
Age 50 thru 54	948	1,004	1,064	1,129	1,192	1,255	1,313	1,373	1,436	1,501	1,567	1,635
Age 55 thru 59	495	498	500	500	501	500	501	501	498	495	491	487
Age 60 thru 64	431	429	426	421	416	411	404	397	390	383	375	367
Age 65 thru 84	1,293	1,262	1,227	1,189	1,148	1,110	1,072	1,034	996	959	920	882
Age 85 & Older	357	360	362	364	366	368	368	366	364	362	358	354
<b>Rawlins County</b>												
Total Population	2,527	2,477	2,423	2,373	2,315	2,260	2,204	2,150	2,098	2,043	1,989	1,934
Age 0 thru 4	71	65	60	54	48	43	38	33	30	26	23	19
Age 5 thru 9	95	87	79	71	63	56	50	45	40	35	30	26
Age 10 thru 14	137	127	118	108	99	90	82	73	66	59	52	46
Age 15 thru 19	167	159	151	142	131	121	112	104	96	88	80	72
Age 20 thru 24	233	262	297	341	386	430	471	514	558	604	652	699
Age 25 thru 29	25	22	18	15	13	11	9	7	6	5	4	3
Age 30 thru 34	54	49	43	38	33	29	25	21	18	15	13	11
Age 35 thru 39	88	80	71	63	55	48	42	36	32	27	23	20
Age 40 thru 44	182	175	167	158	148	139	129	120	111	103	94	85
Age 45 thru 49	227	224	219	213	205	198	191	183	174	164	154	144
Age 50 thru 54	250	254	257	259	258	257	254	251	246	240	233	224
Age 55 thru 59	191	190	188	185	184	179	175	170	164	157	149	141
Age 60 thru 64	163	160	157	155	150	146	142	137	131	124	117	110
Age 65 thru 84	508	488	465	441	415	390	366	343	318	294	269	244
Age 85 & Older	136	135	133	130	127	123	118	113	108	102	96	90
<b>Reno County</b>												
Total Population	63,792	63,855	63,915	63,948	63,953	63,950	63,916	63,850	63,795	63,750	63,732	63,728
Age 0 thru 4	3,959	3,946	3,928	3,906	3,878	3,848	3,817	3,786	3,754	3,721	3,688	3,654

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Reno County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 5 thru 9	3,730	3,681	3,631	3,581	3,535	3,484	3,431	3,373	3,315	3,259	3,203	3,148
Age 10 thru 14	3,661	3,575	3,484	3,390	3,298	3,209	3,118	3,029	2,943	2,858	2,775	2,694
Age 15 thru 19	4,261	4,200	4,131	4,058	3,989	3,918	3,847	3,777	3,710	3,640	3,571	3,501
Age 20 thru 24	4,609	4,703	4,791	4,867	4,913	4,962	5,004	5,040	5,099	5,158	5,215	5,268
Age 25 thru 29	4,284	4,325	4,380	4,451	4,537	4,626	4,711	4,783	4,828	4,878	4,933	4,993
Age 30 thru 34	3,333	3,278	3,229	3,180	3,128	3,072	3,010	2,948	2,883	2,820	2,761	2,704
Age 35 thru 39	3,351	3,218	3,085	2,955	2,830	2,713	2,605	2,503	2,397	2,293	2,194	2,099
Age 40 thru 44	4,522	4,467	4,404	4,333	4,255	4,181	4,105	4,027	3,959	3,888	3,817	3,745
Age 45 thru 49	5,071	5,121	5,163	5,194	5,225	5,250	5,262	5,276	5,297	5,315	5,333	5,349
Age 50 thru 54	5,165	5,330	5,498	5,660	5,805	5,960	6,122	6,286	6,453	6,623	6,795	6,969
Age 55 thru 59	4,330	4,486	4,649	4,821	5,002	5,171	5,345	5,522	5,698	5,880	6,070	6,266
Age 60 thru 64	3,060	3,093	3,127	3,163	3,202	3,241	3,273	3,295	3,317	3,340	3,364	3,389
Age 65 thru 84	8,511	8,448	8,389	8,320	8,247	8,165	8,078	7,983	7,889	7,794	7,700	7,606
Age 85 & Older	1,945	1,984	2,026	2,069	2,109	2,150	2,188	2,222	2,253	2,283	2,313	2,343
<b>Republic County</b>												
Total Population	4,904	4,822	4,730	4,637	4,535	4,435	4,329	4,225	4,119	4,020	3,919	3,826
Age 0 thru 4	197	190	182	174	166	158	149	142	134	126	118	111
Age 5 thru 9	203	193	183	173	163	154	145	135	126	118	109	101
Age 10 thru 14	230	214	198	181	165	150	137	124	113	103	93	84
Age 15 thru 19	348	341	334	326	313	300	286	272	261	249	237	225
Age 20 thru 24	309	332	358	387	419	462	504	546	579	616	655	697
Age 25 thru 29	87	79	71	64	57	50	44	39	35	31	27	24
Age 30 thru 34	155	146	137	128	119	110	101	93	85	78	72	66
Age 35 thru 39	167	152	137	123	110	98	87	78	69	62	55	48
Age 40 thru 44	349	338	326	313	298	283	269	255	242	229	216	203
Age 45 thru 49	449	450	448	443	437	429	419	409	400	390	379	368
Age 50 thru 54	480	491	501	511	518	525	532	539	541	543	543	543
Age 55 thru 59	364	367	368	370	369	364	358	350	344	338	331	324
Age 60 thru 64	299	296	292	287	285	282	276	269	261	254	246	238
Age 65 thru 84	1,053	1,025	994	963	929	891	852	812	774	736	699	662
Age 85 & Older	214	208	201	194	187	179	170	162	155	147	139	132
<b>Rice County</b>												
Total Population	10,436	10,421	10,413	10,392	10,368	10,330	10,291	10,257	10,225	10,198	10,175	10,159
Age 0 thru 4	585	580	575	569	561	550	540	530	521	512	503	494
Age 5 thru 9	537	520	503	485	470	455	439	423	408	393	378	364
Age 10 thru 14	603	585	567	548	528	505	484	464	447	429	412	396
Age 15 thru 19	948	939	928	913	897	881	865	852	839	826	812	797
Age 20 thru 24	1,245	1,294	1,344	1,394	1,446	1,500	1,560	1,616	1,672	1,731	1,789	1,850
Age 25 thru 29	531	534	539	547	556	562	564	563	564	565	567	569
Age 30 thru 34	421	410	399	389	378	368	359	348	337	326	315	306
Age 35 thru 39	420	396	373	350	329	309	290	274	257	241	226	212
Age 40 thru 44	666	655	643	628	612	595	578	564	550	536	522	508
Age 45 thru 49	859	877	897	915	932	947	960	973	987	1,000	1,014	1,028
Age 50 thru 54	764	787	814	839	861	883	905	929	951	974	997	1,021

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Rice County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 55 thru 59	601	611	622	634	647	656	666	674	682	690	699	707
Age 60 thru 64	476	472	468	463	460	455	448	442	435	429	423	417
Age 65 thru 84	1,449	1,428	1,406	1,381	1,352	1,324	1,294	1,268	1,239	1,211	1,184	1,157
Age 85 & Older	331	333	335	337	339	340	339	337	336	335	334	333
<b>Riley County</b>												
Total Population	61,988	61,624	61,170	60,669	60,348	60,103	59,897	59,694	59,491	59,298	59,101	58,839
Age 0 thru 4	4,025	4,027	4,034	4,046	4,061	4,069	4,072	4,072	4,070	4,071	4,073	4,070
Age 5 thru 9	2,610	2,528	2,446	2,364	2,292	2,227	2,162	2,094	2,028	1,965	1,902	1,840
Age 10 thru 14	2,222	2,124	2,021	1,916	1,825	1,738	1,656	1,580	1,508	1,438	1,370	1,303
Age 15 thru 19	6,371	6,231	6,064	5,889	5,758	5,632	5,518	5,409	5,298	5,184	5,066	4,942
Age 20 thru 24	16,675	16,695	16,661	16,574	16,502	16,425	16,365	16,340	16,322	16,296	16,255	16,182
Age 25 thru 29	7,545	7,670	7,825	8,020	8,254	8,531	8,798	9,000	9,186	9,387	9,602	9,817
Age 30 thru 34	3,613	3,550	3,493	3,437	3,386	3,332	3,269	3,212	3,151	3,094	3,038	2,980
Age 35 thru 39	2,515	2,404	2,295	2,190	2,095	2,005	1,926	1,848	1,768	1,691	1,617	1,545
Age 40 thru 44	2,366	2,266	2,161	2,053	1,958	1,875	1,792	1,714	1,641	1,570	1,500	1,430
Age 45 thru 49	2,677	2,651	2,612	2,563	2,523	2,484	2,448	2,417	2,389	2,358	2,325	2,288
Age 50 thru 54	2,514	2,530	2,530	2,518	2,501	2,491	2,488	2,490	2,499	2,504	2,504	2,498
Age 55 thru 59	2,225	2,290	2,351	2,410	2,485	2,562	2,641	2,727	2,808	2,891	2,976	3,058
Age 60 thru 64	1,282	1,271	1,257	1,240	1,226	1,214	1,201	1,186	1,174	1,161	1,149	1,134
Age 65 thru 84	4,313	4,321	4,323	4,321	4,324	4,325	4,332	4,340	4,348	4,352	4,354	4,349
Age 85 & Older	1,035	1,066	1,097	1,128	1,158	1,193	1,229	1,265	1,301	1,336	1,370	1,403
<b>Rooks County</b>												
Total Population	5,211	5,161	5,105	5,052	4,999	4,945	4,893	4,838	4,783	4,731	4,679	4,630
Age 0 thru 4	261	254	246	238	231	223	216	209	201	194	186	179
Age 5 thru 9	259	247	234	222	210	198	187	176	166	157	147	138
Age 10 thru 14	312	298	283	269	255	241	228	215	203	191	180	169
Age 15 thru 19	368	363	358	352	345	338	332	325	318	310	302	294
Age 20 thru 24	436	464	495	530	567	605	644	683	720	760	802	847
Age 25 thru 29	183	173	164	155	146	138	129	121	114	107	100	93
Age 30 thru 34	241	233	224	216	207	199	190	182	174	166	158	150
Age 35 thru 39	242	227	211	195	181	168	156	144	133	123	114	104
Age 40 thru 44	410	404	398	391	382	373	365	357	349	340	331	322
Age 45 thru 49	473	486	498	511	522	532	540	546	554	561	568	574
Age 50 thru 54	370	375	379	381	382	383	385	387	388	388	387	386
Age 55 thru 59	342	350	358	367	376	384	391	397	402	407	412	417
Age 60 thru 64	236	231	224	217	211	205	199	192	185	179	172	165
Age 65 thru 84	866	846	825	803	782	760	737	714	690	666	643	619
Age 85 & Older	212	210	208	205	202	198	194	190	186	182	177	173
<b>Rush County</b>												
Total Population	3,317	3,287	3,260	3,230	3,202	3,175	3,143	3,114	3,085	3,057	3,031	3,003
Age 0 thru 4	179	179	178	177	176	175	173	171	168	166	163	160
Age 5 thru 9	141	134	128	121	115	109	103	98	92	87	82	77
Age 10 thru 14	177	170	162	154	147	139	132	126	120	113	107	101

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2,019
<b>Rush County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 15 thru 19	210	207	204	200	196	192	187	181	177	172	168	162
Age 20 thru 24	254	274	296	321	347	376	405	435	465	498	532	568
Age 25 thru 29	146	144	143	142	140	139	138	135	133	130	128	125
Age 30 thru 34	120	114	109	104	99	94	87	82	77	73	68	64
Age 35 thru 39	144	136	128	119	112	106	101	95	89	84	78	73
Age 40 thru 44	228	223	218	212	205	197	190	184	178	172	165	159
Age 45 thru 49	276	277	277	276	273	270	266	262	259	256	252	248
Age 50 thru 54	290	296	302	308	313	318	322	326	329	332	335	337
Age 55 thru 59	247	252	259	266	275	282	288	295	300	304	309	313
Age 60 thru 64	161	157	153	149	145	141	137	132	128	123	119	114
Age 65 thru 84	610	592	573	553	534	515	495	476	457	437	418	399
Age 85 & Older	134	132	130	128	125	122	119	116	113	110	107	103
<b>Russell County</b>												
Total Population	6,653	6,585	6,516	6,438	6,356	6,272	6,190	6,110	6,027	5,950	5,879	5,807
Age 0 thru 4	339	335	331	326	321	315	310	305	299	292	286	279
Age 5 thru 9	299	286	272	258	245	233	221	208	197	186	175	165
Age 10 thru 14	364	351	338	325	310	296	282	270	257	245	233	222
Age 15 thru 19	377	364	349	333	317	302	289	275	263	251	238	226
Age 20 thru 24	495	528	565	607	654	699	741	782	826	873	924	977
Age 25 thru 29	227	217	209	200	194	189	185	178	170	163	156	149
Age 30 thru 34	253	244	235	225	215	205	194	185	175	166	158	149
Age 35 thru 39	280	260	240	221	201	183	167	152	139	127	116	106
Age 40 thru 44	502	496	490	482	476	468	461	453	443	434	425	415
Age 45 thru 49	559	563	565	566	562	558	554	550	546	541	537	531
Age 50 thru 54	545	553	561	565	569	571	574	578	580	582	583	583
Age 55 thru 59	492	499	506	513	518	520	521	524	526	527	528	529
Age 60 thru 64	388	386	384	382	378	377	375	371	366	361	356	351
Age 65 thru 84	1,186	1,152	1,117	1,079	1,039	999	960	923	886	850	814	779
Age 85 & Older	347	351	354	356	357	357	356	356	354	352	350	346
<b>Saline County</b>												
Total Population	54,719	54,916	55,092	55,243	55,383	55,528	55,684	55,831	55,978	56,126	56,270	56,438
Age 0 thru 4	3,609	3,601	3,588	3,565	3,544	3,517	3,494	3,474	3,452	3,428	3,402	3,376
Age 5 thru 9	3,449	3,403	3,353	3,298	3,242	3,192	3,138	3,081	3,030	2,977	2,923	2,870
Age 10 thru 14	3,641	3,604	3,561	3,515	3,473	3,424	3,374	3,326	3,279	3,231	3,182	3,134
Age 15 thru 19	3,574	3,523	3,462	3,396	3,338	3,287	3,241	3,190	3,140	3,088	3,033	2,978
Age 20 thru 24	4,155	4,262	4,369	4,472	4,541	4,606	4,677	4,749	4,835	4,922	5,007	5,092
Age 25 thru 29	3,625	3,640	3,661	3,694	3,747	3,800	3,838	3,867	3,884	3,903	3,923	3,947
Age 30 thru 34	3,133	3,080	3,028	2,973	2,909	2,838	2,772	2,706	2,643	2,582	2,522	2,463
Age 35 thru 39	3,318	3,233	3,147	3,063	2,985	2,915	2,854	2,790	2,717	2,644	2,572	2,503
Age 40 thru 44	3,935	3,901	3,863	3,818	3,765	3,714	3,666	3,619	3,575	3,529	3,480	3,431
Age 45 thru 49	4,340	4,402	4,457	4,505	4,546	4,592	4,628	4,669	4,713	4,754	4,793	4,832
Age 50 thru 54	4,174	4,300	4,424	4,542	4,654	4,775	4,910	5,043	5,176	5,310	5,444	5,580
Age 55 thru 59	3,676	3,836	4,006	4,190	4,392	4,582	4,775	4,977	5,181	5,394	5,616	5,848
Age 60 thru 64	2,376	2,403	2,429	2,459	2,489	2,521	2,546	2,569	2,590	2,611	2,633	2,655

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Saline County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 65 thru 84	6,492	6,480	6,468	6,449	6,427	6,405	6,380	6,351	6,319	6,285	6,248	6,213
Age 85 & Older	1,222	1,248	1,276	1,304	1,331	1,360	1,391	1,420	1,444	1,468	1,492	1,516
<b>Scott County</b>												
Total Population	4,453	4,397	4,344	4,287	4,228	4,168	4,099	4,032	3,960	3,886	3,814	3,747
Age 0 thru 4	294	291	288	284	282	279	274	268	262	255	248	242
Age 5 thru 9	247	235	224	213	202	192	183	173	163	153	144	135
Age 10 thru 14	282	268	254	240	226	212	199	187	175	164	153	143
Age 15 thru 19	344	336	328	320	312	303	293	283	273	262	252	242
Age 20 thru 24	335	350	366	385	400	414	427	442	455	467	480	493
Age 25 thru 29	179	171	163	155	147	140	133	126	119	112	105	99
Age 30 thru 34	223	216	211	206	201	196	190	185	178	171	164	158
Age 35 thru 39	205	190	176	162	149	138	126	115	105	96	87	80
Age 40 thru 44	325	316	308	299	288	277	266	255	245	234	223	213
Age 45 thru 49	398	397	396	393	388	382	375	368	361	354	346	338
Age 50 thru 54	425	436	447	457	467	474	478	482	486	490	493	495
Age 55 thru 59	382	395	410	425	445	466	490	510	524	539	554	569
Age 60 thru 64	249	250	250	252	252	252	250	248	246	243	240	236
Age 65 thru 84	448	431	411	389	366	344	322	301	283	265	248	231
Age 85 & Older	117	115	112	107	103	99	93	89	85	81	77	73
<b>Sedgwick County</b>												
Total Population	476,766	480,456	480,866	478,581	479,042	482,732	485,791	488,672	491,124	493,527	496,002	498,432
Age 0 thru 4	37,792	38,237	38,416	38,367	38,504	38,863	39,127	39,330	39,464	39,577	39,680	39,779
Age 5 thru 9	33,900	33,821	33,483	33,016	32,779	32,833	32,908	32,985	33,047	33,150	33,239	33,283
Age 10 thru 14	34,779	34,918	34,807	34,574	34,561	34,811	35,032	35,259	35,512	35,820	36,119	36,376
Age 15 thru 19	33,219	33,410	33,367	33,225	33,354	33,782	34,233	34,685	35,097	35,554	36,003	36,414
Age 20 thru 24	32,446	32,216	31,799	31,083	30,571	30,207	29,643	28,975	28,436	27,823	27,385	27,139
Age 25 thru 29	34,871	35,257	35,547	35,806	36,358	37,134	37,841	38,432	38,913	39,481	40,089	40,703
Age 30 thru 34	31,171	31,226	31,188	31,049	31,103	31,322	31,398	31,406	31,385	31,440	31,523	31,599
Age 35 thru 39	30,160	29,751	29,196	28,598	28,236	28,104	27,918	27,674	27,338	27,048	26,772	26,488
Age 40 thru 44	35,154	35,252	35,135	34,894	34,866	35,062	35,142	35,172	35,245	35,354	35,458	35,533
Age 45 thru 49	37,331	37,612	37,510	36,959	36,240	35,754	35,337	34,991	34,596	34,032	33,393	32,701
Age 50 thru 54	34,671	35,505	35,992	36,004	35,789	35,873	36,045	36,280	36,458	36,453	36,340	36,155
Age 55 thru 59	27,818	28,654	29,300	29,679	29,972	30,360	30,771	31,237	31,617	31,873	32,065	32,206
Age 60 thru 64	18,495	18,678	18,731	18,617	18,462	18,430	18,395	18,338	18,210	18,016	17,795	17,554
Age 65 thru 84	46,464	47,050	47,208	47,204	48,220	49,552	50,747	52,015	53,280	54,686	56,178	57,746
Age 85 & Older	8,495	8,869	9,187	9,506	10,027	10,645	11,254	11,893	12,526	13,220	13,963	14,756
<b>Seward County</b>												
Total Population	24,199	24,489	24,769	25,043	25,294	25,532	25,768	26,008	26,239	26,476	26,707	26,943
Age 0 thru 4	2,708	2,786	2,868	2,951	3,030	3,114	3,192	3,265	3,343	3,423	3,503	3,583
Age 5 thru 9	2,068	2,082	2,092	2,101	2,109	2,111	2,113	2,121	2,124	2,127	2,128	2,128
Age 10 thru 14	1,905	1,912	1,916	1,919	1,918	1,913	1,906	1,898	1,893	1,887	1,880	1,872
Age 15 thru 19	1,907	1,906	1,899	1,891	1,883	1,874	1,869	1,862	1,854	1,844	1,832	1,820
Age 20 thru 24	1,493	1,476	1,452	1,420	1,383	1,341	1,300	1,266	1,238	1,209	1,179	1,149



**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Seward County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 25 thru 29	1,733	1,725	1,716	1,706	1,700	1,693	1,687	1,679	1,667	1,654	1,640	1,627
Age 30 thru 34	1,625	1,619	1,610	1,597	1,578	1,555	1,531	1,509	1,490	1,471	1,450	1,430
Age 35 thru 39	1,779	1,787	1,793	1,799	1,810	1,819	1,824	1,831	1,832	1,833	1,834	1,834
Age 40 thru 44	1,751	1,773	1,796	1,816	1,829	1,846	1,864	1,880	1,895	1,909	1,922	1,935
Age 45 thru 49	1,726	1,780	1,837	1,893	1,945	1,994	2,043	2,096	2,148	2,201	2,255	2,309
Age 50 thru 54	1,527	1,594	1,664	1,738	1,812	1,895	1,978	2,059	2,141	2,226	2,314	2,405
Age 55 thru 59	1,144	1,192	1,245	1,302	1,361	1,416	1,475	1,534	1,591	1,652	1,715	1,780
Age 60 thru 64	781	798	817	839	862	884	905	925	942	961	980	999
Age 65 thru 84	1,634	1,628	1,620	1,614	1,604	1,594	1,584	1,572	1,558	1,544	1,529	1,514
Age 85 & Older	418	431	444	457	470	483	497	511	523	535	546	558
<b>Shawnee County</b>												
Total Population	174,685	175,476	176,066	176,721	177,713	178,611	179,412	180,178	180,822	181,520	182,290	183,095
Age 0 thru 4	12,292	12,425	12,542	12,661	12,784	12,878	12,951	13,006	13,039	13,068	13,096	13,126
Age 5 thru 9	11,135	11,118	11,090	11,089	11,125	11,163	11,216	11,269	11,323	11,400	11,476	11,542
Age 10 thru 14	11,254	11,224	11,175	11,152	11,158	11,186	11,211	11,237	11,269	11,323	11,377	11,420
Age 15 thru 19	11,903	11,932	11,942	11,989	12,080	12,200	12,340	12,482	12,614	12,767	12,919	13,060
Age 20 thru 24	10,795	10,566	10,320	10,019	9,762	9,491	9,157	8,803	8,492	8,162	7,891	7,682
Age 25 thru 29	12,105	12,290	12,527	12,848	13,246	13,656	14,030	14,354	14,619	14,929	15,265	15,613
Age 30 thru 34	10,226	10,181	10,157	10,161	10,178	10,154	10,099	10,019	9,947	9,899	9,860	9,818
Age 35 thru 39	10,002	9,780	9,566	9,392	9,240	9,088	8,927	8,745	8,557	8,387	8,223	8,059
Age 40 thru 44	12,237	12,175	12,109	12,070	12,055	12,023	11,960	11,884	11,818	11,769	11,719	11,660
Age 45 thru 49	13,473	13,422	13,309	13,118	12,831	12,541	12,280	12,047	11,806	11,519	11,215	10,901
Age 50 thru 54	14,070	14,339	14,551	14,668	14,636	14,623	14,655	14,715	14,755	14,730	14,667	14,581
Age 55 thru 59	12,414	12,833	13,251	13,645	13,971	14,250	14,564	14,882	15,163	15,399	15,616	15,819
Age 60 thru 64	8,238	8,306	8,363	8,398	8,385	8,356	8,321	8,291	8,234	8,153	8,063	7,965
Age 65 thru 84	21,026	21,286	21,488	21,746	22,343	22,920	23,460	24,033	24,613	25,263	25,960	26,701
Age 85 & Older	3,515	3,599	3,676	3,765	3,919	4,082	4,241	4,411	4,573	4,752	4,943	5,148
<b>Sheridan County</b>												
Total Population	2,496	2,468	2,442	2,413	2,382	2,351	2,316	2,282	2,246	2,217	2,184	2,154
Age 0 thru 4	121	118	116	112	108	105	101	97	92	88	84	80
Age 5 thru 9	104	97	90	83	77	70	64	58	53	48	44	40
Age 10 thru 14	143	134	124	115	105	96	88	81	74	68	62	56
Age 15 thru 19	213	211	209	206	199	193	186	180	174	168	162	156
Age 20 thru 24	193	208	224	242	265	288	310	334	354	376	400	424
Age 25 thru 29	48	44	40	36	32	30	27	24	22	20	17	16
Age 30 thru 34	69	64	60	55	50	46	41	37	34	31	28	25
Age 35 thru 39	82	73	65	57	50	44	39	34	30	27	23	20
Age 40 thru 44	213	210	207	203	198	192	186	180	174	168	162	156
Age 45 thru 49	238	238	238	237	235	232	228	223	219	214	209	204
Age 50 thru 54	267	278	290	303	315	325	335	344	352	361	368	375
Age 55 thru 59	172	175	178	180	183	185	188	189	189	189	188	187
Age 60 thru 64	116	112	107	103	98	92	87	82	77	73	68	64
Age 65 thru 84	460	452	443	434	423	411	398	384	370	356	342	327
Age 85 & Older	57	54	51	47	44	42	38	35	32	30	27	24

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2,019
<b>Sherman County</b>												
Total Population	6,000	5,938	5,871	5,802	5,730	5,661	5,585	5,503	5,423	5,340	5,269	5,199
Age 0 thru 4	327	318	310	301	293	284	275	266	258	249	241	233
Age 5 thru 9	318	307	295	284	275	266	257	247	237	228	218	210
Age 10 thru 14	345	331	317	302	288	273	260	247	235	224	213	202
Age 15 thru 19	532	522	511	499	489	480	471	460	449	438	428	418
Age 20 thru 24	417	416	413	409	402	395	387	380	374	368	363	357
Age 25 thru 29	279	272	264	257	252	248	245	240	233	227	221	215
Age 30 thru 34	245	236	226	217	205	196	186	177	169	160	153	145
Age 35 thru 39	253	238	223	209	197	185	174	163	152	142	133	125
Age 40 thru 44	399	392	384	374	363	353	344	333	324	314	305	296
Age 45 thru 49	509	513	517	520	524	527	529	532	533	533	534	535
Age 50 thru 54	470	479	489	498	504	510	514	517	522	527	532	537
Age 55 thru 59	393	398	403	408	414	419	425	431	435	438	442	446
Age 60 thru 64	355	359	363	367	370	373	372	372	373	373	374	375
Age 65 thru 84	929	921	912	903	892	881	867	853	838	823	809	796
Age 85 & Older	229	236	244	254	262	271	279	285	291	296	303	309
<b>Smith County</b>												
Total Population	3,940	3,879	3,816	3,752	3,685	3,621	3,559	3,489	3,420	3,355	3,288	3,228
Age 0 thru 4	146	141	136	131	127	123	120	116	111	106	101	97
Age 5 thru 9	154	146	137	128	119	110	101	93	86	80	73	67
Age 10 thru 14	205	194	183	171	160	149	139	129	120	111	103	95
Age 15 thru 19	260	254	247	240	231	222	215	207	199	190	182	174
Age 20 thru 24	248	267	289	314	344	380	414	449	481	515	552	591
Age 25 thru 29	115	110	106	102	99	95	91	86	82	78	74	70
Age 30 thru 34	98	90	82	74	67	60	53	48	43	39	34	31
Age 35 thru 39	157	145	133	121	109	100	91	83	75	68	62	56
Age 40 thru 44	322	319	314	310	302	294	287	279	271	263	254	246
Age 45 thru 49	350	353	355	357	356	352	349	344	340	336	331	325
Age 50 thru 54	318	321	323	325	324	325	324	322	320	318	314	310
Age 55 thru 59	291	296	300	305	312	315	317	317	317	317	316	315
Age 60 thru 64	210	204	199	193	187	180	174	167	161	154	147	140
Age 65 thru 84	852	830	808	783	756	730	704	676	648	620	592	565
Age 85 & Older	214	209	204	198	192	186	180	173	166	160	153	146
<b>Stafford County</b>												
Total Population	4,336	4,282	4,221	4,162	4,102	4,046	3,985	3,927	3,871	3,814	3,757	3,702
Age 0 thru 4	196	188	179	171	162	153	143	135	128	121	114	107
Age 5 thru 9	238	228	218	208	200	192	183	174	166	158	150	142
Age 10 thru 14	271	258	243	229	215	202	190	179	168	158	148	138
Age 15 thru 19	387	389	390	391	388	386	384	381	378	375	372	368
Age 20 thru 24	259	270	282	296	309	323	335	347	358	370	382	395
Age 25 thru 29	143	136	130	124	118	113	109	103	98	93	88	83
Age 30 thru 34	134	125	116	108	100	92	86	80	74	68	62	57
Age 35 thru 39	209	195	181	167	154	142	130	120	111	102	94	86
Age 40 thru 44	371	368	364	358	351	344	337	330	324	317	309	302

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Stafford County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 45 thru 49	387	390	392	393	392	390	385	382	380	377	374	370
Age 50 thru 54	409	426	444	463	483	504	527	549	568	586	605	625
Age 55 thru 59	274	276	277	279	281	282	282	282	282	281	280	279
Age 60 thru 64	226	225	224	221	220	219	217	214	211	208	205	201
Age 65 thru 84	644	620	594	568	544	522	498	475	452	430	408	387
Age 85 & Older	188	188	187	186	185	182	179	176	173	170	166	162
<b>Stanton County</b>												
Total Population	2,221	2,216	2,205	2,193	2,179	2,160	2,146	2,127	2,109	2,091	2,067	2,047
Age 0 thru 4	161	159	156	153	150	146	142	139	136	132	128	124
Age 5 thru 9	154	149	144	139	135	130	125	120	116	111	106	101
Age 10 thru 14	164	161	156	151	147	142	136	130	126	121	116	111
Age 15 thru 19	162	160	157	154	150	146	144	141	138	134	131	127
Age 20 thru 24	126	125	123	121	119	116	114	111	108	106	103	100
Age 25 thru 29	115	112	109	106	103	100	96	92	89	86	82	79
Age 30 thru 34	123	120	116	113	109	105	102	98	94	90	86	83
Age 35 thru 39	139	135	130	125	119	115	110	105	100	96	91	87
Age 40 thru 44	194	196	197	198	197	198	199	198	198	198	197	195
Age 45 thru 49	178	180	181	182	184	183	183	183	183	182	181	180
Age 50 thru 54	179	190	203	216	229	242	258	271	284	299	313	329
Age 55 thru 59	90	89	88	87	87	85	83	81	79	78	76	74
Age 60 thru 64	86	85	84	82	81	79	79	78	77	75	73	72
Age 65 thru 84	299	302	305	308	309	310	310	312	312	312	311	310
Age 85 & Older	51	53	56	58	60	63	65	68	69	71	73	75
<b>Stevens County</b>												
Total Population	5,477	5,492	5,511	5,528	5,542	5,553	5,558	5,570	5,576	5,591	5,610	5,628
Age 0 thru 4	425	424	422	420	414	409	404	399	395	391	387	382
Age 5 thru 9	424	419	414	409	403	397	391	385	379	374	368	362
Age 10 thru 14	433	427	422	416	413	410	405	400	394	389	384	378
Age 15 thru 19	442	441	439	436	428	421	415	412	408	403	399	394
Age 20 thru 24	398	414	430	446	470	492	511	525	543	563	584	605
Age 25 thru 29	257	248	240	231	223	216	211	205	198	191	185	178
Age 30 thru 34	354	356	359	363	367	369	369	369	369	369	370	371
Age 35 thru 39	250	236	222	208	194	183	173	163	154	145	136	128
Age 40 thru 44	447	448	450	450	448	444	440	439	437	436	434	432
Age 45 thru 49	479	496	516	537	555	574	592	610	628	647	668	689
Age 50 thru 54	344	353	362	371	382	392	401	411	419	429	438	448
Age 55 thru 59	285	290	294	298	302	308	313	319	323	328	332	337
Age 60 thru 64	260	266	273	281	290	295	301	309	315	321	328	336
Age 65 thru 84	539	531	521	511	498	486	473	462	450	439	429	418
Age 85 & Older	140	143	147	151	155	157	159	162	164	166	168	170
<b>Sumner County</b>												
Total Population	24,404	24,282	24,009	23,633	23,447	23,447	23,397	23,338	23,267	23,183	23,088	22,969
Age 0 thru 4	1,440	1,417	1,385	1,346	1,317	1,295	1,270	1,246	1,220	1,193	1,166	1,137

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Sumner County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 5 thru 9	1,468	1,424	1,372	1,318	1,278	1,250	1,224	1,197	1,171	1,146	1,121	1,093
Age 10 thru 14	1,692	1,643	1,584	1,520	1,472	1,440	1,406	1,375	1,345	1,316	1,287	1,254
Age 15 thru 19	1,993	1,984	1,961	1,935	1,924	1,931	1,940	1,945	1,952	1,961	1,967	1,968
Age 20 thru 24	1,705	1,739	1,770	1,793	1,837	1,899	1,944	1,977	2,009	2,036	2,077	2,132
Age 25 thru 29	1,224	1,221	1,216	1,212	1,223	1,243	1,259	1,265	1,269	1,276	1,283	1,289
Age 30 thru 34	991	955	918	879	848	825	800	773	746	721	697	673
Age 35 thru 39	1,206	1,153	1,096	1,038	993	957	919	884	849	815	782	749
Age 40 thru 44	1,745	1,714	1,671	1,622	1,584	1,564	1,537	1,508	1,484	1,461	1,436	1,408
Age 45 thru 49	2,304	2,319	2,314	2,285	2,249	2,223	2,199	2,178	2,157	2,126	2,088	2,045
Age 50 thru 54	2,094	2,137	2,166	2,172	2,170	2,192	2,216	2,240	2,256	2,263	2,261	2,253
Age 55 thru 59	1,615	1,650	1,673	1,684	1,693	1,708	1,726	1,746	1,758	1,764	1,765	1,760
Age 60 thru 64	1,106	1,096	1,078	1,051	1,025	1,008	988	969	947	922	895	866
Age 65 thru 84	3,126	3,119	3,084	3,045	3,076	3,125	3,154	3,191	3,231	3,277	3,324	3,369
Age 85 & Older	695	711	721	733	758	787	815	844	873	906	939	973
<b>Thomas County</b>												
Total Population	7,489	7,436	7,369	7,294	7,215	7,141	7,068	6,995	6,914	6,834	6,751	6,670
Age 0 thru 4	415	403	390	375	363	350	338	326	313	300	288	275
Age 5 thru 9	456	442	427	412	395	380	365	351	336	321	307	293
Age 10 thru 14	488	473	459	444	429	413	396	380	364	349	334	319
Age 15 thru 19	715	701	684	667	649	633	618	602	584	566	547	529
Age 20 thru 24	500	489	475	457	435	416	399	382	367	352	337	321
Age 25 thru 29	467	469	471	474	477	479	477	477	474	471	467	464
Age 30 thru 34	325	316	307	299	290	279	270	261	251	241	231	221
Age 35 thru 39	313	292	271	250	232	215	199	184	170	156	144	132
Age 40 thru 44	510	500	487	473	456	439	424	407	393	379	364	349
Age 45 thru 49	585	582	576	567	558	551	543	535	527	517	506	495
Age 50 thru 54	758	806	858	914	970	1,025	1,082	1,141	1,199	1,260	1,322	1,387
Age 55 thru 59	419	426	433	439	448	456	464	470	474	478	481	484
Age 60 thru 64	339	341	341	341	341	342	340	338	336	334	331	327
Age 65 thru 84	980	973	963	952	938	925	911	895	878	861	842	823
Age 85 & Older	219	223	227	230	234	238	242	246	248	249	250	251
<b>Trego County</b>												
Total Population	2,927	2,883	2,839	2,792	2,742	2,697	2,649	2,600	2,551	2,507	2,465	2,422
Age 0 thru 4	137	133	128	124	121	116	113	109	105	100	96	92
Age 5 thru 9	133	127	121	115	110	104	99	94	88	83	78	74
Age 10 thru 14	157	151	144	137	129	122	115	108	102	96	90	85
Age 15 thru 19	202	194	184	174	164	154	144	136	128	121	113	106
Age 20 thru 24	177	186	196	208	221	238	255	266	278	291	305	320
Age 25 thru 29	102	98	95	92	88	85	81	77	73	70	67	63
Age 30 thru 34	99	94	90	86	82	79	75	71	66	62	59	55
Age 35 thru 39	116	107	99	90	82	75	68	63	58	53	48	43
Age 40 thru 44	178	168	158	147	136	127	117	108	101	94	87	80
Age 45 thru 49	340	349	357	365	373	380	387	393	399	404	409	413
Age 50 thru 54	260	267	276	285	291	299	305	311	317	322	327	332

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2,019
<b>Trego County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 55 thru 59	168	168	167	167	166	163	160	157	154	151	149	146
Age 60 thru 64	151	147	143	139	135	132	128	124	119	115	111	107
Age 65 thru 84	535	520	505	487	468	447	426	407	389	372	355	337
Age 85 & Older	172	174	176	176	176	176	176	176	174	173	171	169
<b>Wabaunsee County</b>												
Total Population	6,964	6,981	6,985	6,982	6,982	6,966	6,942	6,910	6,868	6,817	6,759	6,701
Age 0 thru 4	347	340	332	323	313	302	290	280	269	258	248	237
Age 5 thru 9	406	401	394	389	386	384	383	380	375	371	366	361
Age 10 thru 14	420	409	395	382	371	361	352	342	332	323	313	303
Age 15 thru 19	504	503	499	496	490	482	476	470	467	463	458	452
Age 20 thru 24	538	561	590	619	653	686	715	735	755	774	797	827
Age 25 thru 29	354	356	360	366	377	388	397	405	408	412	416	419
Age 30 thru 34	325	321	317	314	310	306	303	298	291	285	279	273
Age 35 thru 39	334	319	303	288	275	261	247	236	224	212	200	189
Age 40 thru 44	560	560	559	556	551	545	536	526	519	513	505	496
Age 45 thru 49	665	675	683	686	683	674	667	662	656	646	633	620
Age 50 thru 54	601	614	625	631	633	633	635	634	633	629	623	614
Age 55 thru 59	497	506	514	519	519	522	525	529	528	524	519	512
Age 60 thru 64	372	372	372	371	366	359	352	346	339	330	320	310
Age 65 thru 84	868	866	860	855	860	861	856	853	852	851	850	849
Age 85 & Older	173	178	182	187	195	202	208	214	220	226	232	239
<b>Wallace County</b>												
Total Population	1,521	1,497	1,478	1,456	1,434	1,409	1,383	1,354	1,331	1,306	1,283	1,261
Age 0 thru 4	89	87	85	83	80	79	76	73	70	68	65	62
Age 5 thru 9	70	64	58	52	48	43	38	34	31	27	24	22
Age 10 thru 14	129	126	123	119	116	112	108	104	100	95	91	87
Age 15 thru 19	104	99	93	87	80	74	68	64	59	54	50	46
Age 20 thru 24	143	154	167	183	199	213	227	240	254	269	284	300
Age 25 thru 29	49	46	44	41	39	38	36	34	32	30	28	26
Age 30 thru 34	46	42	39	36	34	31	29	26	24	22	20	18
Age 35 thru 39	46	41	37	32	28	24	21	18	16	14	12	10
Age 40 thru 44	98	93	88	83	77	72	68	63	59	55	51	47
Age 45 thru 49	143	144	143	143	141	138	135	133	130	127	124	121
Age 50 thru 54	148	152	156	160	162	165	166	166	167	168	168	168
Age 55 thru 59	109	112	115	118	121	124	126	127	128	129	130	130
Age 60 thru 64	63	60	58	55	53	50	48	45	43	40	38	35
Age 65 thru 84	229	223	217	210	202	193	184	175	167	159	150	142
Age 85 & Older	55	54	55	54	54	53	53	52	51	49	48	47
<b>Washington County</b>												
Total Population	5,808	5,742	5,672	5,596	5,521	5,448	5,372	5,297	5,221	5,149	5,077	5,004
Age 0 thru 4	266	257	247	237	227	217	208	199	191	183	175	167
Age 5 thru 9	317	308	300	291	283	275	268	260	252	244	236	228
Age 10 thru 14	333	319	305	291	277	263	249	237	225	213	203	192

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Washington County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 15 thru 19	425	422	419	417	413	409	405	401	396	392	387	382
Age 20 thru 24	272	279	286	292	301	311	317	324	329	336	342	348
Age 25 thru 29	201	194	188	181	174	167	160	153	147	141	135	129
Age 30 thru 34	238	230	222	214	207	200	194	187	180	172	166	159
Age 35 thru 39	263	249	234	219	205	191	179	168	158	148	138	129
Age 40 thru 44	438	435	430	424	417	411	402	394	388	381	374	366
Age 45 thru 49	509	521	534	545	554	561	569	577	585	593	600	608
Age 50 thru 54	458	466	474	480	487	493	501	507	513	519	524	529
Age 55 thru 59	410	415	419	424	429	435	440	445	448	451	454	457
Age 60 thru 64	364	367	369	373	375	377	377	377	376	376	376	376
Age 65 thru 84	1,030	1,001	971	940	909	880	851	822	793	766	738	711
Age 85 & Older	284	279	274	268	263	258	252	246	240	234	229	223
<b>Wichita County</b>												
Total Population	2,222	2,188	2,148	2,107	2,069	2,029	1,992	1,956	1,918	1,875	1,839	1,797
Age 0 thru 4	132	125	118	111	105	99	94	88	83	78	73	68
Age 5 thru 9	173	168	164	159	155	150	145	141	136	131	127	122
Age 10 thru 14	160	155	151	147	143	138	133	127	122	117	113	108
Age 15 thru 19	132	126	120	114	109	103	98	94	89	84	80	75
Age 20 thru 24	131	131	129	128	127	126	126	127	126	124	123	121
Age 25 thru 29	94	89	84	79	74	70	65	61	58	54	50	47
Age 30 thru 34	113	109	105	100	95	90	85	81	76	72	68	64
Age 35 thru 39	132	128	123	119	116	112	108	105	101	96	92	89
Age 40 thru 44	135	130	125	119	112	106	100	95	90	85	80	76
Age 45 thru 49	192	192	192	191	190	188	187	185	184	181	179	176
Age 50 thru 54	210	219	228	237	248	258	268	279	288	297	307	316
Age 55 thru 59	138	140	141	143	144	145	146	145	145	145	145	144
Age 60 thru 64	115	115	114	113	112	112	112	112	111	110	109	107
Age 65 thru 84	296	292	286	281	274	268	262	255	249	242	235	228
Age 85 & Older	69	69	68	66	65	64	63	61	60	59	58	56
<b>Wilson County</b>												
Total Population	9,768	9,733	9,695	9,649	9,595	9,543	9,486	9,425	9,368	9,308	9,255	9,193
Age 0 thru 4	484	474	464	452	440	426	413	401	390	378	367	355
Age 5 thru 9	518	501	482	463	446	430	416	400	385	370	356	341
Age 10 thru 14	627	608	589	569	548	528	507	486	468	450	433	415
Age 15 thru 19	714	711	707	703	699	693	687	680	673	666	659	651
Age 20 thru 24	632	652	671	689	700	712	723	738	753	767	781	795
Age 25 thru 29	606	618	631	647	664	681	697	713	725	737	750	763
Age 30 thru 34	494	490	487	484	480	474	467	460	452	445	438	431
Age 35 thru 39	439	417	395	373	351	333	315	297	281	265	250	235
Age 40 thru 44	658	646	633	618	601	583	564	547	533	518	503	487
Age 45 thru 49	785	792	798	802	806	810	814	816	818	819	820	820
Age 50 thru 54	842	873	904	935	965	998	1,032	1,064	1,096	1,129	1,162	1,195
Age 55 thru 59	625	635	644	651	661	669	675	678	684	689	695	699
Age 60 thru 64	538	541	545	548	550	552	555	559	559	559	559	559

**Appendix L (cont'd)**

**Kansas Population Projections, by County, by Age Cohort for 2008 through 2019**

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Wilson County (cont'd)</b>												
Age 65 thru 84	1,442	1,408	1,376	1,343	1,311	1,279	1,246	1,211	1,177	1,143	1,110	1,077
Age 85 & Older	364	367	369	372	373	375	375	375	374	373	372	370
<b>Woodson County</b>												
Total Population	3,465	3,427	3,390	3,347	3,306	3,264	3,220	3,177	3,139	3,096	3,059	3,021
Age 0 thru 4	167	163	160	156	153	151	147	143	139	134	130	126
Age 5 thru 9	167	164	162	160	157	154	151	147	143	139	135	131
Age 10 thru 14	128	117	106	95	85	76	68	61	55	50	44	39
Age 15 thru 19	243	238	232	227	219	211	202	194	187	180	173	166
Age 20 thru 24	235	242	247	252	253	251	249	248	249	250	250	249
Age 25 thru 29	265	279	297	317	342	375	407	438	463	488	517	547
Age 30 thru 34	138	134	129	124	119	113	107	102	97	92	87	82
Age 35 thru 39	143	134	125	115	106	97	89	81	75	68	63	57
Age 40 thru 44	229	222	216	208	201	193	186	178	171	164	157	150
Age 45 thru 49	278	275	270	264	257	249	242	235	229	222	215	208
Age 50 thru 54	320	331	342	353	365	377	385	395	404	413	421	429
Age 55 thru 59	220	220	219	218	218	215	212	209	207	203	200	196
Age 60 thru 64	209	211	214	216	218	219	221	221	221	220	219	218
Age 65 thru 84	565	541	516	490	464	437	411	386	364	342	321	300
Age 85 & Older	158	156	155	152	149	146	143	139	135	131	127	123
<b>Wyandotte County</b>												
Total Population	154,362	153,308	152,099	150,880	149,713	148,647	147,630	146,606	145,475	144,464	143,631	142,918
Age 0 thru 4	13,450	13,507	13,549	13,608	13,649	13,677	13,692	13,691	13,676	13,665	13,666	13,682
Age 5 thru 9	11,193	11,014	10,816	10,644	10,508	10,411	10,339	10,271	10,187	10,126	10,074	10,026
Age 10 thru 14	11,353	11,242	11,107	10,989	10,874	10,772	10,683	10,608	10,553	10,522	10,499	10,477
Age 15 thru 19	10,647	10,473	10,280	10,106	9,999	9,932	9,895	9,856	9,792	9,748	9,710	9,677
Age 20 thru 24	10,437	10,155	9,857	9,503	9,138	8,753	8,347	7,933	7,562	7,200	6,901	6,658
Age 25 thru 29	11,583	11,509	11,458	11,451	11,475	11,521	11,558	11,561	11,543	11,555	11,592	11,640
Age 30 thru 34	11,421	11,434	11,479	11,563	11,648	11,741	11,802	11,844	11,873	11,942	12,043	12,155
Age 35 thru 39	9,611	9,366	9,132	8,933	8,751	8,602	8,463	8,313	8,140	7,988	7,855	7,729
Age 40 thru 44	11,464	11,425	11,393	11,397	11,405	11,417	11,416	11,401	11,398	11,419	11,459	11,504
Age 45 thru 49	11,693	11,682	11,643	11,534	11,314	11,091	10,903	10,743	10,567	10,354	10,133	9,903
Age 50 thru 54	10,575	10,660	10,715	10,683	10,541	10,428	10,339	10,273	10,197	10,082	9,948	9,803
Age 55 thru 59	8,871	8,993	9,112	9,194	9,224	9,233	9,264	9,302	9,303	9,278	9,244	9,202
Age 60 thru 64	5,976	5,915	5,851	5,766	5,626	5,482	5,350	5,221	5,089	4,946	4,802	4,658
Age 65 thru 84	13,395	13,190	12,921	12,670	12,623	12,555	12,464	12,390	12,316	12,270	12,239	12,232
Age 85 & Older	2,693	2,743	2,786	2,839	2,938	3,032	3,115	3,199	3,279	3,369	3,466	3,572

Source: Moody's Economy.com

